

S1. Voter Registration. S2. Likelihood to Vote. P1. Party Identification. P2. Personal Identity.

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT	
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**			***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-		***A/B**			
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B		
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
S1. VOTER REGISTRATION																								
Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S2. LIKELIHOOD TO VOTE																								
Definitely	78%	89%	67%	69%	79%	86%	73%	82%	91%	87%	59%	77%	76%	81%	85%	97%	69%	64%	77%	72%	81%	77%	81%	75%
Probably	12%	8%	15%	15%	12%	8%	14%	9%	9%	8%	19%	11%	12%	11%	11%	3%	13%	20%	17%	22%	9%	4%	10%	14%
Chance 50-50	7%	2%	12%	14%	7%	-	11%	4%	-	5%	18%	3%	8%	6%	4%	-	11%	13%	3%	4%	6%	15%	4%	11%
Probably not	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	*	1%	1%	1%	-
Definitely not	*	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	*	-	-	-	*
Already voted	2%	-	5%	1%	1%	6%	1%	4%	-	-	2%	7%	3%	1%	-	-	5%	3%	3%	*	3%	2%	4%	1%
P1. PARTY IDENTIFICATION																								
Strong Democrat	24%	22%	26%	27%	24%	20%	29%	19%	30%	16%	28%	23%	24%	25%	20%	26%	26%	24%	25%	28%	18%	30%	28%	20%
Weak Democrat	12%	7%	16%	14%	15%	6%	15%	9%	9%	5%	18%	14%	15%	7%	6%	8%	21%	5%	10%	18%	11%	10%	9%	15%
Independent / Closer to the Democrats	7%	7%	6%	8%	2%	11%	5%	8%	3%	11%	7%	5%	6%	8%	9%	4%	3%	12%	4%	14%	2%	10%	6%	7%
Independent	12%	11%	13%	14%	8%	16%	11%	14%	15%	8%	8%	19%	12%	13%	5%	23%	18%	3%	14%	5%	16%	10%	12%	12%
Independent / Closer to the Republicans	5%	4%	5%	5%	1%	10%	3%	6%	6%	3%	1%	9%	5%	5%	7%	-	3%	9%	9%	3%	7%	-	3%	6%
Weak Republican	21%	26%	16%	17%	29%	16%	21%	21%	24%	28%	19%	13%	23%	16%	34%	12%	14%	21%	23%	13%	23%	22%	21%	21%
Strong Republican	20%	22%	18%	16%	21%	22%	16%	23%	13%	29%	18%	17%	16%	26%	18%	27%	15%	26%	15%	18%	24%	18%	21%	18%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEMOCRAT (NET)	36%	29%	42%	41%	39%	26%	43%	28%	39%	20%	46%	37%	38%	31%	26%	34%	48%	29%	35%	46%	29%	40%	37%	35%
INDEPENDENT (NET)	24%	23%	24%	26%	11%	36%	20%	28%	24%	23%	17%	33%	23%	26%	21%	27%	24%	24%	27%	22%	25%	20%	21%	26%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	40%	48%	34%	33%	50%	38%	37%	44%	37%	57%	37%	30%	39%	43%	53%	39%	28%	47%	38%	31%	46%	40%	42%	39%
DEMOCRAT W/ LEANERS (NET)	43%	36%	48%	49%	41%	37%	49%	36%	42%	31%	53%	41%	44%	40%	36%	38%	51%	41%	39%	61%	31%	50%	43%	43%
REPUBLICAN W/ LEANERS (NET)	45%	52%	39%	38%	50%	48%	40%	50%	43%	61%	38%	39%	44%	47%	60%	39%	31%	56%	47%	34%	53%	40%	45%	45%
P2. PERSONAL IDENTITY																								
Very important	28%	34%	24%	34%	24%	27%	35%	22%	39%	29%	32%	14%	28%	29%	33%	34%	23%	24%	32%	41%	21%	27%	30%	27%
Somewhat important	40%	38%	42%	40%	39%	43%	39%	42%	44%	33%	35%	51%	39%	43%	36%	43%	42%	43%	35%	36%	42%	46%	44%	37%
Not too important	24%	24%	24%	19%	31%	21%	22%	27%	17%	31%	25%	23%	23%	27%	26%	22%	21%	31%	25%	22%	33%	11%	22%	26%
Not at all important	7%	4%	10%	7%	6%	9%	5%	9%	1%	7%	8%	12%	10%	2%	5%	2%	13%	2%	7%	1%	4%	17%	4%	10%
IMPORTANT (NET)	69%	72%	66%	74%	63%	70%	74%	64%	82%	62%	67%	65%	67%	72%	69%	76%	66%	67%	68%	78%	63%	73%	74%	64%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31%	28%	34%	26%	37%	30%	26%	36%	18%	38%	33%	35%	33%	28%	31%	24%	34%	33%	32%	22%	37%	27%	26%	36%

S1. Voter Registration. S2. Likelihood to Vote. P1. Party Identification. P2. Personal Identity.

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON	
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77	
S1. VOTER REGISTRATION																												
Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
S2. LIKELIHOOD TO VOTE																												
Definitely	78%	77%	85%	100%	7%	84%	90%	64%	72%	100%	100%	100%	79%	87%	77%	90%	64%	70%	82%	76%	79%	81%	64%	77%	82%	74%	76%	
Probably	12%	12%	15%	-	-	11%	7%	17%	28%	-	-	-	21%	5%	12%	7%	17%	15%	10%	12%	12%	8%	18%	13%	6%	13%	15%	
Chance 50-50	7%	8%	-	-	93%	5%	3%	12%	-	-	-	-	8%	8%	8%	3%	12%	12%	4%	8%	7%	3%	13%	10%	2%	11%	8%	
Probably not	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-	*	3%	1%	-	1%	1%		
Definitely not	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	-		
Already voted	2%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	5%	2%	4%	3%	1%	7%	2%	-	10%	1%		
P1. PARTY IDENTIFICATION																												
Strong Democrat	24%	20%	45%	100%	-	52%	17%	23%	72%	14%	100%	100%	69%	41%	20%	17%	23%	23%	19%	20%	22%	57%	-	-	69%	7%	3%	
Weak Democrat	12%	10%	36%	-	93%	29%	5%	14%	28%	44%	-	-	21%	34%	10%	5%	14%	11%	9%	11%	7%	27%	-	-	10%	14%	6%	
Independent / Closer to the Democrats	7%	6%	20%	-	-	15%	7%	4%	-	42%	-	-	10%	18%	6%	7%	4%	2%	8%	6%	5%	16%	-	-	11%	9%	-	
Independent	12%	14%	-	-	7%	*	12%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	14%	12%	15%	14%	14%	14%	14%	-	100%	-	1%	35%	5%	
Independent / Closer to the Republicans	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	5%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	5%	6%	4%	6%	5%	5%	-	-	11%	-	4%	10%	
Weak Republican	21%	23%	-	-	-	3%	29%	17%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	23%	29%	17%	26%	21%	25%	18%	-	-	46%	5%	26%	31%	
Strong Republican	20%	22%	-	-	-	-	24%	21%	-	-	-	-	-	-	22%	24%	21%	20%	24%	19%	29%	-	-	44%	4%	6%	45%	
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DEMOCRAT (NET)	36%	30%	80%	100%	93%	81%	23%	37%	100%	58%	100%	100%	90%	76%	30%	23%	37%	34%	27%	31%	29%	84%	-	-	79%	21%	9%	
INDEPENDENT (NET)	24%	25%	20%	-	7%	15%	25%	25%	-	42%	-	-	10%	19%	25%	25%	25%	20%	28%	25%	24%	16%	100%	11%	12%	48%	15%	
REPUBLICAN (NET)	40%	45%	-	-	-	3%	53%	38%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	45%	53%	38%	46%	44%	44%	47%	-	-	89%	9%	31%	76%	
DEMOCRAT W/ LEANERS (NET)	43%	36%	100%	100%	93%	96%	30%	41%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	36%	30%	41%	36%	35%	37%	33%	100%	-	-	90%	30%	9%		
REPUBLICAN W/ LEANERS (NET)	45%	50%	-	-	-	3%	58%	44%	-	-	-	-	6%	50%	58%	44%	50%	51%	50%	52%	-	-	100%	9%	35%	86%		
P2. PERSONAL IDENTITY																												
Very important	28%	25%	69%	39%	-	52%	31%	20%	72%	66%	-	54%	53%	52%	25%	31%	20%	30%	21%	26%	24%	36%	-	25%	42%	16%	23%	
Somewhat important	40%	41%	31%	45%	100%	37%	37%	44%	28%	34%	100%	23%	47%	31%	41%	37%	44%	38%	43%	39%	45%	39%	38%	43%	34%	42%	44%	
Not too important	24%	27%	-	-	-	3%	27%	27%	-	-	-	-	6%	27%	27%	27%	27%	27%	27%	26%	29%	22%	35%	28%	25%	30%	26%	
Not at all important	7%	7%	-	16%	-	7%	4%	9%	-	-	-	23%	-	12%	7%	4%	9%	4%	9%	10%	2%	3%	27%	4%	-	13%	7%	
IMPORTANT (NET)	69%	66%	100%	84%	100%	89%	69%	64%	100%	100%	100%	77%	100%	82%	66%	69%	64%	69%	64%	65%	69%	75%	38%	68%	75%	57%	67%	
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31%	34%	-	16%	-	11%	31%	36%	-	-	-	23%	-	18%	34%	31%	36%	31%	36%	35%	31%	25%	62%	32%	25%	43%	33%	

S1. Voter Registration. S2. Likelihood to Vote. P1. Party Identification. P2. Personal Identity.

	TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE		
		IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**							
		DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
S1. VOTER REGISTRATION																						
Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S2. LIKELIHOOD TO VOTE																						
Definitely	78%	82%	65%	76%	94%	75%	76%	56%	88%	62%	83%	82%	57%	100%	78%	76%	84%	73%	77%	83%	76%	34%
Probably	12%	9%	18%	14%	6%	11%	24%	13%	7%	21%	5%	14%	21%	-	9%	15%	5%	15%	15%	9%	14%	30%
Chance 50-50	7%	3%	13%	9%	-	6%	-	23%	5%	15%	4%	2%	16%	-	13%	8%	4%	10%	8%	2%	10%	34%
Probably not	1%	*	3%	1%	-	*	-	5%	-	1%	-	1%	3%	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
Definitely not	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	-
Already voted	2%	5%	2%	-	-	9%	-	3%	-	-	8%	*	2%	-	-	-	8%	1%	-	5%	-	-
P1. PARTY IDENTIFICATION																						
Strong Democrat	24%	56%	-	-	61%	53%	-	-	-	-	79%	20%	-	-	-	-	73%	7%	2%	49%	-	-
Weak Democrat	12%	28%	-	-	19%	34%	-	-	-	-	11%	55%	-	-	-	-	10%	21%	6%	24%	*	2%
Independent / Closer to the Democrats	7%	16%	-	-	20%	12%	-	-	-	-	10%	25%	-	-	-	-	9%	9%	3%	12%	1%	8%
Independent	12%	-	100%	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	1%	31%	5%	10%	13%	31%
Independent / Closer to the Republicans	5%	-	-	10%	-	-	-	-	9%	13%	-	-	-	-	9%	11%	-	3%	9%	3%	6%	10%
Weak Republican	21%	-	-	46%	-	-	-	-	50%	41%	-	-	-	-	71%	37%	4%	23%	31%	2%	39%	38%
Strong Republican	20%	-	-	43%	-	-	-	-	41%	46%	-	-	-	-	20%	52%	3%	5%	43%	-	41%	11%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEMOCRAT (NET)	36%	84%	-	-	80%	88%	-	-	-	-	90%	75%	-	-	-	-	83%	28%	8%	73%	*	2%
INDEPENDENT (NET)	24%	16%	100%	10%	20%	12%	100%	100%	9%	13%	10%	25%	100%	100%	9%	11%	10%	44%	18%	25%	20%	49%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	40%	-	-	90%	-	-	-	-	91%	87%	-	-	-	-	91%	89%	7%	28%	74%	2%	80%	49%
DEMOCRAT W/ LEANERS (NET)	43%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	92%	37%	12%	85%	1%	10%
REPUBLICAN W/ LEANERS (NET)	45%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	7%	32%	83%	5%	86%	59%
P2. PERSONAL IDENTITY																						
Very important	28%	41%	-	24%	61%	27%	-	-	22%	28%	49%	28%	-	-	19%	26%	48%	15%	25%	36%	23%	-
Somewhat important	40%	39%	38%	43%	26%	47%	54%	26%	43%	43%	34%	46%	46%	-	28%	48%	31%	46%	43%	42%	40%	35%
Not too important	24%	17%	35%	28%	7%	24%	46%	27%	32%	23%	16%	20%	33%	44%	47%	21%	19%	27%	25%	18%	30%	33%
Not at all important	7%	3%	27%	5%	5%	2%	-	47%	4%	6%	2%	7%	21%	56%	6%	4%	1%	12%	7%	5%	7%	31%
IMPORTANT (NET)	69%	79%	38%	67%	87%	74%	54%	26%	64%	70%	83%	74%	46%	-	47%	75%	79%	60%	68%	78%	62%	35%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31%	21%	62%	33%	13%	26%	46%	74%	36%	30%	17%	26%	54%	100%	53%	25%	21%	40%	32%	22%	38%	65%

S1. Voter Registration. S2. Likelihood to Vote. P1. Party Identification. P2. Personal Identity.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS	
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47	45
S1. VOTER REGISTRATION																			
Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S2. LIKELIHOOD TO VOTE																			
Definitely	78%	81%	96%	85%	80%	88%	83%	79%	67%	76%	77%	92%	85%	73%	100%	89%	74%	73%	88%
Probably	12%	13%	1%	10%	9%	11%	10%	12%	18%	14%	12%	6%	4%	16%	-	5%	12%	20%	5%
Chance 50-50	7%	1%	3%	1%	6%	1%	5%	6%	15%	8%	8%	3%	7%	8%	-	6%	10%	4%	6%
Probably not	1%	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	*	*	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-
Definitely not	*	*	-	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	*	-
Already voted	2%	4%	-	3%	4%	-	3%	3%	*	2%	3%	-	2%	3%	-	1%	4%	2%	1%
P1. PARTY IDENTIFICATION																			
Strong Democrat	24%	37%	2%	28%	36%	1%	25%	29%	12%	25%	25%	12%	47%	14%	-	59%	15%	8%	56%
Weak Democrat	12%	18%	5%	14%	14%	5%	12%	11%	20%	14%	12%	12%	11%	13%	-	12%	15%	6%	12%
Independent / Closer to the Democrats	7%	16%	-	12%	9%	5%	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	1%	11%	5%	-	10%	7%	3%	11%
Independent	12%	3%	3%	3%	10%	12%	10%	5%	23%	10%	13%	9%	12%	12%	21%	6%	13%	17%	7%
Independent / Closer to the Republicans	5%	7%	-	5%	3%	9%	5%	5%	-	4%	5%	-	1%	7%	-	1%	4%	10%	1%
Weak Republican	21%	8%	35%	15%	12%	38%	20%	21%	21%	21%	21%	23%	8%	27%	-	5%	27%	24%	5%
Strong Republican	20%	11%	55%	22%	16%	30%	20%	20%	16%	19%	18%	43%	9%	22%	79%	7%	20%	32%	7%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEMOCRAT (NET)	36%	55%	7%	43%	51%	6%	37%	41%	33%	38%	37%	24%	58%	27%	-	71%	30%	14%	69%
INDEPENDENT (NET)	24%	26%	3%	20%	22%	26%	23%	18%	31%	21%	25%	10%	24%	23%	21%	17%	24%	30%	19%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	40%	19%	90%	38%	28%	68%	40%	41%	37%	40%	39%	66%	18%	49%	79%	12%	47%	56%	13%
DEMOCRAT W/ LEANERS (NET)	43%	71%	7%	54%	60%	11%	44%	48%	40%	46%	44%	25%	69%	32%	-	81%	36%	17%	79%
REPUBLICAN W/ LEANERS (NET)	45%	27%	90%	43%	31%	77%	45%	47%	37%	44%	44%	66%	18%	56%	79%	13%	51%	66%	14%
P2. PERSONAL IDENTITY																			
Very important	28%	41%	37%	40%	34%	28%	32%	26%	29%	27%	27%	43%	41%	20%	79%	54%	19%	23%	51%
Somewhat important	40%	39%	44%	40%	39%	39%	39%	41%	34%	39%	41%	34%	37%	43%	21%	29%	47%	37%	32%
Not too important	24%	16%	16%	16%	19%	33%	23%	28%	26%	27%	25%	17%	12%	31%	-	11%	28%	29%	12%
Not at all important	7%	4%	3%	3%	8%	-	6%	5%	11%	7%	7%	6%	10%	6%	-	5%	6%	11%	6%
IMPORTANT (NET)	69%	80%	81%	80%	73%	67%	71%	67%	63%	66%	68%	77%	78%	63%	100%	83%	66%	61%	82%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31%	20%	19%	20%	27%	33%	29%	33%	37%	34%	32%	23%	22%	37%	-	17%	34%	39%	18%

S1. Voter Registration. S2. Likelihood to Vote. P1. Party Identification. P2. Personal Identity.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
		0-39	40-59	60+													
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
S1. VOTER REGISTRATION																	
Yes	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
No	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
S2. LIKELIHOOD TO VOTE																	
Definitely	78%	81%	72%	79%	82%	77%	67%	72%	80%	73%	74%	93%	83%	64%	76%	76%	100%
Probably	12%	11%	13%	13%	8%	13%	21%	12%	12%	13%	14%	5%	11%	15%	11%	16%	-
Chance 50-50	7%	8%	11%	4%	6%	7%	11%	14%	4%	9%	10%	-	3%	18%	12%	3%	-
Probably not	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	*	-	1%	1%	*	1%	2%	1%	-	1%	*	-
Definitely not	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	*	-
Already voted	2%	*	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	-	4%	4%	1%	-	2%	3%	1%	5%	-
P1. PARTY IDENTIFICATION																	
Strong Democrat	24%	13%	23%	35%	24%	17%	40%	19%	27%	28%	20%	22%	23%	28%	27%	23%	10%
Weak Democrat	12%	7%	4%	23%	6%	17%	15%	11%	13%	17%	7%	9%	11%	14%	16%	7%	4%
Independent / Closer to the Democrats	7%	6%	4%	9%	5%	5%	14%	7%	7%	7%	7%	7%	9%	2%	7%	7%	-
Independent	12%	10%	19%	9%	12%	17%	3%	13%	12%	10%	6%	18%	10%	18%	12%	12%	13%
Independent / Closer to the Republicans	5%	10%	-	4%	7%	3%	3%	1%	6%	7%	4%	2%	4%	3%	5%	6%	-
Weak Republican	21%	27%	24%	13%	27%	15%	18%	29%	17%	20%	20%	24%	19%	25%	19%	19%	42%
Strong Republican	20%	28%	28%	6%	20%	25%	7%	21%	19%	12%	36%	17%	25%	10%	13%	26%	30%
(Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
DEMOCRAT (NET)	36%	20%	26%	58%	30%	34%	55%	29%	39%	45%	27%	31%	33%	42%	43%	30%	15%
INDEPENDENT (NET)	24%	26%	22%	22%	24%	25%	20%	21%	25%	24%	17%	27%	22%	23%	24%	25%	13%
REPUBLICAN (NET)	40%	54%	51%	19%	46%	40%	25%	50%	36%	31%	56%	42%	44%	34%	32%	45%	73%
DEMOCRAT W/ LEANERS (NET)	43%	26%	30%	68%	35%	40%	69%	36%	46%	51%	35%	38%	42%	44%	51%	37%	15%
REPUBLICAN W/ LEANERS (NET)	45%	64%	51%	24%	53%	44%	27%	51%	42%	38%	59%	44%	48%	37%	37%	51%	73%
P2. PERSONAL IDENTITY																	
Very important	28%	24%	25%	34%	23%	23%	52%	21%	32%	25%	35%	28%	26%	29%	31%	27%	20%
Somewhat important	40%	48%	39%	36%	44%	40%	33%	38%	41%	37%	36%	56%	44%	34%	39%	38%	63%
Not too important	24%	22%	25%	25%	26%	27%	15%	34%	19%	28%	26%	14%	24%	27%	22%	29%	17%
Not at all important	7%	6%	10%	5%	7%	10%	-	7%	7%	11%	3%	2%	6%	9%	8%	7%	-
IMPORTANT (NET)	69%	72%	64%	70%	67%	63%	85%	59%	74%	62%	71%	85%	70%	64%	70%	65%	83%
NOT IMPORTANT (NET)	31%	28%	36%	30%	33%	37%	15%	41%	26%	38%	29%	15%	30%	36%	30%	35%	17%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	***NET**	****MEN***	****WOM***	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	***MEN**	***WOM**	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A/B**
SPLIT A	100	45	55	28	45	28	51	50	23	23	28	27	63	38	26	20	37	18	15	23	46	17	100	0	
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																									
One of the top priorities	60%	56%	63%	69%	55%	58%	63%	56%	68%	44%	59%	67%	66%	49%	74%	32%	61%	67%	53%	81%	57%	45%	60%	-	
Important but not top	30%	30%	30%	28%	38%	21%	34%	26%	32%	28%	36%	24%	26%	38%	17%	47%	32%	28%	26%	17%	31%	51%	30%	-	
A lower priority	9%	14%	5%	3%	6%	21%	2%	17%	-	28%	3%	8%	8%	12%	9%	21%	7%	2%	21%	2%	13%	-	9%	-	
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	4%	1%	-	
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	86%	93%	97%	92%	79%	97%	83%	100%	72%	95%	92%	92%	86%	91%	79%	93%	94%	79%	98%	87%	96%	90%	-	
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	4%	1%	-	

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE														
	TOT	WHITE				POC	**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD
SPLIT A	100	86	10	3	0	14	39	47	7	4	0	3	7	7	86	39	47	38	48	53	33	29	12	45	23	30	33	
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																												
One of the top priorities	60%	56%	94%	29%	-	82%	48%	63%	100%	84%	-	29%	100%	66%	56%	48%	63%	57%	55%	65%	42%	66%	25%	58%	56%	66%	47%	
Important but not top	30%	32%	6%	71%	-	18%	35%	30%	-	16%	-	71%	-	34%	32%	35%	30%	39%	27%	26%	43%	32%	60%	25%	32%	25%	39%	
A lower priority	9%	11%	-	-	-	-	16%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	16%	6%	2%	18%	9%	13%	-	15%	17%	10%	9%	14%	
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Should not be done at all	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	3%	-	-	
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	88%	100%	100%	-	100%	84%	92%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	88%	84%	92%	96%	82%	91%	85%	98%	85%	83%	87%	91%	86%	
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	3%	-	-	

