

**BUSH BUDGET IMPACTS ON
EPA FUNDING FOR WATER QUALITY PROGRAMS
(in millions of dollars of budget authority)
February 10, 2005**



The following is a state-by-state analysis of budget cuts to some of the Environmental Agency's key water quality programs. These include the Clean Water State Revolving Fund, individual project grants made to state and local governments for water infrastructure projects, and specific regional (Great Lakes) funding. The analysis contains information on the FY 2005 enacted level for these programs and projections for FY 2006, based on the Bush administration's proposed budget. The net decrease in funding for each state is also included.

State	FUNDING FOR FY 2005				BUSH PROPOSAL FOR FY 2006				NET DECREASE ⁵	
	CWSRF ¹	Project Grants ²	Great Lakes Funding ³	Total	CWSRF	Project Grants	Great Lakes Funding	Total	Dollars	Percentage
AL	12.1	13.6	~	25.8	8.1	0.0	~	8.1	-17.6	-68.5
AK	6.5	6.9	~	13.4	4.3	0.0	~	4.3	-9.1	-67.7
AZ	7.3	2.3	~	9.7	4.9	0.0	~	4.9	-4.8	-49.4
AR	7.1	1.3	~	8.4	4.7	0.0	~	4.7	-3.7	-43.8
CA	77.7	18.8	~	96.5	52.0	0.0	~	52.0	-44.5	-46.1
CO	8.7	3.0	~	11.7	5.8	0.0	~	5.8	-5.9	-50.3
CT	13.3	2.3	~	15.7	8.9	0.0	~	8.9	-6.8	-43.1
DE	5.3	0.9	~	6.2	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-2.6	-42.8
DC	5.3	0.5	~	5.8	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-2.2	-38.9
FL	36.7	17.9	~	54.6	24.5	0.0	~	24.5	-30.1	-55.1
GA	18.4	7.8	~	26.2	12.3	0.0	~	12.3	-13.9	-53.1
HI	8.4	1.4	~	9.8	5.6	0.0	~	5.6	-4.2	-42.6
ID	5.3	5.0	~	10.3	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-6.7	-65.6
IL	49.1	10.8	2.8	62.7	32.9	0.0	6.3	39.1	-23.6	-37.6
IN	26.2	3.5	2.8	32.4	17.5	0.0	6.3	23.8	-8.6	-26.7
IA	14.7	5.5	~	20.2	9.8	0.0	~	9.8	-10.4	-51.4
KS	9.8	3.1	~	12.9	6.6	0.0	~	6.6	-6.3	-49.2
KY	13.8	8.0	~	21.8	9.3	0.0	~	9.3	-12.6	-57.6
LA	11.9	4.3	~	16.3	8.0	0.0	~	8.0	-8.3	-50.9
ME	8.4	1.7	~	10.1	5.6	0.0	~	5.6	-4.5	-44.4
MD	26.3	5.3	~	31.6	17.6	0.0	~	17.6	-14.0	-44.4
MA	36.9	3.2	~	40.1	24.7	0.0	~	24.7	-15.4	-38.4
MI	46.7	8.4	2.8	57.9	31.3	0.0	6.3	37.5	-20.3	-35.2
MN	20.0	1.8	2.8	24.6	13.4	0.0	6.3	19.6	-5.0	-20.2
MS	9.8	5.3	~	15.1	6.5	0.0	~	6.5	-8.5	-56.6
MO	30.1	7.5	~	37.7	20.1	0.0	~	20.1	-17.5	-46.5
MT	5.3	3.4	~	8.7	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-5.1	-59.3
NE	5.5	2.1	~	7.6	3.7	0.0	~	3.7	-3.9	-51.5
NV	5.3	2.8	~	8.1	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-4.5	-56.2
NH	10.9	3.8	~	14.7	7.3	0.0	~	7.3	-7.4	-50.6
NJ	44.4	4.0	~	48.4	29.7	0.0	~	29.7	-18.7	-38.7
NM	5.3	5.4	~	10.7	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-7.2	-67.0
NY	119.9	33.6	2.8	156.3	80.2	0.0	6.3	86.5	-69.8	-44.7
NC	19.6	7.8	~	27.4	13.1	0.0	~	13.1	-14.3	-52.1
ND	5.3	2.4	~	7.7	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-4.1	-54.0
OH	61.2	15.3	2.8	79.2	40.9	0.0	6.3	47.2	-32.0	-40.4
OK	8.8	1.5	~	10.2	5.9	0.0	~	5.9	-4.4	-42.7
OR	12.3	2.4	~	14.7	8.2	0.0	~	8.2	-6.5	-44.0
PA	43.0	9.1	2.8	54.9	28.8	0.0	6.3	35.0	-19.8	-36.1
RI	7.3	3.6	~	10.9	4.9	0.0	~	4.9	-6.0	-55.2
SC	11.1	4.8	~	16.0	7.4	0.0	~	7.4	-8.5	-53.4