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
SPLIT A	100	43	12	45	14	29	5	8	27	18	28	15	11	2	15	30	31	34	35	52	47	1
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																						
One of the top priorities	60%	70%	25%	59%	89%	62%	-	41%	49%	75%	65%	82%	29%	-	75%	51%	60%	68%	52%	64%	54%	85%
Important but not top	30%	28%	60%	24%	11%	36%	100%	36%	28%	19%	33%	18%	54%	100%	5%	34%	30%	24%	36%	33%	27%	15%
A lower priority	9%	-	15%	17%	-	-	-	24%	23%	6%	-	-	17%	-	20%	15%	8%	8%	13%	1%	18%	-
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	98%	85%	83%	100%	98%	100%	76%	77%	94%	98%	100%	83%	100%	80%	85%	90%	92%	87%	97%	82%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
SPLIT A	100	34	9	43	56	30	86	56	24	81	92	8	29	67	4	23	54	24		21
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																				
One of the top priorities	60%	79%	45%	72%	67%	52%	62%	66%	67%	66%	65%	2%	81%	55%	-	79%	70%	19%		87%
Important but not top	30%	14%	55%	22%	32%	23%	29%	21%	23%	22%	32%	15%	16%	38%	-	18%	22%	59%		10%
A lower priority	9%	7%	-	5%	-	25%	9%	13%	8%	11%	3%	82%	-	7%	100%	-	8%	22%		-
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	3%	-	-		3%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	93%	100%	95%	99%	75%	90%	87%	90%	88%	96%	18%	98%	93%	-	97%	92%	78%		97%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	3%	-	-		3%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT A	100	34	27	40	48	33	19	36	64	50	26	20	68	30	53	44	3
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																	
One of the top priorities	60%	55%	39%	78%	56%	46%	91%	58%	61%	72%	38%	67%	50%	78%	72%	43%	92%
Important but not top	30%	33%	46%	17%	30%	44%	9%	40%	25%	21%	45%	33%	39%	14%	21%	43%	8%
A lower priority	9%	12%	15%	3%	14%	8%	-	2%	13%	6%	17%	-	11%	6%	6%	14%	-
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	88%	85%	95%	86%	90%	100%	98%	85%	93%	83%	100%	89%	92%	93%	86%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	***NET**	****MEN***	55+ 18-54	****WOM***	55+ 18-54	55+ 18-54	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	***MEN**	NON COLL	***WOM**	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A/B**	A
SPLIT B	101	48	52	43	27	30	51	49	21	28	30	22	73	28	35	14	38	14	23	14	34	30	0	101		
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																										
One of the top priorities	60%	68%	52%	67%	47%	62%	64%	55%	72%	64%	59%	44%	69%	36%	83%	27%	56%	44%	54%	70%	60%	59%	-	60%		
Important but not top	27%	30%	25%	23%	32%	29%	21%	33%	28%	31%	17%	37%	23%	40%	17%	63%	28%	18%	27%	23%	22%	36%	-	27%		
A lower priority	12%	3%	20%	10%	22%	4%	14%	9%	-	5%	24%	13%	7%	25%	-	10%	13%	38%	19%	7%	14%	5%	-	12%		
Not a priority	1%	-	3%	-	-	5%	-	3%	-	-	-	7%	2%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	1%	
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	87%	97%	78%	90%	78%	91%	86%	88%	100%	95%	76%	80%	91%	75%	100%	90%	83%	62%	81%	93%	81%	95%	-	87%		
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	3%	-	-	5%	-	3%	-	-	-	7%	2%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	-	1%	

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE				**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN		WOM	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
SPLIT B	101	92	2	2	1	9	46	46	0	2	1	1	2	6	92	46	46	43	48	66	26	34	12	45	21	27	44
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																											
One of the top priorities	60%	60%	32%	-	93%	54%	69%	51%	-	32%	-	-	39%	60%	60%	69%	51%	67%	54%	69%	38%	74%	56%	51%	79%	70%	45%
Important but not top	27%	26%	68%	100%	7%	46%	28%	23%	-	68%	100%	100%	61%	40%	26%	28%	23%	16%	34%	21%	36%	24%	40%	22%	17%	23%	31%
A lower priority	12%	13%	-	-	-	-	3%	22%	-	-	-	-	-	-	13%	3%	22%	17%	9%	7%	26%	2%	4%	23%	3%	7%	21%
Not a priority	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	3%	-	3%	2%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	3%
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	87%	86%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	74%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	86%	97%	74%	83%	88%	90%	74%	98%	96%	74%	97%	93%	76%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	3%	-	3%	2%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	3%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
SPLIT B	101	43	12	45	21	22	6	6	22	23	24	18	10	3	9	36	26	30	44	46	46	8
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																						
One of the top priorities	60%	70%	56%	51%	72%	68%	30%	79%	73%	31%	73%	66%	71%	-	70%	47%	75%	68%	45%	71%	46%	73%
Important but not top	27%	29%	41%	22%	28%	29%	70%	14%	21%	24%	25%	34%	24%	100%	15%	24%	23%	26%	31%	28%	30%	10%
A lower priority	12%	2%	4%	23%	-	3%	-	7%	6%	39%	3%	-	5%	-	15%	25%	3%	6%	21%	1%	21%	17%
Not a priority	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	3%	-	3%	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	87%	98%	96%	74%	100%	97%	100%	93%	94%	55%	97%	100%	95%	100%	85%	71%	97%	94%	76%	99%	76%	83%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	6%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	3%	-	3%	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
SPLIT B	101	35	16	51	63	23	86	66	22	88	95	5	33	67	1	26	52	23		25
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																				
One of the top priorities	60%	61%	54%	59%	66%	51%	62%	64%	63%	63%	63%	7%	75%	53%	-	76%	69%	20%		78%
Important but not top	27%	30%	19%	26%	26%	33%	28%	28%	30%	28%	25%	68%	23%	28%	100%	22%	19%	51%		20%
A lower priority	12%	10%	27%	15%	9%	15%	10%	9%	8%	8%	11%	25%	2%	17%	-	2%	11%	23%		2%
Not a priority	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	6%		-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	87%	90%	73%	85%	91%	85%	90%	91%	92%	92%	88%	75%	98%	81%	100%	98%	89%	71%		98%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	6%		-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT B	101	31	35	35	44	41	15	30	70	43	33	24	68	32	52	37	12
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																	
One of the top priorities	60%	68%	47%	65%	60%	51%	83%	50%	64%	67%	62%	44%	50%	80%	72%	43%	59%
Important but not top	27%	22%	28%	31%	32%	27%	14%	31%	26%	28%	20%	35%	32%	18%	25%	34%	16%
A lower priority	12%	5%	24%	5%	4%	22%	3%	18%	9%	2%	18%	20%	16%	2%	-	23%	25%
Not a priority	1%	5%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	-	2%	-	3%	-	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	87%	91%	76%	95%	92%	78%	97%	82%	89%	95%	82%	80%	82%	98%	97%	77%	75%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	5%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	-	2%	-	3%	-	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q7,B2Q8,B2Q9,B2Q10).

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT	
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**			****MEN***		****WOM***		NON	COLL	***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-	=====			***A/B**	
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON	COLL	NON	COLL	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS																								
One of the top priorities	55%	53%	57%	60%	60%	43%	60%	50%	69%	40%	53%	61%	57%	51%	59%	42%	55%	60%	57%	62%	54%	50%	66%	44%
Important but not top	38%	37%	39%	32%	31%	53%	32%	43%	18%	53%	43%	34%	35%	42%	31%	47%	39%	37%	28%	31%	43%	42%	28%	47%
A lower priority	6%	8%	4%	8%	6%	4%	7%	4%	13%	3%	3%	6%	5%	7%	6%	11%	5%	2%	9%	8%	3%	7%	3%	9%
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	2%	*	1%	3%	-	*	2%	-	5%	1%	-	2%	-	4%	-	1%	-	6%	-	-	1%	3%	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	93%	90%	95%	92%	91%	96%	92%	94%	87%	93%	96%	94%	92%	93%	90%	89%	94%	98%	85%	92%	97%	92%	95%	91%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	2%	*	1%	3%	-	*	2%	-	5%	1%	-	2%	-	4%	-	1%	-	6%	-	-	1%	3%	-
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION																								
One of the top priorities	78%	84%	73%	75%	81%	78%	75%	81%	87%	81%	66%	81%	79%	75%	87%	80%	73%	71%	74%	72%	79%	84%	85%	71%
Important but not top	19%	14%	23%	19%	19%	19%	21%	17%	13%	15%	27%	19%	18%	21%	11%	20%	25%	21%	26%	21%	17%	16%	13%	25%
A lower priority	1%	2%	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	-	3%	-	-	1%	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%
Not a priority	1%	-	2%	4%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	8%	-	7%	-	-	2%	-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	3%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	2%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	97%	98%	96%	94%	100%	97%	96%	98%	100%	97%	93%	100%	98%	96%	97%	100%	98%	92%	100%	93%	96%	100%	98%	97%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	-	4%	6%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	7%	-	1%	4%	-	-	2%	8%	-	7%	2%	-	2%	2%
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY																								
One of the top priorities	25%	17%	32%	35%	23%	15%	33%	17%	21%	14%	42%	19%	25%	24%	15%	21%	33%	28%	34%	31%	21%	19%	28%	22%
Important but not top	44%	46%	42%	46%	50%	34%	48%	40%	60%	34%	39%	46%	50%	33%	53%	34%	47%	32%	42%	46%	41%	49%	43%	45%
A lower priority	16%	21%	12%	7%	12%	32%	9%	23%	13%	28%	7%	19%	16%	18%	24%	15%	9%	20%	15%	7%	20%	19%	12%	20%
Not a priority	12%	12%	12%	7%	12%	18%	6%	18%	1%	21%	9%	15%	7%	22%	4%	26%	9%	19%	-	14%	16%	13%	17%	7%
Should not be done at all	3%	4%	2%	5%	3%	-	4%	2%	5%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	1%	9%	1%	2%	*	*	5%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	69%	63%	74%	81%	73%	50%	81%	57%	81%	48%	81%	65%	75%	57%	68%	55%	80%	60%	76%	78%	62%	68%	71%	68%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	15%	16%	14%	12%	14%	18%	9%	20%	6%	24%	12%	16%	10%	25%	8%	30%	11%	20%	9%	15%	18%	13%	17%	12%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION																								
One of the top priorities	43%	50%	38%	55%	35%	40%	51%	35%	62%	39%	43%	32%	46%	37%	59%	33%	36%	41%	35%	47%	49%	38%	35%	51%
Important but not top	49%	46%	52%	38%	58%	51%	41%	57%	34%	57%	46%	58%	47%	54%	36%	64%	55%	44%	59%	43%	46%	51%	57%	41%
A lower priority	6%	4%	9%	7%	5%	8%	7%	6%	4%	4%	10%	7%	7%	6%	5%	2%	8%	10%	6%	9%	4%	8%	6%	6%
Not a priority	1%	-	2%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	3%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	1%	2%	-	2%
Should not be done at all	*	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	96%	89%	93%	93%	91%	92%	93%	96%	96%	89%	89%	93%	92%	95%	98%	91%	85%	94%	91%	95%	89%	93%	92%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	5%	-	-	1%	3%	1%	2%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q7,B2Q8,B2Q9,B2Q10).

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	TOT	WHITE ALONE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**	
		BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN		WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON	
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS																											
One of the top priorities	55%	53%	76%	55%	7%	69%	51%	55%	86%	64%	-	77%	74%	66%	53%	51%	55%	57%	50%	56%	49%	68%	63%	40%	71%	60%	39%
Important but not top	38%	39%	24%	29%	93%	24%	38%	41%	14%	36%	100%	-	26%	23%	39%	38%	41%	35%	43%	37%	44%	31%	32%	47%	18%	38%	53%
A lower priority	6%	6%	-	16%	-	5%	8%	4%	-	-	-	23%	-	8%	6%	8%	4%	8%	4%	5%	7%	1%	5%	10%	6%	2%	9%
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	-	3%	5%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	93%	93%	100%	84%	100%	93%	89%	96%	100%	100%	100%	77%	100%	89%	93%	89%	96%	92%	93%	93%	93%	99%	95%	87%	89%	98%	91%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	-	3%	5%	-	-
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION																											
One of the top priorities	78%	80%	63%	33%	93%	64%	84%	76%	100%	22%	-	46%	84%	51%	80%	84%	76%	79%	81%	80%	80%	63%	89%	89%	68%	84%	84%
Important but not top	19%	19%	5%	67%	7%	18%	14%	24%	-	10%	100%	54%	16%	19%	19%	14%	24%	21%	17%	19%	20%	35%	11%	11%	32%	14%	16%
A lower priority	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-	3%	-	-	-	3%	-
Not a priority	1%	-	20%	-	-	11%	-	-	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	12%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	97%	99%	68%	100%	100%	82%	98%	100%	100%	32%	100%	100%	100%	71%	99%	98%	100%	100%	98%	99%	100%	97%	100%	100%	100%	97%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	-	32%	-	-	18%	-	-	-	68%	-	-	-	29%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY																											
One of the top priorities	25%	22%	52%	33%	93%	51%	12%	30%	86%	14%	-	46%	64%	42%	22%	12%	30%	28%	16%	21%	24%	35%	16%	14%	47%	19%	9%
Important but not top	44%	46%	15%	67%	-	30%	47%	45%	14%	18%	100%	54%	36%	25%	46%	47%	45%	53%	40%	52%	34%	50%	62%	39%	41%	67%	34%
A lower priority	16%	18%	-	-	-	2%	23%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	18%	23%	14%	12%	24%	18%	19%	12%	11%	24%	9%	8%	31%
Not a priority	12%	12%	20%	-	-	11%	13%	11%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	12%	13%	11%	4%	18%	8%	20%	2%	11%	19%	3%	5%	22%
Should not be done at all	3%	2%	12%	-	7%	7%	4%	*	-	26%	-	-	-	12%	2%	4%	*	3%	2%	2%	3%	-	-	4%	-	2%	3%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	69%	68%	68%	100%	93%	80%	59%	75%	100%	32%	100%	100%	100%	67%	68%	59%	75%	81%	56%	73%	57%	85%	78%	52%	88%	85%	43%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	15%	14%	32%	-	7%	18%	17%	11%	-	68%	-	-	-	30%	14%	17%	11%	7%	20%	10%	23%	2%	11%	23%	3%	7%	26%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION																											
One of the top priorities	43%	40%	53%	84%	93%	66%	48%	34%	57%	48%	100%	77%	68%	65%	40%	48%	34%	46%	35%	41%	39%	42%	19%	45%	47%	33%	42%
Important but not top	49%	52%	28%	16%	7%	23%	48%	57%	43%	10%	-	23%	32%	17%	52%	48%	57%	47%	57%	51%	56%	55%	65%	47%	48%	61%	49%
A lower priority	6%	6%	20%	-	-	11%	4%	7%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	6%	4%	7%	6%	6%	8%	3%	3%	12%	6%	4%	6%	7%
Not a priority	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-	2%
Should not be done at all	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	93%	80%	100%	100%	89%	96%	90%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	82%	93%	96%	90%	93%	93%	92%	95%	96%	84%	93%	95%	94%	91%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	-	2%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q7,B2Q8,B2Q9,B2Q10).

	TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE		
		IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**							
		DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS																						
One of the top priorities	55%	69%	63%	40%	72%	66%	65%	61%	38%	43%	76%	58%	68%	36%	50%	37%	70%	60%	41%	72%	40%	30%
Important but not top	38%	30%	32%	47%	28%	31%	35%	30%	43%	51%	22%	42%	30%	44%	26%	54%	20%	37%	51%	26%	49%	47%
A lower priority	6%	2%	5%	10%	-	3%	-	9%	14%	5%	2%	1%	2%	20%	13%	9%	6%	3%	8%	1%	9%	23%
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	5%	1%	-	-	-	-	11%	-	4%	1%	-	*	2%	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	93%	98%	95%	87%	100%	97%	100%	91%	81%	94%	98%	99%	98%	80%	76%	91%	90%	97%	92%	98%	89%	77%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	5%	1%	-	-	-	-	11%	-	4%	1%	-	*	2%	-
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION																						
One of the top priorities	78%	63%	89%	89%	61%	64%	100%	80%	97%	81%	66%	57%	90%	80%	93%	88%	69%	82%	81%	66%	90%	81%
Important but not top	19%	30%	11%	11%	34%	28%	-	20%	3%	19%	34%	25%	10%	20%	7%	12%	31%	13%	16%	28%	10%	19%
A lower priority	1%	2%	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	-	-
Not a priority	1%	3%	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	3%	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	2%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	97%	93%	100%	100%	95%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	83%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	97%	94%	100%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	5%	-	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	3%	4%	-	-
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY																						
One of the top priorities	25%	40%	16%	14%	35%	43%	17%	15%	5%	24%	49%	24%	19%	-	27%	9%	50%	22%	9%	34%	17%	8%
Important but not top	44%	45%	62%	39%	51%	41%	59%	64%	40%	37%	40%	52%	67%	36%	58%	32%	40%	62%	33%	51%	35%	59%
A lower priority	16%	9%	11%	25%	14%	6%	17%	6%	27%	22%	8%	11%	4%	44%	7%	31%	7%	7%	31%	9%	24%	10%
Not a priority	12%	5%	11%	19%	-	8%	6%	14%	21%	16%	3%	8%	9%	20%	3%	25%	3%	4%	25%	4%	20%	9%
Should not be done at all	3%	2%	*	4%	-	3%	-	1%	7%	1%	-	5%	*	-	6%	4%	-	5%	3%	2%	3%	15%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	69%	84%	77%	52%	86%	83%	76%	79%	45%	61%	90%	76%	86%	36%	84%	41%	90%	84%	42%	85%	53%	66%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	15%	6%	11%	23%	-	11%	6%	15%	28%	17%	3%	12%	10%	20%	9%	28%	3%	9%	28%	6%	23%	23%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION																						
One of the top priorities	43%	49%	19%	45%	51%	47%	17%	20%	55%	32%	53%	41%	14%	44%	45%	45%	52%	39%	41%	44%	43%	38%
Important but not top	49%	46%	65%	48%	44%	47%	78%	56%	41%	56%	42%	51%	71%	36%	54%	46%	44%	56%	47%	51%	49%	31%
A lower priority	6%	5%	12%	6%	4%	5%	4%	18%	4%	9%	3%	8%	15%	-	1%	8%	3%	5%	10%	5%	7%	22%
Not a priority	1%	-	3%	1%	-	-	-	6%	-	2%	-	-	-	20%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	9%
Should not be done at all	*	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	94%	85%	93%	96%	93%	96%	76%	96%	89%	96%	92%	85%	80%	99%	91%	96%	95%	88%	95%	93%	69%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	1%	3%	1%	-	1%	-	6%	-	2%	1%	-	-	20%	-	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	9%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q7,B2Q8,B2Q9,B2Q10).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS																				
One of the top priorities	55%	65%	19%	53%	60%	44%	55%	55%	62%	57%	59%	-	75%	48%	-	75%	55%	36%		75%
Important but not top	38%	27%	71%	39%	36%	44%	38%	39%	32%	37%	35%	77%	21%	43%	93%	21%	38%	53%		20%
A lower priority	6%	5%	10%	6%	5%	8%	6%	4%	6%	4%	6%	6%	3%	7%	7%	3%	5%	11%		4%
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Should not be done at all	1%	3%	-	2%	-	4%	1%	2%	-	2%	*	17%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	-		1%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	93%	92%	90%	91%	95%	88%	93%	94%	94%	94%	94%	77%	96%	91%	93%	96%	93%	89%		95%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	3%	-	2%	-	4%	1%	2%	-	2%	*	17%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	-		1%
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION																				
One of the top priorities	78%	71%	85%	75%	73%	89%	78%	78%	76%	78%	78%	86%	74%	79%	100%	70%	77%	89%		72%
Important but not top	19%	23%	15%	21%	24%	7%	19%	19%	19%	19%	20%	3%	23%	18%	-	27%	19%	11%		24%
A lower priority	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	3%	-	-	3%	-	-		4%
Not a priority	1%	4%	-	3%	-	5%	1%	-	5%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-		-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	12%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-		-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	97%	94%	100%	96%	97%	95%	97%	97%	95%	97%	98%	88%	97%	97%	100%	97%	96%	100%		96%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	4%	-	3%	1%	5%	2%	1%	5%	2%	1%	12%	-	3%	-	-	4%	-		-
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY																				
One of the top priorities	25%	33%	8%	26%	28%	12%	23%	30%	20%	27%	25%	17%	47%	16%	-	53%	23%	1%		55%
Important but not top	44%	50%	30%	45%	50%	26%	43%	37%	61%	43%	46%	22%	50%	43%	21%	43%	47%	40%		41%
A lower priority	16%	11%	35%	17%	15%	25%	18%	18%	4%	15%	17%	6%	2%	23%	-	3%	19%	23%		2%
Not a priority	12%	4%	28%	10%	5%	30%	13%	11%	14%	12%	10%	40%	1%	14%	72%	2%	7%	33%		2%
Should not be done at all	3%	3%	-	2%	1%	7%	3%	4%	-	3%	2%	15%	-	4%	7%	-	4%	4%		-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	69%	82%	37%	71%	79%	38%	66%	66%	82%	71%	71%	39%	97%	59%	21%	95%	70%	40%		97%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	15%	7%	28%	12%	7%	37%	16%	15%	14%	15%	12%	55%	1%	18%	79%	2%	11%	36%		2%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION																				
One of the top priorities	43%	55%	45%	53%	42%	53%	45%	52%	35%	48%	45%	18%	57%	39%	-	66%	44%	18%		65%
Important but not top	49%	41%	45%	42%	50%	39%	47%	45%	48%	46%	47%	82%	39%	52%	100%	31%	47%	72%		31%
A lower priority	6%	4%	4%	4%	7%	6%	7%	2%	12%	5%	7%	-	1%	9%	-	*	8%	11%		*
Not a priority	1%	-	7%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	-	3%	-	-	2%	1%	-		2%
Should not be done at all	*	-	-	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	*	*	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-		1%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	96%	90%	94%	92%	92%	92%	98%	83%	94%	92%	100%	96%	91%	100%	97%	92%	89%		96%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	7%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	5%	1%	1%	-	4%	-	-	3%	1%	-		3%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q7,B2Q8,B2Q9,B2Q10).