State	FUNDING FOR FY 2005				BUSH PROPOSAL FOR FY 2006				NET DECREASE ⁶	
	CWSRF ¹	Project Grants ²	Great Lakes Funding ³	Total	CWSRF	Project Grants	Great Lakes Funding	Total	Dollars	Percentage
SD	5.3	3.1	~	8.4	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-4.8	-57.8
TN	15.8	3.5	~	19.3	10.6	0.0	~	10.6	-8.7	-45.3
TX	49.6	6.5	~	56.1	33.2	0.0	~	33.2	-22.9	-40.8
UT	5.7	3.6	~	9.3	3.8	0.0	~	3.8	-5.5	-59.2
VT	5.3	2.2	~	7.5	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-4.0	-53.1
VA	22.2	7.7	~	30.0	14.9	0.0	~	14.9	-15.1	-50.4
WA	18.9	4.5	~	23.4	12.6	0.0	~	12.6	-10.7	-46.0
WV	16.9	13.3	~	30.2	11.3	0.0	~	11.3	-18.9	-62.5
WI	29.4	7.8	2.8	39.9	19.6	0.0	6.3	25.9	-14.0	-35.0
WY	5.3	0.3	~	5.6	3.5	0.0	~	3.5	-2.1	-37.2
Amer. Samoa	0.9	0.0	~	0.9	0.6	0.0	~	0.6	-0.3	-33.1
GU	0.6	0.2	~	0.9	0.4	0.0	~	0.4	-0.5	-52.5
N. Mariana Islands	0.4	0.0	~	0.4	0.2	0.0	~	0.2	-0.1	-33.1
PR	14.2	4.0	~	18.2	9.5	4.0	~	13.5	-4.7	-25.8
VI	0.5	0.2	~	0.7	0.3	0.0	~	0.3	-0.4	-56.3
Indian Tribes	16.5	0.0	~	16.5	11.0	0.0	~	11.0	-5.5	-33.1
Mex. Borders/Special ⁴	0.0	49.6	~	49.6	0.0	50.0	~	50.0	0.4	0.8
Alaska Native Villages ⁵	0.0	44.6	~	44.6	0.0	15.0	~	15.0	-29.6	-66.4
Other Alaska ⁷	~	4.0	~	4.0	0.0	~	~	0.0	-4.0	-100.0
Adjustment	2.9	~	~	2.9	2.0	0.0	~	2.0	~	~
Total⁸	1091.0	408.0	22.0	1521.0	730.0	69.0	50.0	849.0	-672.0	-44.2

1. CWSRF: the Environmental Protection Agency's Clean Water State Revolving Fund, which provides long-term, low-interest loans to states for sewage plant construction and upgrades. The FY 2006 state-by-state estimate is based on the allotment of funds by state for FY 2005.
2. Project Grants: direct grants to state and local governments for water infrastructure projects, such as sewage treatment, stormwater systems, and drinking water plants. Funding levels have been adjusted for the 0.08% cut made to all spending programs in the final FY 2005 Omnibus.
3. Great Lakes: the column shows the Administration's funding for the Great Lakes Legacy Act. The Great Lakes funding of \$22 Million in FY 2005 and \$50 Million in FY 2006 is assumed to be divided equally among Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Minnesota, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Wisconsin.
4. Project grant money for Mexican Borders is in addition to funds already listed for California, New Mexico, Arizona, and Texas.
5. Project grant money for Alaska Native Villages is in addition to funds already listed for Alaska.
6. Net Decrease: the total decrease in funding from all listed programs from the FY 2005 allotment to the FY 2006 proposal.
7. Other Alaska refers to project grant money for remediation of above ground leaking fuel tanks and is in addition to funds already listed for Alaska.
8. Totals may not add due to rounding.

- SOURCES:
1. Office of Management and Budget, Budget of the United States Government, Fiscal Year 2006, pgs. 288, 291.
 2. Environmental Protection Agency, FY 2005 Clean Water State Revolving Fund Allotments, faxed on January 31, 2005.
 3. House Report 108-792, Making Appropriations for Foreign Operations, Export Financing, and Related Programs for the Fiscal Year Ending September 30, 2005, and for Other Purposes, pgs. 1565-1597.
 4. Environmental Protection Agency, Summary of EPA's 2006 Budget, Appendix D-5.