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR	
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS																	
One of the top priorities	55%	44%	56%	64%	54%	48%	71%	55%	55%	65%	45%	43%	47%	72%	66%	46%	25%
Important but not top	38%	52%	31%	31%	37%	45%	24%	37%	38%	26%	49%	50%	44%	26%	25%	47%	75%
A lower priority	6%	4%	10%	4%	5%	7%	5%	7%	5%	6%	6%	7%	8%	1%	6%	7%	-
Not a priority	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	4%	1%	3%	-	-	1%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	3%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	93%	96%	86%	95%	92%	93%	95%	93%	93%	92%	94%	93%	90%	98%	92%	93%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	-	4%	1%	3%	-	-	1%	2%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	3%	-	-
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION																	
One of the top priorities	78%	87%	73%	74%	86%	69%	76%	76%	79%	82%	74%	74%	76%	83%	77%	80%	80%
Important but not top	19%	10%	27%	21%	14%	27%	17%	20%	18%	17%	23%	20%	20%	17%	20%	18%	20%
A lower priority	1%	3%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-	3%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-
Not a priority	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	7%	4%	-	-	-	6%	2%	-	2%	-	-
Should not be done at all	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	97%	97%	100%	95%	100%	96%	93%	96%	98%	98%	97%	94%	96%	100%	96%	98%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	-	-	5%	-	2%	7%	4%	1%	2%	-	6%	3%	-	4%	-	-
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY																	
One of the top priorities	25%	18%	24%	31%	26%	19%	34%	27%	24%	29%	29%	13%	20%	36%	34%	15%	10%
Important but not top	44%	39%	44%	49%	38%	49%	51%	45%	44%	52%	28%	46%	38%	55%	44%	46%	35%
A lower priority	16%	23%	15%	12%	20%	17%	6%	8%	20%	8%	20%	30%	22%	4%	10%	17%	55%
Not a priority	12%	20%	12%	5%	16%	8%	9%	14%	11%	10%	17%	10%	17%	2%	10%	17%	-
Should not be done at all	3%	*	6%	3%	1%	7%	-	5%	1%	2%	7%	-	3%	3%	1%	5%	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	69%	57%	68%	80%	64%	68%	85%	72%	68%	81%	57%	59%	58%	91%	79%	61%	45%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	15%	20%	17%	8%	16%	15%	9%	20%	12%	12%	24%	10%	19%	5%	11%	22%	-
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION																	
One of the top priorities	43%	49%	24%	54%	44%	33%	64%	44%	43%	40%	46%	49%	38%	52%	46%	33%	80%
Important but not top	49%	44%	64%	41%	48%	60%	29%	48%	50%	48%	52%	46%	54%	42%	42%	63%	20%
A lower priority	6%	4%	11%	4%	7%	5%	7%	8%	6%	10%	1%	6%	7%	5%	10%	2%	-
Not a priority	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-
Should not be done at all	*	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	*	1%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	93%	89%	95%	91%	94%	93%	92%	93%	88%	98%	94%	91%	94%	88%	97%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+65+	***NET** <55+<55+	85+	****MEN*** 18-54	****WOM*** 55+	18-54	55+	NON COLL COLL	GRAD	***MEN** NON	***WOM** COLL	NON	COLL	GRN BAY- APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A/ B** A	B	
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101	
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																									
One of the top priorities	61%	62%	61%	48%	65%	74%	53%	70%	58%	66%	49%	75%	64%	57%	68%	52%	60%	63%	49%	70%	67%	54%	69%	54%	
Important but not top	29%	26%	31%	40%	24%	20%	36%	21%	32%	20%	39%	22%	26%	34%	19%	37%	31%	32%	31%	29%	26%	30%	23%	34%	
A lower priority	7%	10%	5%	10%	6%	6%	9%	5%	9%	10%	10%	-	8%	6%	9%	12%	7%	1%	13%	1%	2%	16%	4%	11%	
Not a priority	3%	2%	3%	2%	5%	-	2%	4%	-	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	-	2%	5%	6%	-	4%	-	4%	2%	
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	88%	92%	88%	89%	94%	89%	91%	91%	85%	88%	97%	89%	91%	87%	88%	91%	94%	80%	99%	94%	84%	92%	88%	
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	3%	2%	3%	2%	5%	-	2%	4%	-	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	-	2%	5%	6%	-	4%	-	4%	2%	
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																									
One of the top priorities	33%	27%	37%	30%	33%	35%	31%	34%	25%	29%	36%	39%	35%	28%	28%	27%	41%	29%	27%	26%	33%	42%	31%	34%	
Important but not top	34%	39%	30%	47%	37%	15%	46%	22%	61%	19%	34%	25%	36%	31%	44%	30%	29%	32%	36%	49%	30%	27%	42%	27%	
A lower priority	17%	16%	18%	14%	18%	20%	13%	21%	6%	25%	19%	17%	15%	22%	11%	24%	18%	19%	19%	14%	16%	20%	18%	16%	
Not a priority	13%	14%	13%	4%	10%	29%	7%	20%	2%	24%	11%	15%	12%	16%	13%	15%	11%	17%	9%	8%	21%	7%	8%	18%	
Should not be done at all	3%	4%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	6%	3%	*	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	1%	3%	9%	3%	-	2%	1%	4%	
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	67%	66%	67%	78%	70%	50%	77%	56%	87%	49%	70%	65%	71%	59%	72%	56%	70%	61%	63%	75%	63%	70%	73%	61%	
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	16%	18%	15%	8%	12%	30%	10%	23%	7%	27%	11%	18%	14%	20%	17%	19%	12%	20%	18%	11%	21%	10%	9%	23%	

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE				POC	**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**	***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																											
One of the top priorities	61%	62%	49%	71%	100%	60%	63%	60%	72%	24%	-	100%	53%	65%	62%	63%	60%	52%	70%	63%	59%	55%	39%	73%	56%	50%	73%
Important but not top	29%	28%	38%	29%	-	33%	23%	32%	28%	50%	100%	-	47%	24%	28%	23%	32%	36%	21%	26%	31%	30%	53%	20%	21%	39%	24%
A lower priority	7%	8%	-	-	-	-	11%	6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	11%	6%	12%	5%	9%	7%	13%	7%	5%	14%	11%	3%
Not a priority	3%	2%	12%	-	-	7%	3%	2%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	2%	3%	2%	-	4%	2%	3%	2%	-	3%	9%	-	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	90%	88%	100%	100%	93%	86%	92%	100%	74%	100%	100%	100%	89%	90%	86%	92%	88%	91%	89%	90%	84%	93%	92%	77%	89%	97%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	3%	2%	12%	-	-	7%	3%	2%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	2%	3%	2%	-	4%	2%	3%	2%	-	3%	9%	-	-
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																											
One of the top priorities	33%	31%	24%	100%	-	49%	26%	34%	14%	36%	100%	100%	36%	57%	31%	26%	34%	28%	33%	32%	28%	69%	9%	9%	64%	39%	5%
Important but not top	34%	34%	52%	-	93%	36%	36%	32%	86%	12%	-	-	64%	19%	34%	36%	32%	47%	22%	35%	31%	24%	40%	39%	28%	41%	32%
A lower priority	17%	18%	20%	-	-	12%	18%	18%	-	42%	-	-	-	20%	18%	18%	18%	13%	21%	17%	20%	6%	38%	20%	7%	13%	27%
Not a priority	13%	15%	5%	-	-	3%	15%	14%	-	10%	-	-	-	4%	15%	15%	14%	8%	20%	13%	18%	-	9%	26%	-	3%	32%
Should not be done at all	3%	3%	-	-	7%	*	5%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	3%	5%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	-	4%	5%	-	4%	4%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	67%	64%	76%	100%	93%	85%	63%	66%	100%	48%	100%	100%	100%	75%	64%	63%	66%	75%	56%	67%	59%	94%	49%	48%	93%	80%	37%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	16%	18%	5%	-	7%	3%	20%	16%	-	10%	-	-	-	5%	18%	20%	16%	12%	23%	16%	22%	-	13%	32%	-	7%	36%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																						
One of the top priorities	61%	56%	39%	72%	50%	60%	17%	56%	80%	63%	65%	43%	40%	36%	59%	77%	62%	49%	71%	53%	72%	50%
Important but not top	29%	30%	53%	20%	35%	27%	65%	44%	10%	31%	21%	46%	51%	64%	14%	22%	20%	39%	27%	35%	21%	35%
A lower priority	7%	10%	7%	5%	15%	6%	17%	-	4%	5%	12%	7%	9%	-	17%	*	11%	10%	3%	9%	5%	15%
Not a priority	3%	4%	-	3%	-	6%	-	-	5%	-	3%	5%	-	-	10%	-	7%	2%	-	3%	2%	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	86%	93%	93%	85%	88%	83%	100%	91%	95%	85%	89%	91%	100%	73%	100%	82%	88%	97%	88%	92%	85%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	3%	4%	-	3%	-	6%	-	-	5%	-	3%	5%	-	-	10%	-	7%	2%	-	3%	2%	-
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																						
One of the top priorities	33%	64%	9%	9%	70%	60%	-	16%	3%	16%	68%	59%	11%	-	21%	5%	62%	41%	5%	59%	8%	6%
Important but not top	34%	27%	40%	39%	27%	27%	61%	24%	42%	36%	26%	28%	48%	-	65%	30%	32%	40%	31%	32%	36%	41%
A lower priority	17%	8%	38%	20%	2%	12%	33%	42%	22%	18%	6%	11%	33%	64%	3%	26%	6%	12%	29%	8%	24%	39%
Not a priority	13%	1%	9%	26%	-	1%	6%	11%	25%	28%	-	2%	3%	36%	3%	35%	-	3%	31%	1%	28%	-
Should not be done at all	3%	-	4%	5%	-	-	-	7%	8%	2%	-	-	5%	-	7%	5%	-	4%	4%	1%	4%	15%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	67%	91%	49%	49%	98%	87%	61%	41%	45%	52%	94%	88%	59%	-	87%	34%	94%	81%	36%	91%	44%	46%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	16%	1%	13%	31%	-	1%	6%	18%	33%	30%	-	2%	8%	36%	10%	39%	-	7%	35%	1%	32%	15%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																				
One of the top priorities	61%	62%	75%	65%	57%	71%	61%	69%	49%	64%	62%	49%	62%	60%	93%	65%	58%	66%		64%
Important but not top	29%	28%	24%	27%	33%	17%	28%	20%	39%	25%	30%	10%	28%	30%	7%	25%	29%	30%		25%
A lower priority	7%	6%	1%	5%	8%	7%	8%	6%	12%	8%	7%	12%	10%	6%	-	10%	8%	4%		11%
Not a priority	3%	3%	-	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	-	3%	1%	29%	-	4%	-	-	5%	-		-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	91%	99%	93%	89%	89%	89%	89%	88%	89%	92%	59%	90%	90%	100%	90%	87%	96%		89%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	3%	3%	-	2%	3%	4%	3%	4%	-	3%	1%	29%	-	4%	-	-	5%	-		-
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																				
One of the top priorities	33%	48%	11%	39%	50%	2%	35%	35%	32%	34%	34%	13%	66%	19%	-	70%	27%	7%		71%
Important but not top	34%	32%	14%	28%	32%	37%	34%	33%	40%	35%	35%	23%	24%	40%	-	22%	41%	32%		23%
A lower priority	17%	12%	25%	16%	14%	24%	17%	15%	23%	17%	16%	30%	5%	23%	21%	5%	14%	35%		3%
Not a priority	13%	4%	48%	15%	3%	29%	11%	13%	4%	11%	12%	30%	5%	15%	72%	1%	15%	20%		1%
Should not be done at all	3%	3%	1%	3%	1%	8%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	7%	1%	2%	6%		1%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	67%	81%	26%	66%	82%	39%	69%	68%	72%	69%	69%	36%	90%	59%	-	93%	68%	38%		94%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	16%	7%	49%	18%	4%	37%	14%	17%	5%	14%	15%	33%	6%	18%	79%	2%	18%	26%		2%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS	\$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																	
One of the top priorities	61%	69%	56%	59%	64%	52%	74%	53%	66%	61%	67%	56%	58%	68%	62%	60%	66%
Important but not top	29%	29%	28%	29%	26%	34%	24%	39%	23%	25%	20%	44%	32%	21%	25%	33%	34%
A lower priority	7%	1%	11%	10%	7%	11%	2%	8%	7%	8%	13%	-	6%	11%	9%	6%	-
Not a priority	3%	-	6%	2%	4%	2%	-	-	4%	6%	-	-	4%	-	4%	2%	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	90%	99%	83%	88%	89%	87%	98%	92%	89%	86%	87%	100%	90%	89%	87%	92%	100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	3%	-	6%	2%	4%	2%	-	-	4%	6%	-	-	4%	-	4%	2%	-
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																	
One of the top priorities	33%	27%	29%	41%	30%	35%	35%	20%	39%	36%	30%	28%	30%	39%	37%	31%	9%
Important but not top	34%	37%	25%	39%	35%	26%	50%	48%	27%	41%	27%	31%	29%	43%	39%	33%	6%
A lower priority	17%	12%	24%	16%	16%	22%	9%	20%	16%	17%	17%	20%	20%	12%	19%	14%	23%
Not a priority	13%	23%	15%	3%	18%	11%	4%	6%	17%	5%	18%	20%	18%	2%	4%	16%	62%
Should not be done at all	3%	2%	6%	1%	1%	6%	1%	6%	1%	1%	8%	-	3%	3%	1%	6%	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	67%	63%	55%	80%	65%	60%	86%	68%	66%	77%	57%	60%	59%	82%	76%	65%	15%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	16%	25%	21%	4%	19%	18%	5%	12%	18%	7%	26%	20%	21%	6%	5%	21%	62%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B	
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																								
Very affordable	19%	21%	16%	12%	20%	24%	16%	22%	17%	25%	15%	18%	21%	13%	27%	10%	17%	15%	25%	12%	18%	19%	18%	19%
Somewhat affordable	48%	53%	45%	60%	44%	40%	55%	41%	65%	42%	48%	40%	42%	62%	46%	66%	39%	58%	54%	54%	44%	47%	45%	52%
Somewhat unaffordable	20%	14%	25%	14%	29%	16%	17%	23%	12%	15%	21%	30%	22%	15%	9%	23%	34%	7%	16%	25%	18%	22%	26%	14%
Very unaffordable	2%	2%	3%	4%	-	3%	3%	2%	4%	-	2%	4%	3%	1%	3%	-	3%	1%	5%	-	3%	2%	1%	4%
Don't know enough to say	11%	10%	11%	10%	7%	17%	9%	12%	2%	18%	14%	7%	11%	9%	16%	1%	7%	19%	-	8%	17%	11%	10%	11%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	67%	74%	61%	73%	64%	64%	71%	63%	82%	67%	63%	59%	63%	75%	73%	77%	56%	73%	79%	67%	63%	66%	63%	71%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	22%	16%	28%	18%	29%	19%	20%	25%	16%	15%	23%	34%	26%	16%	12%	23%	37%	8%	21%	25%	21%	24%	27%	18%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	***PARTY IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																											
Very affordable	19%	20%	-	16%	-	9%	23%	17%	-	-	-	23%	-	15%	20%	23%	17%	17%	22%	23%	14%	23%	15%	19%	24%	17%	20%
Somewhat affordable	48%	50%	37%	29%	100%	35%	55%	46%	28%	47%	100%	-	37%	33%	50%	55%	46%	60%	42%	44%	62%	48%	39%	55%	50%	52%	49%
Somewhat unaffordable	20%	17%	54%	39%	-	43%	9%	24%	72%	34%	-	54%	53%	37%	17%	9%	24%	12%	21%	19%	13%	21%	28%	11%	15%	24%	13%
Very unaffordable	2%	2%	-	16%	-	4%	2%	2%	-	-	-	23%	-	6%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	1%	*	9%	2%	1%	4%	2%
Don't know enough to say	11%	11%	9%	-	-	9%	10%	11%	-	19%	-	-	10%	8%	11%	10%	11%	9%	13%	11%	10%	8%	8%	14%	11%	4%	16%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	67%	70%	37%	45%	100%	44%	78%	63%	28%	47%	100%	23%	37%	48%	70%	78%	63%	77%	64%	67%	76%	70%	55%	74%	74%	69%	69%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	22%	19%	54%	55%	-	47%	12%	26%	72%	34%	-	77%	53%	43%	19%	12%	26%	14%	23%	22%	14%	22%	37%	12%	15%	28%	15%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																						
Very affordable	19%	19%	15%	19%	26%	15%	-	26%	23%	15%	21%	17%	18%	-	17%	20%	22%	15%	19%	18%	19%	19%
Somewhat affordable	48%	44%	40%	55%	44%	44%	78%	11%	54%	56%	42%	48%	39%	44%	71%	49%	44%	50%	51%	46%	51%	48%
Somewhat unaffordable	20%	27%	28%	11%	23%	30%	4%	45%	9%	14%	26%	29%	22%	56%	12%	10%	24%	24%	13%	27%	13%	11%
Very unaffordable	2%	1%	9%	2%	-	2%	17%	3%	-	4%	*	3%	11%	-	-	2%	*	5%	2%	1%	4%	-
Don't know enough to say	11%	8%	8%	14%	7%	9%	-	15%	15%	12%	10%	5%	10%	-	-	19%	10%	6%	15%	7%	13%	22%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	67%	63%	55%	74%	70%	59%	78%	37%	76%	71%	63%	64%	57%	44%	88%	69%	66%	65%	70%	65%	70%	67%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	22%	28%	37%	13%	23%	32%	22%	48%	9%	17%	27%	31%	33%	56%	12%	13%	25%	29%	15%	28%	17%	11%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																				
Very affordable	19%	22%	27%	23%	26%	8%	20%	21%	13%	19%	19%	10%	28%	15%	7%	25%	18%	12%		27%
Somewhat affordable	48%	55%	20%	46%	43%	58%	48%	51%	41%	48%	46%	78%	32%	54%	93%	34%	50%	60%		35%
Somewhat unaffordable	20%	19%	28%	21%	22%	17%	20%	17%	35%	22%	20%	12%	31%	16%	-	31%	16%	17%		29%
Very unaffordable	2%	2%	-	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	5%	2%	3%	-	1%	3%	-	2%	2%	5%		2%
Don't know enough to say	11%	3%	25%	9%	8%	14%	10%	10%	6%	9%	11%	-	8%	12%	-	7%	14%	7%		8%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	67%	77%	47%	69%	69%	66%	68%	72%	54%	67%	66%	88%	60%	69%	100%	60%	68%	72%		61%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	22%	20%	28%	22%	23%	20%	22%	18%	40%	24%	23%	12%	32%	19%	-	33%	18%	21%		31%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																	
Very affordable	19%	20%	20%	16%	21%	20%	8%	14%	21%	14%	27%	16%	20%	16%	15%	24%	13%
Somewhat affordable	48%	47%	47%	51%	45%	49%	55%	53%	46%	44%	43%	67%	51%	42%	39%	61%	45%
Somewhat unaffordable	20%	14%	20%	25%	17%	24%	20%	22%	19%	31%	15%	2%	19%	24%	29%	12%	-
Very unaffordable	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	-	1%	3%	3%	4%	-	1%	5%	4%	1%	-
Don't know enough to say	11%	16%	10%	7%	13%	5%	17%	10%	11%	9%	11%	14%	9%	14%	12%	3%	42%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	67%	67%	67%	67%	67%	69%	63%	67%	67%	58%	70%	84%	71%	58%	55%	85%	58%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	22%	17%	23%	26%	20%	26%	20%	24%	22%	33%	19%	2%	20%	28%	33%	12%	-

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-		***A/B**				
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B	
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																								
Getting better	26%	30%	23%	24%	25%	30%	20%	32%	9%	49%	29%	15%	21%	37%	24%	43%	19%	31%	17%	23%	35%	22%	29%	23%
Staying the same	40%	32%	47%	45%	40%	35%	43%	37%	40%	25%	45%	49%	43%	33%	36%	25%	50%	41%	48%	42%	31%	46%	28%	52%
Getting worse	34%	38%	30%	31%	35%	35%	37%	31%	51%	26%	26%	36%	35%	30%	41%	32%	31%	29%	34%	35%	34%	32%	43%	25%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																								
Getting better	22%	27%	18%	14%	25%	28%	17%	28%	11%	41%	21%	15%	21%	25%	19%	42%	23%	7%	22%	10%	30%	19%	19%	25%
Staying the same	45%	40%	49%	49%	41%	45%	43%	47%	33%	46%	50%	48%	45%	45%	50%	22%	41%	69%	41%	50%	40%	53%	40%	50%
Getting worse	33%	33%	33%	37%	33%	27%	41%	25%	56%	13%	29%	37%	34%	30%	31%	36%	37%	24%	37%	40%	30%	28%	41%	25%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																								
Getting better	26%	35%	19%	21%	25%	34%	17%	35%	15%	52%	19%	19%	26%	26%	34%	35%	20%	16%	16%	33%	30%	22%	30%	22%
Staying the same	42%	37%	47%	50%	38%	38%	48%	36%	44%	31%	52%	41%	41%	45%	35%	41%	46%	48%	46%	40%	39%	46%	29%	56%
Getting worse	32%	28%	35%	29%	37%	28%	34%	29%	41%	17%	30%	41%	33%	30%	30%	24%	34%	36%	38%	27%	31%	32%	42%	22%

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	=====						=====								=====												
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	ID** IND	***IDEOLOGY** GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																											
Getting better	26%	26%	28%	55%	7%	29%	34%	19%	-	59%	-	77%	-	48%	26%	34%	19%	18%	33%	21%	36%	15%	13%	37%	20%	16%	36%
Staying the same	40%	40%	50%	-	-	40%	30%	49%	58%	41%	-	-	53%	32%	40%	30%	49%	43%	37%	43%	33%	49%	30%	36%	48%	37%	37%
Getting worse	34%	34%	22%	45%	93%	30%	37%	32%	42%	-	100%	23%	47%	19%	34%	37%	32%	39%	30%	36%	31%	36%	57%	27%	31%	47%	26%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																											
Getting better	22%	21%	12%	55%	-	29%	29%	15%	-	26%	-	77%	10%	41%	21%	29%	15%	13%	29%	19%	27%	14%	10%	30%	15%	13%	31%
Staying the same	45%	46%	50%	-	100%	39%	42%	49%	28%	74%	-	-	21%	51%	46%	42%	49%	44%	47%	46%	46%	55%	19%	46%	51%	35%	51%
Getting worse	33%	33%	38%	45%	-	33%	29%	36%	72%	-	100%	23%	69%	9%	33%	29%	36%	43%	24%	36%	27%	31%	71%	24%	34%	52%	18%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																											
Getting better	26%	25%	39%	-	-	31%	35%	17%	28%	51%	-	-	31%	31%	25%	35%	17%	13%	36%	26%	24%	18%	10%	35%	21%	18%	34%
Staying the same	42%	42%	26%	84%	100%	45%	37%	46%	30%	22%	100%	77%	38%	49%	42%	37%	46%	49%	36%	41%	43%	50%	31%	39%	42%	36%	46%
Getting worse	32%	33%	35%	16%	-	24%	28%	37%	42%	26%	-	23%	31%	20%	33%	28%	37%	38%	28%	33%	32%	32%	58%	26%	36%	46%	20%

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																						
Getting better	26%	19%	13%	37%	13%	23%	6%	18%	47%	24%	20%	17%	16%	-	26%	41%	23%	15%	38%	18%	35%	18%
Staying the same	40%	46%	30%	37%	52%	43%	28%	30%	19%	58%	43%	51%	27%	44%	36%	37%	44%	41%	36%	40%	36%	73%
Getting worse	34%	35%	57%	26%	35%	35%	65%	51%	34%	18%	37%	32%	57%	56%	37%	22%	34%	44%	25%	41%	29%	9%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																						
Getting better	22%	18%	10%	30%	16%	19%	6%	13%	39%	18%	20%	14%	12%	-	15%	35%	19%	16%	30%	17%	28%	18%
Staying the same	45%	51%	19%	47%	56%	48%	15%	21%	34%	61%	43%	63%	23%	-	34%	51%	44%	37%	52%	48%	42%	40%
Getting worse	33%	31%	71%	24%	28%	33%	78%	66%	26%	21%	37%	22%	65%	100%	51%	14%	38%	47%	18%	35%	29%	41%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																						
Getting better	26%	21%	10%	35%	19%	23%	-	18%	53%	14%	18%	26%	12%	-	28%	38%	20%	20%	36%	21%	29%	49%
Staying the same	42%	49%	32%	39%	58%	42%	52%	16%	20%	62%	50%	46%	29%	44%	27%	44%	47%	35%	45%	44%	44%	10%
Getting worse	32%	30%	58%	26%	23%	35%	48%	66%	28%	24%	32%	27%	59%	56%	45%	19%	33%	44%	20%	35%	27%	41%

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																				
Getting better	26%	25%	48%	31%	14%	51%	25%	31%	18%	27%	23%	67%	12%	31%	72%	17%	26%	36%		14%
Staying the same	40%	45%	28%	41%	48%	18%	39%	38%	42%	39%	41%	29%	42%	39%	28%	43%	39%	39%		43%
Getting worse	34%	29%	24%	28%	38%	31%	36%	31%	40%	33%	36%	4%	46%	30%	-	40%	35%	25%		43%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																				
Getting better	22%	16%	59%	27%	17%	39%	24%	27%	11%	22%	20%	54%	12%	25%	72%	19%	20%	30%		16%
Staying the same	45%	56%	16%	46%	46%	27%	40%	51%	34%	46%	45%	45%	43%	47%	28%	39%	47%	46%		40%
Getting worse	33%	28%	25%	27%	37%	33%	36%	23%	56%	32%	35%	1%	45%	29%	-	42%	32%	25%		44%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																				
Getting better	26%	21%	61%	31%	21%	42%	28%	31%	24%	29%	25%	40%	16%	29%	72%	17%	25%	38%		17%
Staying the same	42%	52%	27%	45%	46%	27%	40%	44%	34%	41%	43%	30%	42%	43%	28%	48%	43%	35%		46%
Getting worse	32%	27%	13%	23%	33%	31%	32%	25%	42%	30%	32%	31%	42%	28%	-	35%	32%	26%		38%

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS	\$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																	
Getting better	26%	32%	35%	14%	24%	35%	15%	33%	23%	10%	37%	44%	34%	11%	14%	39%	44%
Staying the same	40%	31%	38%	50%	35%	36%	60%	34%	43%	49%	33%	32%	38%	46%	46%	32%	37%
Getting worse	34%	37%	28%	36%	41%	29%	25%	33%	34%	41%	30%	24%	28%	43%	40%	28%	19%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																	
Getting better	22%	30%	25%	13%	20%	33%	5%	19%	24%	8%	33%	37%	29%	9%	9%	32%	64%
Staying the same	45%	46%	43%	45%	42%	40%	62%	45%	45%	51%	42%	38%	44%	47%	48%	46%	15%
Getting worse	33%	23%	32%	42%	38%	27%	33%	36%	31%	41%	25%	25%	27%	44%	43%	22%	21%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																	
Getting better	26%	37%	18%	23%	29%	23%	26%	20%	29%	17%	31%	36%	34%	9%	15%	35%	53%
Staying the same	42%	35%	46%	46%	30%	51%	58%	47%	40%	40%	48%	43%	36%	57%	43%	43%	34%
Getting worse	32%	27%	36%	31%	42%	26%	17%	33%	31%	43%	21%	21%	29%	34%	42%	22%	13%

Q17. Problem with lead pipes in the US. Q18. Problem with lead pipes in your state. Q19. Worried about toxic chemical in tap water. V1. Initial Vote.

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**			***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-		MADISON MLWK OTHER		***A/B**		
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B			
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101	
Q17. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN THE US																									
A crisis	15%	18%	13%	23%	12%	11%	20%	10%	28%	10%	14%	11%	18%	10%	21%	13%	16%	6%	5%	22%	11%	26%	15%	16%	
A major problem	41%	38%	44%	40%	43%	40%	41%	41%	38%	38%	43%	45%	44%	35%	46%	23%	42%	48%	46%	52%	40%	30%	44%	38%	
A minor problem	43%	43%	43%	37%	45%	48%	38%	48%	34%	52%	42%	44%	37%	55%	32%	65%	41%	46%	49%	26%	49%	42%	41%	45%	
Not a problem	*	*	1%	-	1%	-	1%	*	-	*	1%	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	-	
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	57%	56%	57%	63%	54%	52%	61%	52%	66%	48%	58%	56%	62%	45%	68%	35%	58%	54%	51%	74%	51%	56%	59%	55%	
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	43%	44%	43%	37%	46%	48%	39%	48%	34%	52%	42%	44%	38%	55%	32%	65%	42%	46%	49%	26%	49%	44%	41%	45%	
Q18. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN YOUR STATE																									
A crisis	12%	11%	12%	18%	12%	3%	19%	4%	21%	3%	17%	6%	13%	8%	14%	6%	13%	10%	3%	27%	5%	18%	13%	11%	
A major problem	34%	30%	37%	41%	34%	25%	41%	27%	36%	24%	44%	30%	35%	32%	35%	20%	35%	44%	29%	42%	39%	22%	39%	29%	
A minor problem	49%	54%	44%	36%	45%	68%	36%	62%	40%	66%	33%	57%	45%	56%	44%	72%	45%	40%	65%	22%	51%	53%	43%	54%	
Not a problem	6%	5%	7%	5%	9%	4%	4%	7%	2%	7%	6%	8%	7%	4%	7%	2%	7%	6%	3%	10%	5%	8%	5%	7%	
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	45%	41%	49%	59%	46%	28%	59%	31%	58%	26%	61%	36%	48%	40%	49%	26%	48%	53%	32%	69%	44%	40%	52%	39%	
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	55%	59%	51%	41%	54%	72%	41%	69%	42%	74%	39%	64%	52%	60%	51%	74%	52%	47%	68%	31%	56%	60%	48%	61%	
Q19. WORRIED ABOUT TOXIC CHEMICAL IN TAP WATER																									
Extremely worried	15%	13%	16%	26%	11%	5%	24%	5%	24%	5%	24%	6%	18%	9%	17%	6%	18%	12%	8%	35%	8%	16%	14%	16%	
Somewhat worried	31%	28%	34%	46%	34%	9%	44%	18%	51%	8%	38%	29%	27%	38%	27%	30%	28%	47%	32%	28%	36%	24%	36%	26%	
Somewhat less worried	24%	23%	24%	12%	23%	39%	16%	31%	10%	34%	20%	28%	25%	21%	25%	19%	25%	23%	29%	14%	19%	34%	18%	29%	
Not at all worried	31%	36%	26%	16%	32%	47%	17%	45%	15%	54%	18%	36%	30%	32%	31%	45%	29%	18%	31%	23%	37%	26%	32%	29%	
No opinion/neutral	*	-	*	-	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	1%	*	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	1%	*	-	
WORRIED (NET)	46%	41%	50%	73%	45%	14%	67%	23%	75%	12%	62%	35%	45%	47%	44%	36%	46%	59%	40%	64%	44%	39%	50%	42%	
LESS/NOT WORRIED (NET)	54%	59%	50%	27%	55%	86%	33%	76%	25%	88%	38%	64%	55%	53%	56%	64%	54%	41%	60%	36%	56%	60%	50%	58%	
V1. INITIAL VOTE																									
Strongly support	45%	41%	48%	48%	43%	42%	48%	42%	47%	36%	49%	47%	51%	32%	46%	33%	55%	31%	35%	57%	34%	61%	40%	49%	
Somewhat support	49%	51%	47%	45%	52%	49%	48%	49%	53%	49%	44%	50%	44%	59%	48%	55%	40%	63%	54%	42%	57%	36%	51%	46%	
Somewhat oppose	7%	8%	5%	6%	5%	9%	4%	9%	-	15%	8%	3%	5%	9%	6%	12%	5%	6%	11%	1%	9%	4%	8%	5%	
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	92%	95%	94%	95%	91%	96%	91%	100%	85%	92%	97%	95%	91%	94%	88%	95%	94%	89%	99%	91%	96%	92%	95%	
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	8%	5%	6%	5%	9%	4%	9%	-	15%	8%	3%	5%	9%	6%	12%	5%	6%	11%	1%	9%	4%	8%	5%	

Q17. Problem with lead pipes in the US. Q18. Problem with lead pipes in your state. Q19. Worried about toxic chemical in tap water. V1. Initial Vote.

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	TOT	WHITE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	TOT	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
Q17. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN THE US																											
A crisis	15%	12%	42%	33%	93%	41%	15%	10%	72%	9%	-	46%	53%	33%	12%	15%	10%	15%	10%	15%	7%	15%	11%	10%	22%	13%	6%
A major problem	41%	40%	45%	67%	-	47%	38%	43%	28%	64%	100%	54%	37%	53%	40%	38%	43%	39%	41%	45%	32%	53%	35%	33%	46%	54%	27%
A minor problem	43%	47%	12%	-	7%	13%	47%	47%	-	26%	-	-	10%	14%	47%	47%	47%	45%	49%	40%	61%	31%	54%	56%	30%	33%	67%
Not a problem	*	*	-	-	-	-	*	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	*	1%	1%	*	1%	-	1%	*	1%	-	*	
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	57%	53%	88%	100%	93%	87%	53%	53%	100%	74%	100%	100%	90%	86%	53%	53%	53%	54%	51%	59%	39%	68%	46%	44%	69%	67%	33%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	43%	47%	12%	-	7%	13%	47%	47%	-	26%	-	-	10%	14%	47%	47%	47%	46%	49%	41%	61%	32%	54%	56%	31%	33%	67%
Q18. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN YOUR STATE																											
A crisis	12%	8%	51%	39%	-	42%	9%	7%	43%	59%	-	54%	32%	49%	8%	9%	7%	13%	3%	11%	1%	10%	8%	6%	16%	9%	2%
A major problem	34%	34%	45%	33%	-	35%	28%	38%	57%	31%	-	46%	42%	31%	34%	28%	38%	41%	27%	33%	35%	33%	26%	36%	32%	42%	28%
A minor problem	49%	53%	-	29%	100%	15%	57%	49%	-	-	100%	-	26%	8%	53%	57%	49%	41%	63%	50%	59%	50%	64%	52%	43%	44%	65%
Not a problem	6%	6%	5%	-	-	8%	6%	6%	-	10%	-	-	-	13%	6%	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	5%	6%	2%	6%	9%	5%	5%
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	45%	41%	95%	71%	-	77%	37%	45%	100%	90%	-	100%	74%	79%	41%	37%	45%	54%	31%	44%	36%	44%	34%	42%	48%	51%	30%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	55%	59%	5%	29%	100%	23%	63%	55%	-	10%	100%	-	26%	21%	59%	63%	55%	46%	69%	56%	64%	56%	66%	58%	52%	49%	70%
Q19. WORRIED ABOUT TOXIC CHEMICAL IN TAP WATER																											
Extremely worried	15%	12%	49%	33%	-	35%	11%	13%	43%	54%	-	46%	32%	37%	12%	11%	13%	21%	5%	17%	2%	16%	23%	6%	21%	17%	4%
Somewhat worried	31%	31%	39%	-	-	29%	26%	36%	57%	19%	-	-	42%	20%	31%	26%	36%	47%	18%	26%	42%	24%	35%	35%	27%	42%	25%
Somewhat less worried	24%	24%	-	39%	93%	21%	24%	24%	-	-	-	54%	10%	28%	24%	24%	24%	15%	31%	24%	23%	31%	21%	20%	21%	18%	30%
Not at all worried	31%	33%	12%	29%	7%	15%	38%	28%	-	26%	100%	-	16%	14%	33%	38%	28%	17%	46%	33%	32%	28%	22%	39%	31%	23%	41%
No opinion/neutral	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	*	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-
WORRIED (NET)	46%	43%	88%	33%	-	64%	38%	48%	100%	74%	-	46%	74%	58%	43%	38%	48%	68%	23%	43%	45%	41%	58%	41%	48%	59%	29%
LESS/NOT WORRIED (NET)	54%	56%	12%	67%	100%	36%	62%	51%	-	26%	100%	54%	26%	42%	56%	62%	51%	32%	77%	57%	55%	59%	42%	58%	52%	41%	71%
V1. INITIAL VOTE																											
Strongly support	45%	41%	63%	100%	93%	77%	35%	46%	100%	22%	100%	100%	100%	61%	41%	35%	46%	41%	41%	47%	29%	61%	30%	29%	69%	40%	25%
Somewhat support	49%	53%	24%	-	7%	16%	56%	50%	-	52%	-	-	-	27%	53%	56%	50%	56%	50%	49%	61%	36%	65%	61%	22%	58%	67%
Somewhat oppose	7%	7%	12%	-	-	7%	9%	5%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	7%	9%	5%	4%	9%	5%	10%	3%	5%	10%	9%	2%	9%
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	93%	88%	100%	100%	93%	91%	95%	100%	74%	100%	100%	100%	89%	93%	91%	95%	96%	91%	95%	90%	97%	95%	90%	91%	98%	91%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	7%	12%	-	-	7%	9%	5%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	7%	9%	5%	4%	9%	5%	10%	3%	5%	10%	9%	2%	9%

Q17. Problem with lead pipes in the US. Q18. Problem with lead pipes in your state. Q19. Worried about toxic chemical in tap water. V1. Initial Vote.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	=====			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		=====			=====			
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----	----
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
Q17. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN THE US																						
A crisis	15%	22%	11%	10%	36%	13%	-	19%	10%	10%	32%	7%	9%	20%	24%	5%	32%	13%	5%	23%	8%	9%
A major problem	41%	51%	35%	34%	46%	54%	33%	36%	34%	34%	42%	66%	42%	-	53%	26%	43%	54%	29%	46%	36%	38%
A minor problem	43%	26%	54%	56%	19%	31%	67%	45%	56%	56%	25%	28%	49%	80%	22%	68%	23%	33%	65%	30%	55%	53%
Not a problem	*	1%	-	*	-	1%	-	-	*	-	1%	-	-	-	-	*	1%	-	*	1%	*	-
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	57%	73%	46%	44%	81%	67%	33%	55%	44%	44%	74%	72%	51%	20%	78%	31%	76%	67%	35%	69%	44%	47%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	43%	27%	54%	56%	19%	33%	67%	45%	56%	56%	26%	28%	49%	80%	22%	69%	24%	33%	65%	31%	56%	53%
Q18. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN YOUR STATE																						
A crisis	12%	19%	8%	6%	24%	16%	-	13%	5%	7%	24%	11%	9%	-	15%	2%	25%	8%	5%	18%	6%	-
A major problem	34%	34%	26%	36%	29%	37%	17%	33%	33%	40%	32%	36%	27%	20%	54%	29%	30%	45%	27%	32%	35%	35%
A minor problem	49%	41%	64%	51%	43%	39%	78%	54%	56%	46%	37%	48%	61%	80%	22%	62%	38%	40%	63%	43%	55%	45%
Not a problem	6%	6%	2%	7%	4%	8%	4%	-	6%	8%	7%	5%	2%	-	9%	6%	7%	7%	5%	7%	4%	20%
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	45%	53%	34%	42%	52%	53%	17%	46%	38%	46%	56%	47%	37%	20%	69%	32%	55%	53%	32%	51%	41%	35%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	55%	47%	66%	58%	48%	47%	83%	54%	62%	54%	44%	53%	63%	80%	31%	68%	45%	47%	68%	49%	59%	65%
Q19. WORRIED ABOUT TOXIC CHEMICAL IN TAP WATER																						
Extremely worried	15%	22%	23%	6%	24%	20%	17%	26%	6%	7%	22%	21%	23%	20%	18%	2%	24%	16%	7%	19%	7%	42%
Somewhat worried	31%	25%	35%	35%	24%	26%	43%	29%	27%	45%	26%	25%	42%	-	57%	27%	28%	42%	25%	30%	35%	6%
Somewhat less worried	24%	29%	21%	19%	29%	28%	28%	15%	17%	22%	23%	37%	8%	80%	4%	25%	21%	19%	29%	24%	23%	18%
Not at all worried	31%	24%	22%	39%	23%	26%	11%	30%	50%	25%	29%	17%	26%	-	21%	46%	27%	22%	40%	27%	34%	35%
No opinion/neutral	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	*	-	-	*	-
WORRIED (NET)	46%	47%	58%	41%	48%	46%	61%	55%	33%	52%	48%	46%	65%	20%	74%	29%	52%	58%	31%	49%	42%	48%
LESS/NOT WORRIED (NET)	54%	53%	42%	58%	52%	54%	39%	45%	67%	47%	52%	54%	35%	80%	24%	71%	48%	41%	69%	51%	57%	52%
V1. INITIAL VOTE																						
Strongly support	45%	65%	30%	29%	74%	60%	-	53%	28%	31%	81%	40%	25%	56%	42%	25%	76%	43%	24%	62%	29%	27%
Somewhat support	49%	31%	65%	61%	26%	34%	89%	47%	59%	63%	16%	55%	69%	44%	48%	66%	18%	52%	68%	35%	60%	73%
Somewhat oppose	7%	4%	5%	10%	-	7%	11%	-	13%	6%	3%	5%	6%	-	10%	10%	7%	5%	8%	3%	11%	-
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	96%	95%	90%	100%	93%	89%	100%	87%	94%	97%	95%	94%	100%	90%	90%	93%	95%	92%	97%	89%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	4%	5%	10%	-	7%	11%	-	13%	6%	3%	5%	6%	-	10%	10%	7%	5%	8%	3%	11%	-

Q17. Problem with lead pipes in the US. Q18. Problem with lead pipes in your state. Q19. Worried about toxic chemical in tap water. V1. Initial Vote.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
Q17. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN THE US																				
A crisis	15%	22%	14%	20%	21%	4%	16%	15%	16%	15%	17%	-	34%	7%	-	41%	10%	2%		44%
A major problem	41%	49%	22%	42%	41%	42%	41%	41%	61%	46%	42%	30%	48%	39%	21%	46%	49%	20%		43%
A minor problem	43%	28%	64%	37%	37%	54%	42%	44%	22%	38%	41%	70%	17%	53%	79%	14%	41%	77%		13%
Not a problem	*	1%	1%	1%	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	-	1%	*	-	-	*	1%		-
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	57%	71%	36%	62%	63%	45%	57%	56%	78%	62%	58%	30%	82%	46%	21%	86%	58%	22%		87%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	43%	29%	64%	38%	37%	55%	43%	44%	22%	38%	42%	70%	18%	54%	79%	14%	42%	78%		13%
Q18. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN YOUR STATE																				
A crisis	12%	21%	10%	19%	16%	8%	13%	9%	24%	13%	12%	-	23%	7%	-	34%	7%	-		32%
A major problem	34%	40%	19%	35%	33%	29%	32%	35%	42%	37%	35%	12%	42%	31%	-	37%	42%	13%		39%
A minor problem	49%	35%	70%	44%	43%	62%	49%	50%	31%	45%	46%	79%	29%	55%	100%	24%	48%	76%		24%
Not a problem	6%	4%	1%	3%	9%	*	6%	6%	3%	5%	6%	10%	5%	7%	-	6%	4%	11%		6%
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	45%	62%	30%	53%	48%	38%	45%	44%	67%	50%	48%	12%	66%	38%	-	71%	48%	13%		70%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	55%	38%	70%	47%	52%	62%	55%	56%	33%	50%	52%	88%	34%	62%	100%	29%	52%	87%		30%
Q19. WORRIED ABOUT TOXIC CHEMICAL IN TAP WATER																				
Extremely worried	15%	24%	21%	24%	18%	11%	16%	13%	28%	17%	16%	3%	26%	10%	-	30%	14%	-		31%
Somewhat worried	31%	36%	12%	30%	23%	40%	28%	29%	38%	31%	31%	27%	37%	30%	-	33%	36%	17%		35%
Somewhat less worried	24%	27%	20%	25%	29%	14%	24%	25%	16%	23%	24%	13%	21%	24%	28%	20%	24%	26%		17%
Not at all worried	31%	13%	47%	21%	30%	35%	32%	33%	19%	29%	29%	57%	16%	36%	72%	17%	25%	56%		17%
No opinion/neutral	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%		-
WORRIED (NET)	46%	61%	33%	53%	41%	51%	44%	42%	66%	48%	47%	29%	63%	40%	-	63%	51%	17%		67%
LESS/NOT WORRIED (NET)	54%	39%	67%	47%	59%	49%	56%	58%	34%	51%	53%	71%	37%	60%	100%	37%	49%	82%		33%
V1. INITIAL VOTE																				
Strongly support	45%	62%	51%	59%	53%	33%	47%	50%	40%	47%	48%	-	100%	21%	-	98%	38%	5%		100%
Somewhat support	49%	34%	49%	38%	44%	54%	47%	41%	57%	45%	52%	-	-	73%	-	2%	57%	78%		-
Somewhat oppose	7%	3%	-	2%	3%	13%	6%	9%	4%	8%	-	100%	-	6%	100%	-	5%	16%		-
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	97%	100%	98%	97%	87%	94%	91%	96%	92%	100%	-	100%	94%	-	100%	95%	84%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	3%	-	2%	3%	13%	6%	9%	4%	8%	-	100%	-	6%	100%	-	5%	16%		-

Q17. Problem with lead pipes in the US. Q18. Problem with lead pipes in your state. Q19. Worried about toxic chemical in tap water. V1. Initial Vote.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
		0-39	40-59	60+													
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
Q17. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN THE US																	
A crisis	15%	12%	8%	25%	9%	14%	36%	12%	17%	25%	8%	6%	9%	30%	25%	6%	-
A major problem	41%	37%	43%	43%	43%	36%	47%	40%	41%	46%	40%	36%	38%	46%	50%	33%	23%
A minor problem	43%	52%	48%	31%	48%	50%	16%	47%	41%	29%	51%	57%	53%	23%	25%	61%	77%
Not a problem	*	-	-	1%	-	*	2%	*	*	*	1%	-	-	1%	*	1%	-
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	57%	48%	52%	68%	52%	49%	82%	53%	58%	71%	48%	43%	47%	76%	75%	39%	23%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	43%	52%	48%	32%	48%	51%	18%	47%	42%	29%	52%	57%	53%	24%	25%	61%	77%
Q18. PROBLEM WITH LEAD PIPES IN YOUR STATE																	
A crisis	12%	2%	6%	25%	4%	7%	41%	12%	12%	17%	8%	6%	8%	20%	22%	-	-
A major problem	34%	35%	36%	31%	35%	30%	38%	41%	30%	40%	32%	26%	27%	47%	43%	24%	23%
A minor problem	49%	56%	52%	40%	56%	57%	11%	41%	52%	37%	53%	63%	59%	27%	33%	65%	75%
Not a problem	6%	7%	6%	5%	5%	5%	10%	6%	6%	5%	8%	6%	6%	5%	2%	11%	2%
CRISIS/ MAJOR PROBLEM (NET)	45%	37%	42%	55%	39%	37%	79%	52%	42%	58%	39%	31%	35%	68%	65%	24%	23%
MINOR/ NOT A PROBLEM (NET)	55%	63%	58%	45%	61%	63%	21%	48%	58%	42%	61%	69%	65%	32%	35%	76%	77%
Q19. WORRIED ABOUT TOXIC CHEMICAL IN TAP WATER																	
Extremely worried	15%	5%	12%	25%	7%	13%	39%	16%	14%	21%	11%	7%	10%	26%	24%	5%	-
Somewhat worried	31%	31%	30%	32%	34%	25%	35%	49%	22%	34%	30%	28%	24%	43%	36%	30%	4%
Somewhat less worried	24%	20%	27%	24%	22%	33%	6%	11%	30%	19%	26%	28%	28%	15%	19%	25%	52%
Not at all worried	31%	45%	31%	18%	36%	29%	20%	24%	34%	26%	33%	36%	38%	16%	21%	40%	44%
No opinion/neutral	*	-	*	-	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	*	-	-
WORRIED (NET)	46%	36%	42%	58%	41%	38%	74%	65%	36%	55%	41%	35%	34%	69%	60%	35%	4%
LESS/NOT WORRIED (NET)	54%	64%	58%	42%	59%	62%	26%	35%	64%	45%	59%	65%	66%	31%	40%	65%	96%
V1. INITIAL VOTE																	
Strongly support	45%	33%	43%	57%	40%	44%	61%	39%	48%	50%	36%	46%	39%	60%	49%	38%	55%
Somewhat support	49%	60%	50%	38%	51%	50%	39%	59%	43%	45%	53%	49%	52%	38%	46%	52%	45%
Somewhat oppose	7%	8%	8%	5%	9%	7%	-	2%	9%	5%	11%	5%	9%	2%	5%	10%	-
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	92%	92%	95%	91%	93%	100%	98%	91%	95%	89%	95%	91%	98%	95%	90%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	8%	8%	5%	9%	7%	-	2%	9%	5%	11%	5%	9%	2%	5%	10%	-

POL1. Favorable toward policymaker who supports EPA - initial. V2. Informed vote.

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-		***A/B**				
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B		
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
POL1. FAVORABLE TOWARD POLICYMAKER WHO SUPPORTS EPA - INITIAL																								
Much more favorable	30%	29%	30%	36%	29%	22%	37%	22%	38%	21%	36%	23%	33%	22%	31%	24%	35%	18%	23%	46%	22%	34%	29%	30%
Somewhat more favorable	31%	26%	34%	43%	27%	20%	35%	26%	33%	21%	37%	31%	27%	39%	27%	24%	26%	54%	27%	33%	30%	33%	31%	31%
No difference	40%	45%	35%	21%	43%	58%	28%	52%	30%	58%	27%	45%	40%	39%	41%	51%	39%	26%	49%	20%	48%	33%	40%	40%
Somewhat less favorable	*	-	*	-	1%	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	*	-
Much less favorable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORE FAVORABLE (NET)	60%	55%	65%	79%	56%	42%	72%	48%	70%	42%	73%	54%	60%	60%	59%	49%	61%	72%	51%	79%	52%	67%	60%	60%
LESS FAVORABLE (NET)	*	-	*	-	1%	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	*	-
V2. INFORMED VOTE																								
Strongly support	50%	49%	50%	46%	53%	49%	51%	48%	54%	45%	48%	52%	57%	34%	61%	27%	54%	40%	37%	65%	45%	55%	50%	49%
Somewhat support	39%	38%	40%	42%	39%	35%	41%	37%	42%	34%	40%	39%	36%	44%	33%	48%	40%	41%	50%	26%	38%	42%	39%	39%
Somewhat oppose	9%	9%	10%	12%	7%	8%	8%	10%	4%	13%	11%	7%	6%	15%	7%	12%	6%	18%	13%	8%	12%	2%	7%	11%
Strongly oppose	2%	4%	*	-	-	8%	-	5%	-	8%	-	1%	-	7%	-	12%	-	1%	-	-	5%	1%	4%	*
SUPPORT (NET)	89%	87%	90%	88%	93%	84%	92%	85%	96%	79%	89%	92%	94%	78%	93%	75%	94%	81%	87%	92%	83%	97%	89%	88%
OPPOSE (NET)	11%	13%	10%	12%	7%	16%	8%	15%	4%	21%	11%	8%	6%	22%	7%	25%	6%	19%	13%	8%	17%	3%	11%	12%

POL1. Favorable toward policymaker who supports EPA - initial. V2. Informed vote.

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	=====						=====								=====												
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
POL1. FAVORABLE TOWARD POLICYMAKER WHO SUPPORTS EPA - INITIAL																											
Much more favorable	30%	24%	63%	100%	-	72%	21%	27%	100%	22%	100%	100%	100%	54%	24%	21%	27%	28%	21%	27%	18%	43%	15%	13%	50%	25%	8%
Somewhat more favorable	31%	32%	32%	-	100%	24%	29%	34%	-	68%	-	-	-	40%	32%	29%	34%	38%	26%	28%	39%	41%	18%	29%	40%	25%	31%
No difference	40%	44%	5%	-	-	4%	50%	39%	-	10%	-	-	-	7%	44%	50%	39%	34%	52%	45%	43%	16%	67%	58%	10%	50%	60%
Somewhat less favorable	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	*	-	1%	-	-	*	-	-	1%
Much less favorable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORE FAVORABLE (NET)	60%	56%	95%	100%	100%	96%	50%	60%	100%	90%	100%	100%	100%	93%	56%	50%	60%	66%	47%	55%	56%	84%	33%	42%	90%	50%	40%
LESS FAVORABLE (NET)	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	*	-	1%	-	-	*	-	-	1%
V2. INFORMED VOTE																											
Strongly support	50%	45%	81%	100%	-	82%	43%	47%	100%	59%	100%	100%	100%	70%	45%	43%	47%	43%	48%	55%	27%	66%	32%	34%	71%	51%	26%
Somewhat support	39%	43%	5%	-	100%	10%	42%	43%	-	10%	-	-	-	17%	43%	42%	43%	49%	37%	40%	49%	30%	58%	47%	24%	42%	54%
Somewhat oppose	9%	9%	15%	-	-	8%	10%	9%	-	31%	-	-	-	13%	9%	10%	9%	8%	10%	6%	17%	3%	7%	14%	4%	6%	15%
Strongly oppose	2%	3%	-	-	-	-	5%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	5%	1%	-	5%	-	8%	-	2%	4%	-	1%	5%
SUPPORT (NET)	89%	88%	85%	100%	100%	92%	86%	90%	100%	69%	100%	100%	100%	87%	88%	86%	90%	92%	85%	94%	76%	97%	91%	81%	96%	93%	80%
OPPOSE (NET)	11%	12%	15%	-	-	8%	14%	10%	-	31%	-	-	-	13%	12%	14%	10%	8%	15%	6%	24%	3%	9%	19%	4%	7%	20%

POL1. Favorable toward policymaker who supports EPA - initial. V2. Informed vote.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
POL1. FAVORABLE TOWARD POLICYMAKER WHO SUPPORTS EPA - INITIAL																						
Much more favorable	30%	51%	15%	14%	67%	40%	-	26%	8%	19%	64%	29%	14%	20%	29%	8%	59%	29%	8%	50%	8%	27%
Somewhat more favorable	31%	36%	19%	29%	24%	45%	35%	7%	27%	31%	27%	51%	13%	44%	29%	28%	33%	25%	33%	32%	32%	4%
No difference	40%	13%	67%	58%	9%	15%	65%	68%	65%	49%	9%	20%	73%	36%	42%	63%	8%	45%	58%	18%	59%	69%
Somewhat less favorable	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	*	-
Much less favorable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORE FAVORABLE (NET)	60%	87%	33%	42%	91%	85%	35%	32%	35%	50%	91%	80%	27%	64%	58%	36%	92%	55%	42%	82%	40%	31%
LESS FAVORABLE (NET)	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	*	-
V2. INFORMED VOTE																						
Strongly support	50%	71%	32%	34%	82%	63%	-	56%	36%	32%	81%	55%	27%	56%	61%	25%	75%	53%	28%	68%	33%	27%
Somewhat support	39%	25%	59%	47%	18%	30%	83%	41%	42%	52%	18%	36%	62%	44%	29%	54%	21%	38%	53%	27%	48%	73%
Somewhat oppose	9%	4%	7%	14%	-	7%	17%	-	13%	16%	1%	10%	9%	-	10%	16%	4%	8%	14%	5%	14%	-
Strongly oppose	2%	-	2%	4%	-	-	-	3%	8%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	6%	-	1%	5%	-	5%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	89%	96%	91%	81%	100%	93%	83%	97%	79%	84%	99%	90%	89%	100%	90%	78%	96%	91%	81%	95%	81%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	11%	4%	9%	19%	-	7%	17%	3%	21%	16%	1%	10%	11%	-	10%	22%	4%	9%	19%	5%	19%	-

POL1. Favorable toward policymaker who supports EPA - initial. V2. Informed vote.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
POL1. FAVORABLE TOWARD POLICYMAKER WHO SUPPORTS EPA - INITIAL																				
Much more favorable	30%	49%	21%	42%	43%	11%	33%	33%	32%	32%	32%	-	79%	8%	-	100%	10%	-		100%
Somewhat more favorable	31%	42%	18%	36%	27%	35%	30%	37%	30%	35%	30%	43%	15%	39%	-	-	59%	-		-
No difference	40%	9%	61%	23%	30%	52%	37%	30%	39%	33%	39%	54%	6%	53%	93%	-	31%	99%		-
Somewhat less favorable	*	-	-	-	-	1%	*	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	7%	-	-	1%		-
Much less favorable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
MORE FAVORABLE (NET)	60%	91%	39%	77%	70%	47%	63%	70%	61%	67%	61%	43%	94%	47%	-	100%	69%	-		100%
LESS FAVORABLE (NET)	*	-	-	-	-	1%	*	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	7%	-	-	1%		-
V2. INFORMED VOTE																				
Strongly support	50%	67%	53%	64%	56%	48%	53%	50%	59%	53%	53%	3%	100%	28%	-	97%	46%	8%		100%
Somewhat support	39%	27%	24%	27%	37%	33%	36%	35%	31%	34%	39%	34%	-	59%	-	2%	48%	58%		-
Somewhat oppose	9%	5%	22%	10%	6%	11%	8%	11%	9%	11%	7%	33%	-	13%	28%	1%	6%	24%		-
Strongly oppose	2%	-	-	-	*	8%	3%	3%	1%	3%	*	30%	-	*	72%	-	-	10%		-
SUPPORT (NET)	89%	95%	78%	90%	93%	81%	90%	85%	90%	87%	92%	37%	100%	87%	-	99%	94%	66%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	11%	5%	22%	10%	7%	19%	10%	15%	10%	13%	8%	63%	-	13%	100%	1%	6%	34%		-

POL1. Favorable toward policymaker who supports EPA - initial. V2. Informed vote.

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	REP	IND	DEM	=====			=====		=====			=====		=====			
	TOT	0-39	40-59	60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100K	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/LOWER	MID-DLE	UPPER/AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
POL1. FAVORABLE TOWARD POLICYMAKER WHO SUPPORTS EPA - INITIAL																	
Much more favorable	30%	19%	21%	46%	23%	25%	54%	28%	30%	37%	28%	18%	23%	46%	41%	19%	9%
Somewhat more favorable	31%	26%	35%	31%	21%	42%	33%	32%	30%	30%	32%	33%	32%	25%	28%	37%	19%
No difference	40%	55%	44%	22%	55%	33%	13%	40%	40%	33%	40%	48%	45%	29%	31%	44%	73%
Somewhat less favorable	*	-	-	1%	*	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	*	-	-	1%	-
Much less favorable	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
MORE FAVORABLE (NET)	60%	45%	56%	77%	45%	67%	87%	60%	60%	67%	60%	52%	55%	71%	69%	55%	27%
LESS FAVORABLE (NET)	*	-	-	1%	*	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	*	-	-	1%	-
V2. INFORMED VOTE																	
Strongly support	50%	40%	41%	65%	49%	41%	69%	45%	52%	60%	35%	47%	43%	66%	61%	34%	53%
Somewhat support	39%	43%	49%	27%	40%	45%	24%	42%	38%	35%	41%	45%	42%	30%	32%	46%	47%
Somewhat oppose	9%	10%	10%	9%	6%	14%	8%	13%	7%	6%	16%	8%	12%	4%	7%	14%	-
Strongly oppose	2%	7%	-	-	4%	1%	-	-	3%	-	8%	-	3%	-	-	6%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	89%	83%	90%	91%	90%	85%	92%	87%	89%	94%	76%	92%	85%	96%	93%	81%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	11%	17%	10%	9%	10%	15%	8%	13%	11%	6%	24%	8%	15%	4%	7%	19%	-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q20,B4Q21,B4Q22,B4Q23) .

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE				POC	**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**	
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B4Q20. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ALL LEAD PIPES CURRENTLY IN USE																											
Strongly support	67%	64%	83%	100%	93%	90%	57%	69%	100%	64%	100%	100%	100%	84%	64%	57%	69%	56%	70%	73%	44%	80%	59%	53%	76%	70%	52%
Somewhat support	26%	29%	5%	-	7%	3%	38%	21%	-	10%	-	-	-	5%	29%	38%	21%	37%	23%	21%	46%	12%	41%	38%	11%	26%	42%
Somewhat oppose	6%	5%	12%	-	-	7%	2%	9%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	5%	2%	9%	6%	5%	3%	9%	7%	-	6%	6%	4%	6%
Strongly oppose	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	3%	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	3%	*	*	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	3%	6%	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	93%	88%	100%	100%	93%	96%	91%	100%	74%	100%	100%	100%	89%	93%	96%	91%	93%	93%	95%	90%	92%	100%	92%	88%	96%	94%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	7%	12%	-	-	7%	4%	9%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	7%	4%	9%	7%	7%	5%	10%	8%	-	8%	12%	4%	6%
B4Q21. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD FUND THE FULL COST OF REPLACING ALL LEAD PIPES																											
Strongly support	44%	40%	83%	55%	93%	79%	35%	44%	100%	64%	-	77%	84%	76%	40%	35%	44%	41%	39%	50%	20%	51%	26%	36%	48%	52%	26%
Somewhat support	30%	32%	5%	16%	-	7%	28%	37%	-	10%	-	23%	-	12%	32%	28%	37%	36%	29%	32%	34%	32%	34%	32%	27%	27%	40%
Somewhat oppose	22%	23%	12%	29%	7%	14%	31%	16%	-	26%	100%	-	16%	12%	23%	31%	16%	22%	24%	18%	33%	13%	35%	27%	22%	20%	26%
Strongly oppose	4%	5%	-	-	-	-	7%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	7%	3%	-	9%	1%	13%	4%	5%	5%	3%	2%	7%
SUPPORT (NET)	74%	72%	88%	71%	93%	86%	62%	81%	100%	74%	-	100%	84%	88%	72%	62%	81%	78%	68%	81%	55%	83%	60%	68%	75%	78%	67%
OPPOSE (NET)	26%	28%	12%	29%	7%	14%	38%	19%	-	26%	100%	-	16%	12%	28%	38%	19%	22%	32%	19%	45%	17%	40%	32%	25%	22%	33%
B4Q22. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY REPLACE ALL LEAD PIPES																											
Strongly support	50%	48%	48%	84%	93%	67%	47%	49%	72%	22%	100%	77%	79%	60%	48%	47%	49%	51%	46%	60%	24%	62%	28%	44%	62%	54%	36%
Somewhat support	32%	34%	20%	16%	7%	15%	27%	40%	28%	10%	-	23%	21%	11%	34%	27%	40%	29%	38%	27%	47%	32%	42%	33%	28%	28%	42%
Somewhat oppose	15%	16%	20%	-	-	11%	22%	10%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	16%	22%	10%	20%	12%	10%	27%	5%	26%	21%	5%	16%	21%
Strongly oppose	3%	2%	12%	-	-	7%	4%	1%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	2%	4%	1%	-	4%	3%	2%	1%	5%	3%	5%	2%	1%
SUPPORT (NET)	82%	82%	68%	100%	100%	82%	74%	89%	100%	32%	100%	100%	100%	71%	82%	74%	89%	80%	83%	87%	71%	94%	69%	77%	90%	82%	78%
OPPOSE (NET)	18%	18%	32%	-	-	18%	26%	11%	-	68%	-	-	-	29%	18%	26%	11%	20%	17%	13%	29%	6%	31%	23%	10%	18%	22%
B4Q23. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD HELP SCHOOLS AND DAYCARE CENTERS INSTALL WATER FILTERS																											
Strongly support	65%	65%	61%	45%	93%	64%	66%	65%	72%	48%	100%	23%	79%	55%	65%	66%	65%	69%	62%	70%	55%	79%	69%	55%	74%	85%	45%
Somewhat support	25%	26%	20%	55%	-	24%	24%	27%	28%	10%	-	77%	21%	27%	26%	24%	27%	21%	29%	22%	32%	18%	14%	34%	17%	8%	43%
Somewhat oppose	7%	7%	20%	-	7%	11%	6%	8%	-	42%	-	-	-	19%	7%	6%	8%	10%	5%	7%	7%	3%	15%	7%	9%	6%	7%
Strongly oppose	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	4%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	4%	1%	-	4%	*	7%	-	2%	4%	-	1%	5%
SUPPORT (NET)	90%	91%	80%	100%	93%	89%	90%	92%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	81%	91%	90%	92%	90%	91%	93%	86%	97%	83%	88%	91%	93%	89%
OPPOSE (NET)	10%	9%	20%	-	7%	11%	10%	8%	-	42%	-	-	-	19%	9%	10%	8%	10%	9%	7%	14%	3%	17%	12%	9%	7%	11%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q20,B4Q21,B4Q22,B4Q23).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B4Q20. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ALL LEAD PIPES CURRENTLY IN USE																						
Strongly support	67%	83%	59%	54%	91%	77%	4%	99%	53%	54%	88%	74%	59%	56%	63%	50%	82%	70%	53%	80%	53%	65%
Somewhat support	26%	10%	41%	38%	9%	10%	96%	1%	39%	36%	6%	16%	41%	44%	22%	44%	9%	24%	41%	14%	41%	20%
Somewhat oppose	6%	7%	-	6%	-	12%	-	-	3%	9%	5%	10%	-	-	6%	6%	5%	6%	6%	6%	4%	15%
Strongly oppose	1%	*	-	3%	-	1%	-	-	5%	-	1%	-	-	-	10%	-	5%	-	-	*	2%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	93%	100%	92%	100%	88%	100%	100%	92%	91%	94%	90%	100%	100%	85%	94%	90%	94%	94%	94%	93%	85%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	7%	-	8%	-	12%	-	-	8%	9%	6%	10%	-	-	15%	6%	10%	6%	6%	6%	7%	15%
B4Q21. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD FUND THE FULL COST OF REPLACING ALL LEAD PIPES																						
Strongly support	44%	58%	26%	36%	65%	54%	-	45%	30%	43%	60%	55%	20%	56%	65%	25%	55%	53%	29%	55%	35%	29%
Somewhat support	30%	26%	34%	32%	18%	31%	11%	51%	33%	31%	23%	29%	41%	-	3%	43%	22%	25%	39%	25%	35%	22%
Somewhat oppose	22%	13%	36%	26%	15%	13%	83%	1%	29%	24%	14%	13%	34%	44%	32%	25%	20%	20%	25%	18%	24%	48%
Strongly oppose	4%	3%	5%	5%	3%	3%	6%	3%	8%	2%	3%	2%	6%	-	-	7%	3%	2%	7%	2%	6%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	74%	84%	60%	68%	83%	85%	11%	96%	63%	74%	83%	85%	61%	56%	68%	68%	78%	78%	68%	80%	70%	52%
OPPOSE (NET)	26%	16%	40%	32%	17%	15%	89%	4%	37%	26%	17%	15%	39%	44%	32%	32%	22%	22%	32%	20%	30%	48%
B4Q22. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY REPLACE ALL LEAD PIPES																						
Strongly support	50%	63%	28%	44%	69%	59%	-	48%	48%	40%	75%	45%	22%	56%	68%	35%	69%	53%	35%	62%	41%	27%
Somewhat support	32%	28%	42%	33%	22%	31%	33%	49%	29%	38%	24%	33%	41%	44%	6%	43%	23%	28%	40%	26%	36%	48%
Somewhat oppose	15%	7%	26%	20%	8%	5%	61%	-	19%	22%	1%	15%	31%	-	16%	22%	4%	14%	24%	10%	19%	25%
Strongly oppose	3%	3%	5%	3%	-	5%	6%	3%	5%	-	-	7%	6%	-	10%	-	4%	4%	1%	2%	4%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	82%	91%	69%	77%	92%	90%	33%	97%	76%	78%	99%	78%	63%	100%	74%	78%	92%	81%	75%	87%	77%	75%
OPPOSE (NET)	18%	9%	31%	23%	8%	10%	67%	3%	24%	22%	1%	22%	37%	-	26%	22%	8%	19%	25%	13%	23%	25%
B4Q23. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD HELP SCHOOLS AND DAYCARE CENTERS INSTALL WATER FILTERS																						
Strongly support	65%	75%	69%	55%	83%	69%	48%	84%	60%	49%	81%	65%	71%	56%	77%	47%	75%	82%	44%	76%	53%	65%
Somewhat support	25%	20%	14%	34%	17%	22%	17%	12%	30%	38%	15%	27%	8%	44%	16%	40%	18%	11%	42%	19%	31%	35%
Somewhat oppose	7%	5%	15%	7%	-	9%	35%	1%	3%	12%	4%	8%	18%	-	7%	8%	7%	6%	9%	5%	11%	-
Strongly oppose	2%	-	2%	4%	-	-	-	3%	7%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	6%	-	1%	5%	-	4%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	90%	95%	83%	88%	100%	91%	65%	96%	89%	87%	96%	92%	80%	100%	93%	87%	93%	93%	86%	95%	84%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	10%	5%	17%	12%	-	9%	35%	4%	11%	13%	4%	8%	20%	-	7%	13%	7%	7%	14%	5%	16%	-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q20,B4Q21,B4Q22,B4Q23) .

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B4Q20. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ALL LEAD PIPES CURRENTLY IN USE																				
Strongly support	67%	78%	60%	73%	72%	60%	69%	68%	71%	69%	71%	4%	96%	56%	7%	96%	68%	34%		96%
Somewhat support	26%	14%	40%	21%	23%	32%	26%	22%	24%	23%	24%	54%	4%	34%	93%	4%	23%	57%		4%
Somewhat oppose	6%	5%	-	4%	4%	3%	4%	8%	4%	7%	4%	21%	-	8%	-	-	7%	9%		-
Strongly oppose	1%	3%	-	2%	-	5%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	20%	-	2%	-	-	3%	-		-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	92%	100%	94%	96%	92%	95%	91%	95%	92%	96%	59%	100%	90%	100%	100%	91%	91%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	8%	-	6%	4%	8%	5%	9%	5%	8%	4%	41%	-	10%	-	-	9%	9%		-
B4Q21. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD FUND THE FULL COST OF REPLACING ALL LEAD PIPES																				
Strongly support	44%	56%	28%	49%	49%	37%	45%	38%	61%	44%	47%	10%	78%	31%	-	87%	32%	27%		88%
Somewhat support	30%	27%	31%	28%	25%	25%	25%	33%	27%	32%	30%	24%	13%	37%	21%	9%	43%	22%		8%
Somewhat oppose	22%	14%	41%	21%	25%	28%	26%	23%	11%	20%	21%	36%	6%	30%	7%	4%	24%	37%		4%
Strongly oppose	4%	3%	-	2%	2%	10%	4%	6%	1%	5%	2%	30%	3%	2%	72%	-	1%	14%		-
SUPPORT (NET)	74%	83%	59%	77%	74%	62%	70%	71%	88%	76%	77%	34%	91%	68%	21%	96%	75%	49%		96%
OPPOSE (NET)	26%	17%	41%	23%	26%	38%	30%	29%	12%	24%	23%	66%	9%	32%	79%	4%	25%	51%		4%
B4Q22. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY REPLACE ALL LEAD PIPES																				
Strongly support	50%	62%	59%	61%	56%	42%	52%	51%	51%	51%	54%	1%	87%	36%	-	90%	47%	15%		91%
Somewhat support	32%	28%	16%	25%	30%	29%	30%	33%	35%	34%	31%	36%	13%	41%	21%	10%	34%	48%		9%
Somewhat oppose	15%	6%	25%	11%	13%	23%	16%	11%	13%	12%	14%	33%	*	19%	79%	-	14%	33%		-
Strongly oppose	3%	4%	-	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%	29%	-	4%	-	-	4%	4%		-
SUPPORT (NET)	82%	90%	75%	86%	86%	71%	81%	84%	86%	85%	85%	38%	100%	76%	21%	100%	82%	63%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	18%	10%	25%	14%	14%	29%	19%	16%	14%	15%	15%	62%	*	24%	79%	-	18%	37%		-
B4Q23. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD HELP SCHOOLS AND DAYCARE CENTERS INSTALL WATER FILTERS																				
Strongly support	65%	74%	52%	68%	76%	51%	68%	68%	65%	67%	69%	16%	94%	54%	-	88%	69%	33%		94%
Somewhat support	25%	16%	26%	19%	21%	31%	24%	23%	21%	23%	22%	76%	6%	32%	79%	11%	21%	50%		6%
Somewhat oppose	7%	10%	8%	10%	3%	11%	6%	6%	13%	8%	7%	9%	-	10%	21%	1%	10%	9%		-
Strongly oppose	2%	-	14%	4%	1%	6%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	9%		-
SUPPORT (NET)	90%	90%	78%	87%	96%	83%	92%	91%	86%	90%	90%	91%	100%	87%	79%	99%	90%	82%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	10%	10%	22%	13%	4%	17%	8%	9%	14%	10%	10%	9%	-	13%	21%	1%	10%	18%		-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q20,B4Q21,B4Q22,B4Q23).

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	REP	IND	DEM	=====			=====		=====			=====		WORK/	MID-	UPPR	
	TOT	0-39	40-59	60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B4Q20. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ALL LEAD PIPES CURRENTLY IN USE																	
Strongly support	67%	59%	57%	82%	65%	66%	72%	54%	73%	77%	57%	54%	62%	76%	76%	55%	67%
Somewhat support	26%	41%	27%	13%	28%	24%	28%	38%	21%	14%	34%	46%	29%	21%	18%	36%	33%
Somewhat oppose	6%	-	12%	5%	5%	9%	-	8%	4%	6%	9%	-	7%	3%	4%	8%	-
Strongly oppose	1%	-	4%	*	3%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	-	2%	-	2%	*	-
SUPPORT (NET)	93%	100%	84%	94%	92%	91%	100%	92%	94%	91%	91%	100%	91%	97%	94%	91%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	7%	-	16%	6%	8%	9%	-	8%	6%	9%	9%	-	9%	3%	6%	9%	-
B4Q21. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD FUND THE FULL COST OF REPLACING ALL LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly support	44%	34%	38%	59%	45%	36%	60%	39%	47%	57%	37%	25%	37%	59%	59%	32%	9%
Somewhat support	30%	32%	38%	21%	26%	42%	15%	37%	26%	22%	34%	39%	30%	30%	23%	35%	48%
Somewhat oppose	22%	25%	22%	20%	22%	22%	23%	24%	21%	18%	21%	34%	29%	9%	16%	26%	43%
Strongly oppose	4%	9%	3%	1%	8%	1%	2%	-	6%	3%	8%	2%	5%	2%	2%	8%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	74%	66%	75%	79%	71%	77%	75%	76%	73%	79%	71%	64%	66%	89%	82%	66%	57%
OPPOSE (NET)	26%	34%	25%	21%	29%	23%	25%	24%	27%	21%	29%	36%	34%	11%	18%	34%	43%
B4Q22. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY REPLACE ALL LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly support	50%	52%	43%	55%	52%	47%	54%	46%	52%	61%	40%	43%	41%	68%	58%	40%	51%
Somewhat support	32%	26%	38%	31%	28%	41%	21%	37%	29%	29%	35%	31%	37%	22%	24%	43%	29%
Somewhat oppose	15%	20%	14%	12%	17%	9%	23%	17%	14%	5%	24%	27%	18%	9%	13%	16%	20%
Strongly oppose	3%	2%	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	-	4%	6%	1%	-	4%	1%	4%	1%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	82%	78%	81%	86%	80%	88%	75%	83%	82%	90%	75%	73%	78%	90%	82%	82%	80%
OPPOSE (NET)	18%	22%	19%	14%	20%	12%	25%	17%	18%	10%	25%	27%	22%	10%	18%	18%	20%
B4Q23. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD HELP SCHOOLS AND DAYCARE CENTERS INSTALL WATER FILTERS																	
Strongly support	65%	68%	55%	70%	71%	60%	60%	64%	66%	72%	48%	70%	57%	80%	65%	64%	67%
Somewhat support	25%	21%	35%	21%	23%	31%	21%	20%	28%	23%	34%	21%	32%	12%	25%	25%	33%
Somewhat oppose	7%	5%	9%	8%	6%	4%	19%	11%	6%	5%	11%	10%	8%	8%	10%	6%	-
Strongly oppose	2%	6%	-	-	*	5%	-	5%	1%	*	7%	-	3%	-	-	5%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	90%	89%	91%	92%	94%	91%	81%	84%	94%	95%	82%	90%	89%	92%	90%	89%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	10%	11%	9%	8%	6%	9%	19%	16%	6%	5%	18%	10%	11%	8%	10%	11%	-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q24,B4Q25,B4Q26,B4Q27) .

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT	
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+	***NET** <55	55+	****MEN*** 18-54	55+	****WOM*** 18-54	55+	NON COLL COLL	GRAD	***MEN** NON COLL	***WOM** NON COLL	GRN BAY-APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A/B** A	B		
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR																								
Strongly support	52%	53%	52%	49%	50%	59%	49%	55%	52%	54%	47%	57%	62%	33%	68%	24%	56%	42%	35%	57%	52%	63%	49%	55%
Somewhat support	34%	34%	33%	37%	36%	26%	36%	31%	43%	26%	31%	36%	31%	39%	28%	46%	34%	32%	42%	30%	33%	31%	35%	32%
Somewhat oppose	14%	13%	14%	14%	12%	14%	15%	12%	5%	20%	22%	4%	7%	28%	4%	30%	9%	25%	20%	13%	15%	5%	16%	11%
Strongly oppose	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	3%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	1%
SUPPORT (NET)	86%	87%	85%	86%	87%	85%	85%	86%	95%	80%	78%	93%	93%	72%	96%	70%	90%	74%	77%	87%	85%	94%	84%	87%
OPPOSE (NET)	14%	13%	15%	14%	13%	15%	15%	14%	5%	20%	22%	7%	7%	28%	4%	30%	10%	26%	23%	13%	15%	6%	16%	13%
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER																								
Strongly support	66%	63%	69%	63%	64%	73%	64%	69%	70%	57%	60%	81%	75%	49%	78%	35%	72%	63%	54%	77%	66%	68%	66%	66%
Somewhat support	28%	31%	26%	27%	36%	20%	29%	27%	26%	35%	31%	19%	24%	37%	19%	53%	28%	21%	41%	16%	26%	32%	27%	29%
Somewhat oppose	4%	6%	3%	6%	-	7%	4%	4%	4%	8%	5%	-	1%	10%	3%	12%	-	8%	5%	-	8%	-	4%	5%
Strongly oppose	1%	-	2%	4%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	8%	-	7%	-	-	2%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	94%	95%	90%	100%	93%	93%	96%	96%	92%	91%	100%	99%	86%	97%	88%	100%	84%	95%	93%	92%	100%	93%	95%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	6%	5%	10%	-	7%	7%	4%	4%	8%	9%	-	1%	14%	3%	12%	-	16%	5%	7%	8%	-	7%	5%
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS																								
Strongly support	70%	70%	69%	65%	68%	78%	66%	74%	72%	68%	61%	80%	75%	60%	79%	55%	72%	65%	61%	60%	76%	74%	72%	67%
Somewhat support	26%	23%	28%	30%	25%	21%	31%	20%	23%	23%	37%	17%	24%	30%	21%	26%	26%	33%	34%	38%	19%	20%	24%	27%
Somewhat oppose	4%	7%	2%	5%	7%	1%	3%	6%	5%	8%	2%	3%	2%	10%	-	19%	3%	1%	5%	2%	4%	6%	4%	5%
Strongly oppose	*	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	*
SUPPORT (NET)	95%	93%	97%	95%	93%	99%	96%	94%	95%	92%	98%	97%	98%	89%	100%	81%	97%	97%	95%	98%	96%	93%	96%	95%
OPPOSE (NET)	5%	7%	3%	5%	7%	1%	4%	6%	5%	8%	2%	3%	2%	11%	-	19%	3%	3%	5%	2%	4%	7%	4%	5%
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD																								
Strongly support	62%	61%	63%	64%	59%	63%	64%	60%	70%	53%	60%	67%	67%	51%	71%	41%	64%	61%	54%	74%	54%	72%	62%	62%
Somewhat support	32%	32%	32%	32%	35%	30%	32%	33%	30%	35%	33%	31%	30%	38%	25%	47%	34%	29%	38%	26%	37%	24%	30%	35%
Somewhat oppose	6%	7%	5%	4%	6%	7%	4%	7%	-	13%	7%	2%	3%	11%	4%	12%	3%	10%	8%	-	8%	3%	8%	4%
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	93%	95%	96%	94%	93%	96%	93%	100%	87%	93%	98%	97%	89%	96%	88%	97%	90%	92%	100%	92%	97%	92%	96%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	7%	5%	4%	6%	7%	4%	7%	-	13%	7%	2%	3%	11%	4%	12%	3%	10%	8%	-	8%	3%	8%	4%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q24,B4Q25,B4Q26,B4Q27) .

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE				POC	**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**	
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	Men	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR																											
Strongly support	52%	51%	48%	61%	93%	61%	50%	52%	72%	22%	100%	46%	79%	49%	51%	50%	52%	47%	55%	62%	30%	66%	34%	46%	69%	58%	35%
Somewhat support	34%	34%	32%	39%	7%	28%	35%	33%	28%	36%	-	54%	21%	33%	34%	35%	33%	38%	31%	30%	43%	28%	59%	32%	25%	37%	37%
Somewhat oppose	14%	14%	20%	-	-	11%	15%	13%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	14%	15%	13%	15%	13%	8%	26%	5%	5%	22%	6%	4%	26%
Strongly oppose	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	
SUPPORT (NET)	86%	85%	80%	100%	100%	89%	85%	85%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	82%	85%	85%	85%	85%	86%	92%	73%	93%	93%	78%	94%	96%	73%
OPPOSE (NET)	14%	15%	20%	-	-	11%	15%	15%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	15%	15%	15%	15%	14%	8%	27%	7%	7%	22%	6%	4%	27%
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER																											
Strongly support	66%	64%	76%	100%	93%	85%	59%	68%	100%	48%	100%	100%	100%	75%	64%	59%	68%	59%	68%	72%	48%	72%	51%	62%	80%	65%	54%
Somewhat support	28%	31%	5%	-	7%	4%	34%	29%	-	10%	-	-	-	7%	31%	34%	29%	35%	28%	26%	41%	28%	42%	30%	20%	32%	37%
Somewhat oppose	4%	5%	-	-	-	-	7%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	7%	3%	6%	4%	2%	11%	-	7%	8%	-	3%	9%
Strongly oppose	1%	-	20%	-	-	11%	-	-	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	95%	80%	100%	100%	89%	93%	97%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	82%	95%	93%	97%	94%	96%	98%	89%	100%	93%	92%	100%	97%	91%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	5%	20%	-	-	11%	7%	3%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	5%	7%	3%	6%	4%	2%	11%	-	7%	8%	-	3%	9%
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS																											
Strongly support	70%	69%	61%	100%	93%	77%	69%	69%	72%	48%	100%	100%	79%	75%	69%	69%	69%	63%	73%	73%	60%	75%	78%	62%	76%	75%	60%
Somewhat support	26%	26%	39%	-	7%	23%	23%	28%	28%	52%	-	-	21%	25%	26%	23%	28%	32%	21%	25%	28%	24%	18%	30%	23%	23%	30%
Somewhat oppose	4%	5%	-	-	-	-	7%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	2%	11%	1%	5%	8%	-	2%	10%
Strongly oppose	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	95%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	95%	93%	97%	96%	94%	98%	88%	98%	95%	92%	99%	98%	90%
OPPOSE (NET)	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	7%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	7%	3%	4%	6%	2%	12%	2%	5%	8%	1%	2%	10%
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD																											
Strongly support	62%	59%	83%	84%	93%	87%	57%	61%	100%	64%	100%	77%	100%	78%	59%	57%	61%	59%	59%	65%	46%	69%	43%	56%	67%	61%	52%
Somewhat support	32%	35%	17%	16%	7%	13%	36%	34%	-	36%	-	23%	-	22%	35%	36%	34%	36%	34%	31%	42%	29%	52%	34%	27%	37%	38%
Somewhat oppose	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	8%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	8%	5%	5%	7%	4%	12%	2%	5%	10%	6%	2%	10%
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	94%	100%	100%	100%	100%	92%	95%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	94%	92%	95%	95%	93%	96%	88%	98%	95%	90%	94%	98%	90%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	6%	-	-	-	-	8%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	6%	8%	5%	5%	7%	4%	12%	2%	5%	10%	6%	2%	10%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q24,B4Q25,B4Q26,B4Q27).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR																						
Strongly support	52%	65%	34%	46%	76%	57%	-	60%	48%	43%	67%	60%	30%	56%	85%	31%	69%	60%	34%	62%	43%	50%
Somewhat support	34%	28%	59%	32%	23%	31%	89%	37%	30%	35%	28%	27%	62%	44%	15%	38%	27%	36%	36%	31%	34%	50%
Somewhat oppose	14%	7%	5%	22%	1%	11%	11%	-	22%	22%	5%	10%	6%	-	-	30%	4%	3%	29%	6%	23%	-
Strongly oppose	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	3%	-	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	86%	92%	93%	78%	99%	88%	89%	97%	78%	78%	95%	87%	92%	100%	100%	70%	96%	96%	71%	93%	77%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	14%	8%	7%	22%	1%	12%	11%	3%	22%	22%	5%	13%	8%	-	-	30%	4%	4%	29%	7%	23%	-
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER																						
Strongly support	66%	75%	51%	62%	79%	72%	15%	77%	62%	63%	87%	56%	49%	56%	77%	57%	84%	67%	53%	73%	60%	61%
Somewhat support	28%	22%	42%	30%	21%	23%	67%	23%	30%	30%	13%	37%	42%	44%	23%	33%	16%	30%	35%	25%	31%	39%
Somewhat oppose	4%	-	7%	7%	-	-	17%	-	8%	7%	-	-	9%	-	-	10%	-	3%	9%	-	9%	-
Strongly oppose	1%	3%	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	3%	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	97%	93%	93%	100%	95%	83%	100%	92%	93%	100%	92%	91%	100%	100%	90%	100%	97%	88%	97%	91%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	3%	7%	7%	-	5%	17%	-	8%	7%	-	8%	9%	-	-	10%	-	3%	12%	3%	9%	-
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS																						
Strongly support	70%	75%	77%	63%	83%	70%	72%	81%	61%	65%	83%	62%	73%	100%	77%	57%	81%	74%	58%	77%	64%	53%
Somewhat support	26%	24%	18%	30%	17%	28%	22%	15%	28%	32%	16%	35%	22%	-	23%	32%	18%	25%	32%	22%	28%	47%
Somewhat oppose	4%	1%	5%	8%	-	1%	6%	3%	11%	3%	-	2%	6%	-	-	11%	-	2%	10%	1%	9%	-
Strongly oppose	*	*	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	*	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	95%	99%	95%	92%	100%	98%	94%	97%	89%	97%	99%	98%	94%	100%	100%	89%	99%	98%	90%	99%	91%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	5%	1%	5%	8%	-	2%	6%	3%	11%	3%	1%	2%	6%	-	-	11%	1%	2%	10%	1%	9%	-
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD																						
Strongly support	62%	74%	43%	56%	85%	66%	-	75%	57%	55%	79%	66%	40%	56%	66%	53%	73%	62%	54%	71%	53%	65%
Somewhat support	32%	25%	52%	34%	15%	31%	100%	17%	30%	38%	21%	32%	54%	44%	25%	37%	22%	36%	37%	28%	36%	35%
Somewhat oppose	6%	1%	5%	10%	-	2%	-	9%	13%	7%	1%	2%	6%	-	10%	10%	5%	2%	9%	1%	11%	-
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	99%	95%	90%	100%	98%	100%	91%	87%	93%	99%	98%	94%	100%	90%	90%	95%	98%	91%	99%	89%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	1%	5%	10%	-	2%	-	9%	13%	7%	1%	2%	6%	-	10%	10%	5%	2%	9%	1%	11%	-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q24,B4Q25,B4Q26,B4Q27) .

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR																				
Strongly support	52%	59%	63%	60%	60%	46%	55%	55%	57%	55%	54%	23%	85%	39%	-	85%	56%	11%		90%
Somewhat support	34%	27%	11%	23%	32%	28%	31%	29%	32%	30%	34%	32%	14%	44%	-	15%	31%	59%		10%
Somewhat oppose	14%	13%	26%	17%	8%	26%	14%	16%	10%	14%	11%	45%	*	16%	100%	-	13%	28%		-
Strongly oppose	1%	1%	-	1%	*	-	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	3%		-
SUPPORT (NET)	86%	86%	74%	83%	91%	74%	86%	84%	89%	85%	88%	55%	100%	83%	-	100%	87%	70%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	14%	14%	26%	17%	9%	26%	14%	16%	11%	15%	12%	45%	*	17%	100%	-	13%	30%		-
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER																				
Strongly support	66%	71%	64%	69%	76%	50%	68%	73%	64%	70%	69%	28%	98%	54%	21%	99%	67%	31%		100%
Somewhat support	28%	21%	36%	25%	24%	34%	27%	22%	27%	23%	27%	42%	2%	41%	7%	1%	26%	60%		-
Somewhat oppose	4%	4%	-	3%	-	11%	3%	6%	4%	5%	2%	30%	-	3%	72%	-	4%	9%		-
Strongly oppose	1%	4%	-	3%	-	5%	1%	-	5%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	2%	-		-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	93%	100%	94%	100%	84%	95%	94%	91%	93%	96%	70%	100%	95%	28%	100%	93%	91%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	7%	-	6%	-	16%	5%	6%	9%	7%	4%	30%	-	5%	72%	-	7%	9%		-
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS																				
Strongly support	70%	75%	61%	71%	78%	56%	71%	76%	58%	71%	72%	45%	94%	61%	21%	93%	72%	40%		92%
Somewhat support	26%	21%	25%	22%	21%	32%	24%	17%	38%	23%	24%	52%	6%	33%	79%	7%	25%	45%		8%
Somewhat oppose	4%	4%	14%	7%	2%	12%	5%	6%	3%	5%	5%	-	-	7%	-	-	2%	14%		-
Strongly oppose	*	-	-	-	-	1%	*	-	1%	*	-	3%	-	*	-	-	*	-		-
SUPPORT (NET)	95%	96%	86%	93%	98%	88%	95%	94%	96%	95%	95%	97%	100%	93%	100%	100%	98%	86%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	5%	4%	14%	7%	2%	12%	5%	6%	4%	5%	5%	3%	-	7%	-	-	2%	14%		-
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD																				
Strongly support	62%	79%	60%	74%	65%	56%	62%	68%	60%	66%	66%	7%	94%	50%	-	94%	64%	24%		94%
Somewhat support	32%	13%	40%	20%	34%	31%	33%	24%	37%	28%	32%	43%	6%	45%	28%	6%	30%	65%		6%
Somewhat oppose	6%	8%	-	6%	1%	13%	5%	8%	3%	7%	3%	50%	-	6%	72%	-	6%	10%		-
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	92%	100%	94%	99%	87%	95%	92%	97%	93%	97%	50%	100%	94%	28%	100%	94%	90%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	8%	-	6%	1%	13%	5%	8%	3%	7%	3%	50%	-	6%	72%	-	6%	10%		-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q24,B4Q25,B4Q26,B4Q27).

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K-	MORE		OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR																	
Strongly support	52%	43%	50%	62%	53%	49%	57%	43%	57%	62%	47%	40%	48%	64%	60%	42%	57%
Somewhat support	34%	39%	33%	30%	34%	34%	30%	34%	33%	33%	32%	36%	35%	28%	32%	37%	23%
Somewhat oppose	14%	17%	16%	8%	12%	16%	12%	23%	9%	5%	20%	24%	17%	7%	7%	21%	20%
Strongly oppose	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	*	1%	1%	1%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	86%	82%	83%	92%	87%	84%	88%	77%	90%	94%	79%	76%	83%	92%	92%	79%	80%
OPPOSE (NET)	14%	18%	17%	8%	13%	16%	12%	23%	10%	6%	21%	24%	17%	8%	8%	21%	20%
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER																	
Strongly support	66%	66%	53%	77%	66%	59%	84%	53%	73%	74%	62%	55%	59%	81%	72%	59%	66%
Somewhat support	28%	28%	40%	19%	28%	38%	9%	39%	23%	26%	23%	40%	34%	16%	24%	33%	34%
Somewhat oppose	4%	6%	7%	-	6%	4%	-	4%	4%	-	15%	-	5%	3%	2%	8%	-
Strongly oppose	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	7%	4%	-	-	-	6%	2%	-	2%	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	94%	93%	97%	94%	96%	93%	92%	96%	100%	85%	94%	93%	97%	96%	92%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	6%	7%	3%	6%	4%	7%	8%	4%	-	15%	6%	7%	3%	4%	8%	-
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS																	
Strongly support	70%	76%	57%	75%	75%	63%	70%	63%	73%	76%	61%	66%	65%	80%	75%	62%	80%
Somewhat support	26%	16%	36%	25%	22%	28%	28%	27%	25%	21%	32%	29%	30%	17%	24%	29%	20%
Somewhat oppose	4%	8%	6%	-	2%	8%	2%	10%	2%	3%	7%	5%	5%	3%	2%	9%	-
Strongly oppose	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	*	-
SUPPORT (NET)	95%	92%	94%	100%	97%	92%	98%	90%	98%	97%	93%	95%	95%	97%	98%	91%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	5%	8%	6%	*	3%	8%	2%	10%	2%	3%	7%	5%	5%	3%	2%	9%	-
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD																	
Strongly support	62%	64%	47%	73%	57%	60%	81%	60%	63%	67%	58%	58%	54%	78%	72%	49%	67%
Somewhat support	32%	29%	42%	27%	34%	37%	19%	34%	32%	29%	28%	42%	38%	21%	24%	43%	33%
Somewhat oppose	6%	6%	11%	*	9%	4%	-	6%	6%	4%	14%	-	8%	1%	4%	9%	-
Strongly oppose	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	94%	94%	89%	100%	91%	96%	100%	94%	94%	96%	86%	100%	92%	99%	96%	91%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	6%	6%	11%	*	9%	4%	-	6%	6%	4%	14%	-	8%	1%	4%	9%	-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	****MEN***	****WOM***	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 18-54	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A	***B**
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																								
Strongly support	67%	67%	67%	64%	66%	74%	64%	71%	71%	64%	59%	77%	76%	49%	80%	44%	73%	55%	61%	75%	63%	75%	67%	68%
Somewhat support	25%	26%	24%	29%	26%	18%	26%	23%	25%	26%	28%	20%	16%	43%	17%	42%	16%	44%	24%	18%	30%	22%	26%	24%
Somewhat oppose	5%	3%	6%	4%	9%	-	8%	1%	4%	1%	10%	2%	6%	1%	3%	2%	9%	-	15%	7%	-	3%	3%	6%
Strongly oppose	3%	4%	2%	2%	-	8%	2%	5%	-	8%	3%	1%	1%	7%	-	12%	2%	1%	-	-	7%	1%	4%	2%
SUPPORT (NET)	92%	93%	92%	93%	91%	92%	91%	94%	96%	91%	87%	97%	93%	92%	97%	86%	89%	99%	85%	93%	93%	96%	93%	92%
OPPOSE (NET)	8%	7%	8%	7%	9%	8%	9%	6%	4%	9%	13%	3%	7%	8%	3%	14%	11%	1%	15%	7%	7%	4%	7%	8%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																											
Strongly support	67%	67%	63%	61%	93%	70%	64%	70%	100%	22%	100%	46%	100%	49%	67%	64%	70%	64%	70%	77%	48%	82%	55%	60%	83%	75%	52%
Somewhat support	25%	25%	24%	39%	7%	24%	28%	22%	-	52%	-	54%	-	39%	25%	28%	22%	27%	24%	16%	43%	12%	33%	32%	10%	20%	38%
Somewhat oppose	5%	5%	-	-	-	-	3%	7%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	3%	7%	10%	1%	7%	1%	6%	10%	3%	7%	4%	5%
Strongly oppose	3%	3%	12%	-	-	7%	5%	1%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	3%	5%	1%	-	5%	-	8%	-	2%	4%	-	1%	5%
SUPPORT (NET)	92%	92%	88%	100%	100%	93%	92%	92%	100%	74%	100%	100%	100%	89%	92%	92%	92%	90%	94%	93%	91%	94%	88%	92%	93%	95%	90%
OPPOSE (NET)	8%	8%	12%	-	-	7%	8%	8%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	8%	8%	8%	10%	6%	7%	9%	6%	12%	8%	7%	5%	10%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																						
Strongly support	67%	78%	55%	61%	94%	68%	-	96%	63%	58%	85%	67%	55%	56%	83%	52%	84%	74%	51%	75%	58%	82%
Somewhat support	25%	15%	33%	32%	6%	22%	76%	1%	28%	35%	9%	26%	31%	44%	17%	37%	11%	19%	40%	20%	31%	18%
Somewhat oppose	5%	4%	10%	3%	-	7%	24%	-	-	7%	6%	2%	12%	-	-	4%	5%	4%	5%	4%	6%	-
Strongly oppose	3%	2%	2%	4%	-	3%	-	3%	8%	-	-	5%	2%	-	-	6%	-	3%	5%	2%	5%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	92%	94%	88%	92%	100%	89%	76%	97%	92%	93%	94%	93%	86%	100%	100%	89%	95%	93%	90%	94%	89%	100%
OPPOSE (NET)	8%	6%	12%	8%	-	11%	24%	3%	8%	7%	6%	7%	14%	-	-	11%	5%	7%	10%	6%	11%	-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																				
Strongly support	67%	79%	61%	75%	74%	58%	69%	71%	67%	70%	71%	19%	100%	55%	-	95%	70%	33%		100%
Somewhat support	25%	17%	27%	20%	21%	30%	23%	20%	28%	23%	25%	30%	-	36%	28%	5%	21%	54%		-
Somewhat oppose	5%	4%	12%	6%	4%	5%	4%	4%	4%	4%	4%	9%	-	7%	-	-	7%	3%		-
Strongly oppose	3%	-	-	-	2%	8%	4%	5%	1%	4%	*	42%	-	2%	72%	-	1%	10%		-
SUPPORT (NET)	92%	96%	88%	94%	94%	88%	92%	92%	95%	93%	95%	49%	100%	92%	28%	100%	91%	87%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	8%	4%	12%	6%	6%	12%	8%	8%	5%	7%	5%	51%	-	8%	72%	-	9%	13%		-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																	
Strongly support	67%	69%	59%	73%	71%	61%	70%	58%	72%	78%	55%	59%	61%	80%	72%	62%	67%
Somewhat support	25%	23%	32%	21%	21%	30%	22%	39%	18%	16%	32%	34%	31%	13%	22%	30%	13%
Somewhat oppose	5%	1%	9%	4%	3%	6%	7%	3%	6%	3%	5%	6%	4%	7%	4%	2%	20%
Strongly oppose	3%	7%	-	2%	4%	3%	-	-	5%	2%	8%	-	4%	-	1%	6%	-
SUPPORT (NET)	92%	92%	91%	94%	93%	92%	93%	97%	90%	95%	87%	94%	92%	93%	94%	92%	80%
OPPOSE (NET)	8%	8%	9%	6%	7%	8%	7%	3%	10%	5%	13%	6%	8%	7%	6%	8%	20%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q29,B5Q30,B5Q31,B5Q33).

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**		****MEN***		****WOM***		NON	COLL	***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-	MADISON MLWK OTHER			***A/B**		
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON	COLL	NON	COLL	APPLETON				A	B
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES																								
Strongly agree	44%	42%	46%	42%	39%	53%	40%	48%	38%	46%	41%	51%	52%	28%	51%	25%	52%	30%	42%	45%	42%	47%	43%	45%
Somewhat agree	47%	47%	47%	43%	53%	44%	50%	44%	49%	46%	51%	41%	42%	58%	39%	63%	44%	52%	39%	43%	55%	43%	50%	44%
Somewhat disagree	9%	11%	7%	15%	8%	3%	10%	8%	13%	8%	8%	7%	6%	14%	10%	12%	4%	16%	19%	13%	3%	9%	7%	10%
Strongly disagree	*	-	*	-	-	1%	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	*
AGREE (NET)	91%	89%	92%	85%	92%	97%	90%	92%	87%	92%	92%	92%	94%	85%	90%	88%	96%	83%	81%	87%	97%	90%	93%	89%
DISAGREE (NET)	9%	11%	8%	15%	8%	3%	10%	8%	13%	8%	8%	8%	6%	15%	10%	12%	4%	17%	19%	13%	3%	10%	7%	11%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES																								
Strongly agree	45%	43%	47%	47%	47%	40%	49%	41%	58%	30%	43%	52%	49%	38%	43%	43%	53%	33%	38%	47%	41%	57%	45%	45%
Somewhat agree	41%	40%	42%	34%	44%	45%	36%	46%	24%	53%	45%	39%	42%	38%	42%	35%	42%	41%	35%	42%	44%	39%	41%	40%
Somewhat disagree	14%	17%	10%	19%	8%	14%	15%	12%	18%	17%	12%	8%	9%	24%	15%	22%	4%	25%	27%	11%	15%	3%	13%	14%
Strongly disagree	*	-	*	-	-	1%	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	*
AGREE (NET)	86%	83%	89%	81%	92%	85%	85%	87%	82%	83%	88%	91%	91%	76%	85%	78%	96%	73%	73%	89%	85%	96%	87%	85%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	17%	11%	19%	8%	15%	15%	13%	18%	17%	12%	9%	9%	24%	15%	22%	4%	27%	27%	11%	15%	4%	13%	15%
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER																								
Strongly agree	70%	75%	65%	71%	64%	75%	67%	72%	80%	70%	58%	73%	76%	56%	84%	57%	70%	54%	51%	81%	67%	79%	72%	67%
Somewhat agree	23%	15%	31%	19%	33%	17%	26%	21%	12%	17%	36%	25%	18%	34%	6%	30%	28%	37%	37%	14%	24%	18%	20%	27%
Somewhat disagree	6%	11%	2%	7%	3%	8%	5%	7%	8%	13%	3%	1%	4%	9%	10%	12%	-	7%	11%	5%	7%	2%	8%	4%
Strongly disagree	1%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	*	-	-	3%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	-	2%	1%	-	2%
AGREE (NET)	93%	89%	96%	90%	97%	91%	93%	93%	92%	87%	94%	98%	95%	90%	90%	88%	98%	92%	89%	95%	91%	97%	92%	94%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	11%	4%	10%	3%	9%	7%	7%	8%	13%	6%	2%	5%	10%	10%	12%	2%	8%	11%	5%	9%	3%	8%	6%
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES																								
Strongly agree	58%	59%	57%	51%	61%	63%	55%	61%	58%	59%	53%	63%	69%	36%	71%	36%	66%	36%	45%	59%	56%	71%	54%	62%
Somewhat agree	28%	26%	29%	31%	31%	20%	30%	26%	29%	23%	30%	28%	20%	42%	17%	41%	23%	44%	34%	27%	32%	16%	36%	19%
Somewhat disagree	12%	14%	11%	18%	7%	11%	15%	9%	13%	15%	17%	3%	9%	20%	9%	23%	8%	17%	16%	14%	10%	11%	9%	15%
Strongly disagree	2%	1%	3%	-	1%	6%	-	4%	-	2%	-	7%	3%	1%	2%	-	3%	3%	5%	-	2%	2%	*	4%
AGREE (NET)	86%	85%	86%	82%	91%	83%	85%	87%	87%	83%	83%	91%	89%	79%	89%	77%	89%	80%	78%	86%	88%	87%	90%	81%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	15%	14%	18%	9%	17%	15%	13%	13%	17%	17%	9%	11%	21%	11%	23%	11%	20%	22%	14%	12%	13%	10%	19%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q29,B5Q30,B5Q31,B5Q33).

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	TOT	WHITE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**	
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES																											
Strongly agree	44%	44%	45%	33%	93%	46%	40%	47%	72%	14%	-	46%	63%	35%	44%	40%	47%	38%	48%	52%	27%	50%	45%	39%	54%	39%	41%
Somewhat agree	47%	47%	36%	67%	7%	43%	49%	46%	28%	44%	100%	54%	37%	47%	47%	49%	46%	52%	43%	41%	61%	48%	44%	48%	36%	52%	51%
Somewhat disagree	9%	9%	20%	-	-	11%	12%	6%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	9%	12%	6%	10%	8%	7%	11%	2%	9%	13%	10%	8%	9%
Strongly disagree	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	91%	91%	80%	100%	100%	89%	88%	94%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	82%	91%	88%	94%	90%	92%	93%	88%	98%	89%	87%	90%	91%	91%
DISAGREE (NET)	9%	9%	20%	-	-	11%	12%	6%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	9%	12%	6%	10%	8%	7%	12%	2%	11%	13%	10%	9%	9%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES																											
Strongly agree	45%	43%	61%	45%	93%	66%	39%	46%	72%	48%	100%	23%	79%	57%	43%	39%	46%	46%	40%	46%	36%	60%	36%	32%	62%	47%	28%
Somewhat agree	41%	43%	20%	55%	7%	23%	42%	44%	28%	10%	-	77%	21%	25%	43%	42%	44%	38%	47%	44%	42%	29%	50%	52%	33%	42%	50%
Somewhat disagree	14%	14%	20%	-	-	11%	19%	9%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	14%	19%	9%	16%	13%	10%	22%	11%	12%	17%	5%	10%	22%
Strongly disagree	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	86%	80%	100%	100%	89%	81%	90%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	82%	86%	81%	90%	84%	87%	90%	77%	89%	86%	83%	95%	89%	78%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	14%	20%	-	-	11%	19%	10%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	14%	19%	10%	16%	13%	10%	23%	11%	14%	17%	5%	11%	22%
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER																											
Strongly agree	70%	68%	83%	61%	93%	82%	72%	64%	100%	64%	100%	46%	100%	69%	68%	72%	64%	64%	71%	76%	52%	82%	67%	59%	73%	81%	56%
Somewhat agree	23%	25%	5%	39%	7%	12%	16%	33%	-	10%	-	54%	-	19%	25%	16%	33%	30%	21%	19%	37%	18%	24%	30%	17%	15%	37%
Somewhat disagree	6%	7%	-	-	-	-	12%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	7%	12%	2%	6%	7%	5%	10%	1%	7%	11%	10%	3%	8%
Strongly disagree	1%	*	12%	-	-	7%	-	1%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	*	-	1%	-	*	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	93%	93%	88%	100%	100%	93%	88%	97%	100%	74%	100%	100%	100%	89%	93%	88%	97%	94%	92%	95%	89%	99%	91%	89%	90%	96%	92%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	7%	12%	-	-	7%	12%	3%	-	26%	-	-	-	11%	7%	12%	3%	6%	8%	5%	11%	1%	9%	11%	10%	4%	8%
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES																											
Strongly agree	58%	55%	63%	100%	93%	78%	55%	56%	100%	22%	100%	100%	100%	64%	55%	55%	56%	50%	60%	66%	34%	73%	41%	47%	71%	63%	40%
Somewhat agree	28%	31%	5%	-	7%	4%	28%	33%	-	10%	-	-	-	7%	31%	28%	33%	36%	26%	23%	47%	25%	45%	30%	24%	28%	36%
Somewhat disagree	12%	12%	32%	-	-	18%	16%	8%	-	68%	-	-	-	29%	12%	16%	8%	14%	9%	8%	18%	1%	7%	20%	5%	6%	20%
Strongly disagree	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	1%	3%	-	5%	3%	2%	1%	7%	2%	-	3%	4%
AGREE (NET)	86%	86%	68%	100%	100%	82%	83%	89%	100%	32%	100%	100%	100%	71%	86%	83%	89%	86%	86%	89%	81%	98%	86%	77%	95%	92%	76%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	14%	32%	-	-	18%	17%	11%	-	68%	-	-	-	29%	14%	17%	11%	14%	14%	11%	19%	2%	14%	23%	5%	8%	24%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q29,B5Q30,B5Q31,B5Q33).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES																						
Strongly agree	44%	50%	45%	38%	53%	48%	17%	65%	40%	36%	62%	29%	41%	64%	30%	41%	57%	38%	39%	49%	36%	71%
Somewhat agree	47%	46%	44%	48%	47%	46%	61%	32%	45%	53%	37%	61%	46%	36%	44%	50%	35%	55%	49%	46%	52%	14%
Somewhat disagree	9%	4%	9%	13%	-	7%	22%	-	15%	11%	*	10%	11%	-	25%	9%	8%	7%	11%	5%	12%	15%
Strongly disagree	*	-	2%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	91%	96%	89%	87%	100%	93%	78%	97%	85%	89%	100%	90%	87%	100%	75%	91%	92%	92%	89%	95%	87%	85%
DISAGREE (NET)	9%	4%	11%	13%	-	7%	22%	3%	15%	11%	*	10%	13%	-	25%	9%	8%	8%	11%	5%	13%	15%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES																						
Strongly agree	45%	61%	36%	32%	65%	58%	17%	50%	33%	32%	70%	47%	31%	64%	50%	26%	65%	49%	27%	58%	33%	29%
Somewhat agree	41%	27%	50%	51%	23%	31%	54%	46%	48%	54%	26%	31%	53%	36%	47%	53%	31%	41%	48%	30%	49%	71%
Somewhat disagree	14%	11%	12%	16%	12%	11%	28%	-	19%	14%	4%	22%	14%	-	3%	21%	4%	9%	24%	12%	17%	-
Strongly disagree	*	-	2%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	89%	86%	84%	88%	89%	72%	97%	81%	86%	96%	78%	83%	100%	97%	79%	96%	90%	76%	88%	82%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	11%	14%	16%	12%	11%	28%	3%	19%	14%	4%	22%	17%	-	3%	21%	4%	10%	24%	12%	18%	-
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER																						
Strongly agree	70%	81%	67%	59%	99%	70%	48%	81%	64%	53%	82%	81%	69%	56%	70%	55%	75%	80%	57%	82%	55%	85%
Somewhat agree	23%	16%	24%	30%	1%	26%	35%	15%	20%	42%	18%	14%	20%	44%	13%	36%	17%	14%	35%	15%	33%	15%
Somewhat disagree	6%	*	7%	11%	-	1%	17%	-	16%	4%	1%	-	9%	-	17%	9%	8%	3%	7%	1%	12%	-
Strongly disagree	1%	2%	2%	-	-	3%	-	3%	-	-	-	5%	2%	-	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	93%	98%	91%	89%	100%	96%	83%	97%	84%	96%	99%	95%	89%	100%	83%	91%	92%	94%	93%	98%	87%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	2%	9%	11%	-	4%	17%	3%	16%	4%	1%	5%	11%	-	17%	9%	8%	6%	7%	2%	13%	-
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES																						
Strongly agree	58%	74%	41%	47%	89%	65%	-	71%	51%	43%	79%	66%	38%	56%	73%	38%	78%	63%	39%	71%	44%	62%
Somewhat agree	28%	20%	45%	30%	11%	25%	72%	25%	26%	36%	20%	19%	45%	44%	15%	36%	19%	27%	35%	23%	32%	24%
Somewhat disagree	12%	5%	7%	20%	-	9%	17%	-	23%	17%	1%	12%	9%	-	13%	23%	4%	7%	22%	4%	20%	15%
Strongly disagree	2%	1%	7%	2%	-	1%	11%	3%	-	5%	-	2%	8%	-	-	3%	-	3%	3%	1%	3%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	94%	86%	78%	100%	90%	72%	97%	77%	79%	99%	85%	83%	100%	87%	74%	96%	90%	74%	94%	77%	85%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	6%	14%	22%	-	10%	28%	3%	23%	21%	1%	15%	17%	-	13%	26%	4%	10%	26%	6%	23%	15%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q29,B5Q30,B5Q31,B5Q33).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES																				
Strongly agree	44%	59%	51%	57%	50%	37%	46%	51%	32%	45%	47%	7%	71%	33%	-	71%	45%	15%		75%
Somewhat agree	47%	30%	37%	32%	46%	39%	44%	41%	54%	44%	45%	75%	29%	53%	100%	29%	44%	73%		25%
Somewhat disagree	9%	11%	12%	11%	4%	24%	10%	9%	13%	10%	8%	17%	-	13%	-	1%	12%	11%		-
Strongly disagree	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	1%	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%		-
AGREE (NET)	91%	89%	88%	89%	96%	76%	90%	91%	86%	90%	91%	83%	100%	86%	100%	99%	88%	88%		100%
DISAGREE (NET)	9%	11%	12%	11%	4%	24%	10%	9%	14%	10%	9%	17%	-	14%	-	1%	12%	12%		-
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES																				
Strongly agree	45%	64%	25%	54%	54%	33%	47%	49%	48%	49%	48%	12%	80%	31%	-	85%	43%	8%		89%
Somewhat agree	41%	26%	74%	38%	35%	44%	37%	38%	30%	36%	41%	43%	20%	51%	21%	14%	43%	64%		11%
Somewhat disagree	14%	11%	1%	8%	11%	24%	15%	13%	20%	15%	11%	46%	-	17%	79%	1%	14%	27%		-
Strongly disagree	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	-	1%	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%		-
AGREE (NET)	86%	89%	99%	92%	89%	76%	85%	87%	79%	85%	88%	54%	100%	82%	21%	99%	86%	72%		100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	11%	1%	8%	11%	24%	15%	13%	21%	15%	12%	46%	-	18%	79%	1%	14%	28%		-
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER																				
Strongly agree	70%	78%	59%	73%	79%	55%	72%	71%	74%	72%	74%	7%	99%	59%	-	96%	70%	42%		100%
Somewhat agree	23%	19%	34%	23%	16%	29%	20%	21%	17%	20%	23%	31%	1%	34%	28%	4%	21%	49%		-
Somewhat disagree	6%	3%	7%	4%	3%	16%	7%	7%	8%	7%	3%	50%	-	6%	72%	-	8%	9%		-
Strongly disagree	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	12%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%		-
AGREE (NET)	93%	97%	93%	96%	95%	84%	92%	92%	91%	92%	97%	38%	100%	92%	28%	100%	91%	90%		100%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	3%	7%	4%	5%	16%	8%	8%	9%	8%	3%	62%	-	8%	72%	-	9%	10%		-
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES																				
Strongly agree	58%	72%	60%	69%	69%	49%	62%	59%	70%	62%	61%	19%	94%	44%	-	95%	58%	20%		96%
Somewhat agree	28%	20%	17%	19%	22%	24%	23%	29%	13%	25%	28%	18%	6%	38%	7%	5%	28%	50%		4%
Somewhat disagree	12%	7%	23%	11%	8%	27%	14%	10%	16%	11%	9%	54%	-	15%	72%	-	14%	22%		-
Strongly disagree	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	9%	-	2%	21%	-	*	8%		-
AGREE (NET)	86%	92%	77%	88%	91%	73%	85%	88%	83%	87%	89%	37%	100%	82%	7%	100%	86%	70%		100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	8%	23%	12%	9%	27%	15%	12%	17%	13%	11%	63%	-	18%	93%	-	14%	30%		-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q29,B5Q30,B5Q31,B5Q33).

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100K	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/LOWER	MID-DLE	UPPER/AFF
		0-39	40-59	60+													
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly agree	44%	44%	40%	47%	44%	41%	49%	26%	53%	51%	33%	43%	37%	57%	44%	38%	76%
Somewhat agree	47%	52%	46%	44%	47%	51%	39%	62%	40%	45%	56%	41%	52%	39%	46%	52%	24%
Somewhat disagree	9%	3%	14%	9%	10%	7%	12%	12%	7%	5%	10%	16%	11%	4%	9%	10%	-
Strongly disagree	*	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	*	-	1%	-	*	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	91%	96%	86%	91%	90%	93%	88%	88%	92%	95%	89%	84%	88%	96%	91%	90%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	9%	4%	14%	9%	10%	7%	12%	12%	8%	5%	11%	16%	12%	4%	9%	10%	-
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES																	
Strongly agree	45%	36%	30%	65%	46%	39%	54%	39%	48%	53%	33%	43%	36%	63%	52%	37%	38%
Somewhat agree	41%	48%	52%	25%	37%	48%	37%	44%	39%	36%	48%	42%	47%	27%	37%	41%	62%
Somewhat disagree	14%	15%	18%	9%	17%	12%	9%	17%	12%	11%	19%	15%	16%	9%	10%	21%	-
Strongly disagree	*	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	*	-	1%	-	*	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	84%	82%	91%	83%	87%	91%	83%	87%	89%	80%	85%	84%	91%	90%	79%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	16%	18%	9%	17%	13%	9%	17%	13%	11%	20%	15%	16%	9%	10%	21%	-
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER																	
Strongly agree	70%	74%	58%	75%	70%	62%	85%	64%	72%	79%	52%	70%	63%	82%	74%	64%	67%
Somewhat agree	23%	17%	35%	19%	20%	34%	10%	34%	18%	16%	34%	26%	28%	15%	18%	28%	33%
Somewhat disagree	6%	8%	7%	3%	11%	1%	5%	2%	8%	3%	13%	4%	8%	3%	6%	8%	-
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	-	2%	-	3%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	-	1%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	93%	91%	93%	94%	89%	97%	95%	98%	90%	95%	86%	96%	91%	97%	93%	92%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	9%	7%	6%	11%	3%	5%	2%	10%	5%	14%	4%	9%	3%	7%	8%	-
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES																	
Strongly agree	58%	49%	52%	71%	58%	57%	59%	48%	63%	72%	39%	55%	52%	74%	70%	41%	67%
Somewhat agree	28%	39%	26%	19%	27%	28%	29%	39%	22%	22%	42%	19%	29%	20%	18%	42%	13%
Somewhat disagree	12%	9%	19%	10%	11%	14%	12%	13%	12%	4%	19%	23%	17%	3%	8%	16%	20%
Strongly disagree	2%	3%	3%	1%	4%	1%	-	-	3%	2%	1%	4%	2%	3%	3%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	88%	78%	90%	85%	85%	88%	87%	85%	94%	80%	74%	81%	94%	88%	83%	80%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	12%	22%	10%	15%	15%	12%	13%	15%	6%	20%	26%	19%	6%	12%	17%	20%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A) . SPLIT A

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	***NET**	****MEN***	55+ 18-54	****WOM***	55+ 18-54	55+ 18-54	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	***MEN**	NON COLL	***WOM**	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A/B**	A
SPLIT A	100	45	55	28	45	28	51	50	23	23	28	27	63	38	26	20	37	18	15	23	46	17	100	0		
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																										
Strongly agree	47%	39%	52%	30%	55%	49%	46%	48%	49%	30%	43%	62%	60%	24%	52%	23%	65%	26%	49%	45%	45%	51%	47%	-		
Somewhat agree	39%	40%	39%	65%	25%	37%	46%	33%	43%	37%	48%	30%	35%	47%	41%	39%	31%	55%	38%	53%	33%	40%	39%	-		
Somewhat disagree	10%	12%	8%	5%	18%	-	9%	10%	8%	15%	9%	6%	5%	17%	7%	18%	3%	17%	13%	-	14%	9%	10%	-		
Strongly disagree	4%	9%	1%	-	1%	14%	-	9%	-	18%	-	2%	-	12%	-	21%	-	2%	-	2%	9%	-	4%	-		
AGREE (NET)	86%	79%	91%	95%	81%	86%	91%	81%	92%	67%	91%	92%	95%	71%	93%	62%	97%	81%	87%	98%	78%	91%	86%	-		
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	21%	9%	5%	19%	14%	9%	19%	8%	33%	9%	8%	5%	29%	7%	38%	3%	19%	13%	2%	22%	9%	14%	-		

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A). SPLIT A

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	***PARTY IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON
SPLIT A	100	86	10	3	0	14	39	47	7	4	0	3	7	7	86	39	47	38	48	53	33	29	12	45	23	30	33
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																											
Strongly agree	47%	46%	34%	100%	-	47%	39%	53%	43%	15%	-	100%	43%	51%	46%	39%	53%	46%	47%	62%	22%	56%	53%	39%	50%	63%	29%
Somewhat agree	39%	37%	66%	-	-	53%	37%	37%	57%	85%	-	-	57%	49%	37%	37%	37%	42%	33%	32%	45%	39%	37%	36%	43%	33%	37%
Somewhat disagree	10%	11%	-	-	-	-	14%	9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	14%	9%	12%	11%	6%	20%	6%	10%	15%	7%	4%	20%
Strongly disagree	4%	5%	-	-	-	-	10%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	10%	1%	-	9%	-	13%	-	-	10%	-	-	14%
AGREE (NET)	86%	84%	100%	100%	-	100%	76%	90%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	84%	76%	90%	88%	80%	94%	67%	94%	90%	75%	93%	96%	66%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	16%	-	-	-	-	24%	10%	-	-	-	-	-	-	16%	24%	10%	12%	20%	6%	33%	6%	10%	25%	7%	4%	34%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A). SPLIT A

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
SPLIT A	100	43	12	45	14	29	5	8	27	18	28	15	11	2	15	30	31	34	35	52	47	1
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																						
Strongly agree	47%	53%	53%	39%	59%	50%	-	84%	36%	43%	60%	38%	46%	100%	67%	24%	56%	59%	26%	51%	41%	100%
Somewhat agree	39%	43%	37%	36%	41%	44%	100%	-	29%	47%	33%	62%	43%	-	33%	38%	39%	37%	42%	46%	33%	-
Somewhat disagree	10%	4%	10%	15%	-	6%	-	16%	19%	7%	6%	-	11%	-	-	22%	6%	4%	19%	3%	17%	-
Strongly disagree	4%	-	-	10%	-	-	-	-	15%	2%	-	-	-	-	-	15%	-	-	13%	-	9%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	96%	90%	76%	100%	94%	100%	84%	66%	90%	94%	100%	89%	100%	100%	63%	94%	96%	69%	97%	74%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	4%	10%	24%	-	6%	-	16%	34%	10%	6%	-	11%	-	-	37%	6%	4%	31%	3%	26%	-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A) . SPLIT A

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
SPLIT A	100	34	9	43	56	30	86	56	24	81	92	8	29	67	4	23	54	24		21
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																				
Strongly agree	47%	56%	42%	54%	54%	37%	48%	40%	66%	48%	51%	-	80%	35%	-	82%	49%	9%		80%
Somewhat agree	39%	43%	17%	38%	35%	38%	36%	41%	29%	37%	39%	46%	20%	50%	-	18%	43%	51%		20%
Somewhat disagree	10%	1%	41%	9%	11%	11%	11%	12%	5%	10%	10%	-	-	14%	-	-	8%	22%		-
Strongly disagree	4%	-	-	-	-	15%	5%	7%	-	5%	-	54%	-	-	100%	-	-	18%		-
AGREE (NET)	86%	99%	59%	91%	89%	74%	84%	81%	95%	85%	90%	46%	100%	86%	-	100%	92%	60%		100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	1%	41%	9%	11%	26%	16%	19%	5%	15%	10%	54%	-	14%	100%	-	8%	40%		-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A). SPLIT A

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT A	100	34	27	40	48	33	19	36	64	50	26	20	68	30	53	44	3
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																	
Strongly agree	47%	32%	48%	58%	42%	57%	40%	34%	54%	53%	33%	41%	36%	68%	51%	41%	50%
Somewhat agree	39%	42%	35%	41%	36%	32%	60%	49%	34%	41%	31%	52%	44%	32%	43%	34%	50%
Somewhat disagree	10%	14%	17%	1%	12%	11%	-	16%	6%	7%	18%	8%	14%	-	6%	15%	-
Strongly disagree	4%	12%	-	1%	9%	-	-	-	7%	-	17%	-	7%	-	-	10%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	74%	83%	98%	79%	89%	100%	84%	87%	93%	65%	92%	80%	100%	94%	75%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	26%	17%	2%	21%	11%	-	16%	13%	7%	35%	8%	20%	-	6%	25%	-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B) . SPLIT B

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT					
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ ***NET**	<55	55+	18-54 ***MEN***	55+ ***WOM***	18-54	55+	NON COLL	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A	***B**
SPLIT B	101	48	52	43	27	30	51	49	21	28	30	22	73	28	35	14	38	14	23	14	34	30	0	101		
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																										
Strongly agree	62%	71%	54%	59%	47%	81%	58%	67%	65%	76%	53%	56%	69%	46%	79%	51%	59%	41%	37%	64%	73%	69%	-	62%		
Somewhat agree	26%	14%	37%	26%	41%	12%	30%	22%	8%	19%	45%	26%	20%	40%	8%	30%	32%	51%	49%	14%	22%	19%	-	26%		
Somewhat disagree	11%	15%	8%	15%	11%	6%	13%	10%	27%	5%	3%	15%	11%	12%	13%	19%	9%	6%	15%	22%	5%	11%	-	11%		
Strongly disagree	*	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	3%	-	-	-	2%	-	*		
AGREE (NET)	88%	85%	91%	85%	89%	93%	87%	89%	73%	95%	97%	83%	89%	86%	87%	81%	91%	91%	85%	78%	95%	88%	-	88%		
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	15%	9%	15%	11%	7%	13%	11%	27%	5%	3%	17%	11%	14%	13%	19%	9%	9%	15%	22%	5%	12%	-	12%		

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B). SPLIT B

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY** DEM	**PARTY** IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
SPLIT B	101	92	2	2	1	9	46	46	0	2	1	1	2	6	92	46	46	43	48	66	26	34	12	45	21	27	44
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																											
Strongly agree	62%	63%	32%	64%	-	59%	70%	56%	-	32%	100%	-	100%	44%	63%	70%	56%	58%	67%	71%	43%	76%	59%	53%	74%	64%	57%
Somewhat agree	26%	25%	68%	-	100%	31%	15%	36%	-	68%	-	-	-	43%	25%	15%	36%	29%	22%	19%	43%	19%	19%	32%	18%	26%	29%
Somewhat disagree	11%	11%	-	36%	-	10%	15%	7%	-	-	-	100%	-	13%	11%	15%	7%	13%	10%	11%	13%	4%	18%	15%	8%	9%	15%
Strongly disagree	*	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	4%	-	-	2%	-
AGREE (NET)	88%	88%	100%	64%	100%	90%	85%	92%	-	100%	100%	-	100%	87%	88%	85%	92%	87%	89%	89%	85%	96%	78%	85%	92%	90%	85%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	12%	-	36%	-	10%	15%	8%	-	-	-	100%	-	13%	12%	15%	8%	13%	11%	11%	15%	4%	22%	15%	8%	10%	15%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B). SPLIT B

TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON							
SPLIT B	101	43	12	45	21	22	6	6	22	23	24	18	10	3	9	36	26	30	44	46	46	8
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																						
Strongly agree	62%	73%	59%	53%	91%	57%	31%	85%	64%	43%	77%	68%	48%	100%	52%	54%	72%	62%	57%	73%	51%	67%
Somewhat agree	26%	22%	19%	32%	9%	33%	31%	8%	14%	49%	20%	24%	24%	-	29%	33%	18%	29%	29%	21%	30%	33%
Somewhat disagree	11%	5%	18%	15%	-	10%	38%	-	22%	8%	3%	8%	23%	-	19%	14%	10%	8%	15%	6%	18%	-
Strongly disagree	*	-	4%	-	-	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	88%	95%	78%	85%	100%	90%	62%	93%	78%	92%	97%	92%	72%	100%	81%	86%	90%	91%	85%	94%	81%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	5%	22%	15%	-	10%	38%	7%	22%	8%	3%	8%	28%	-	19%	14%	10%	9%	15%	6%	19%	-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B) . SPLIT B

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
SPLIT B	101	35	16	51	63	23	86	66	22	88	95	5	33	67	1	26	52	23		25
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																				
Strongly agree	62%	71%	62%	68%	68%	64%	67%	66%	55%	63%	66%	-	91%	49%	-	89%	63%	31%		93%
Somewhat agree	26%	17%	20%	18%	24%	11%	21%	24%	27%	25%	22%	100%	6%	34%	100%	7%	26%	47%		4%
Somewhat disagree	11%	13%	18%	14%	7%	25%	12%	10%	16%	12%	12%	-	3%	16%	-	3%	11%	21%		3%
Strongly disagree	*	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	1%	*	-	-	1%	-	-	-	2%		-
AGREE (NET)	88%	87%	82%	86%	92%	75%	87%	90%	82%	88%	88%	100%	97%	84%	100%	97%	89%	77%		97%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	13%	18%	14%	8%	25%	13%	10%	18%	12%	12%	-	3%	16%	-	3%	11%	23%		3%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B) . SPLIT B

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT B	101	31	35	35	44	41	15	30	70	43	33	24	68	32	52	37	12
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly agree	62%	80%	42%	67%	66%	53%	76%	56%	65%	77%	48%	56%	55%	77%	67%	52%	75%
Somewhat agree	26%	16%	38%	23%	23%	34%	13%	34%	22%	20%	34%	26%	31%	15%	24%	28%	25%
Somewhat disagree	11%	3%	20%	10%	11%	11%	11%	10%	12%	3%	17%	18%	13%	8%	8%	19%	-
Strongly disagree	*	2%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	88%	96%	80%	90%	89%	88%	89%	90%	88%	97%	82%	82%	87%	92%	92%	80%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	4%	20%	10%	11%	12%	11%	10%	12%	3%	18%	18%	13%	8%	8%	20%	-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	TOT	WHITE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**	
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																											
Strongly agree	42%	39%	43%	100%	-	58%	36%	43%	42%	44%	100%	100%	47%	65%	39%	36%	43%	34%	44%	46%	27%	50%	42%	31%	56%	35%	33%
Somewhat agree	45%	47%	38%	-	100%	30%	50%	44%	58%	14%	-	-	53%	14%	47%	50%	44%	55%	39%	41%	58%	42%	46%	50%	34%	54%	49%
Somewhat disagree	12%	12%	20%	-	-	12%	11%	13%	-	42%	-	-	-	21%	12%	11%	13%	10%	14%	11%	14%	8%	10%	16%	5%	10%	18%
Strongly disagree	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	3%	1%	-	3%	2%	1%	-	2%	3%	5%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	86%	80%	100%	100%	88%	86%	87%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	79%	86%	86%	87%	90%	83%	87%	85%	92%	88%	81%	90%	89%	82%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	14%	20%	-	-	12%	14%	13%	-	42%	-	-	-	21%	14%	14%	13%	10%	17%	13%	15%	8%	12%	19%	10%	11%	18%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																						
Strongly agree	42%	52%	41%	31%	52%	53%	17%	59%	31%	32%	62%	37%	30%	100%	38%	29%	57%	40%	32%	51%	31%	50%
Somewhat agree	45%	39%	47%	50%	47%	34%	59%	37%	51%	48%	34%	47%	56%	-	46%	51%	35%	50%	47%	41%	49%	50%
Somewhat disagree	12%	8%	10%	16%	1%	13%	24%	-	14%	20%	4%	16%	12%	-	6%	20%	4%	9%	21%	8%	18%	-
Strongly disagree	1%	-	2%	3%	-	-	-	3%	5%	-	-	-	2%	-	10%	-	4%	1%	-	-	3%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	92%	88%	81%	99%	87%	76%	97%	82%	80%	96%	84%	86%	100%	84%	80%	92%	91%	79%	92%	79%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	8%	12%	19%	1%	13%	24%	3%	18%	20%	4%	16%	14%	-	16%	20%	8%	9%	21%	8%	21%	-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS	
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47	45
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																			
Strongly agree	42%	56%	46%	53%	50%	30%	44%	49%	33%	45%	43%	19%	82%	25%	-	87%	36%	8%	88%
Somewhat agree	45%	36%	38%	36%	41%	46%	42%	42%	44%	42%	46%	24%	16%	59%	28%	12%	51%	64%	11%
Somewhat disagree	12%	5%	17%	8%	9%	19%	12%	7%	21%	11%	10%	40%	2%	14%	72%	2%	11%	27%	2%
Strongly disagree	1%	3%	-	2%	*	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	*	17%	-	2%	-	-	2%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	92%	83%	89%	91%	76%	86%	91%	78%	87%	89%	43%	98%	84%	28%	98%	87%	72%	98%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	8%	17%	11%	9%	24%	14%	9%	22%	13%	11%	57%	2%	16%	72%	2%	13%	28%	2%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly agree	42%	36%	44%	44%	36%	48%	42%	31%	47%	46%	32%	45%	39%	50%	42%	35%	76%
Somewhat agree	45%	50%	35%	48%	44%	44%	49%	61%	37%	42%	52%	40%	44%	45%	43%	54%	4%
Somewhat disagree	12%	14%	17%	7%	17%	7%	9%	9%	14%	10%	15%	16%	15%	6%	13%	10%	20%
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	4%	-	3%	1%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	-	2%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	86%	85%	80%	93%	80%	92%	91%	91%	84%	88%	84%	84%	82%	94%	85%	89%	80%
DISAGREE (NET)	14%	15%	20%	7%	20%	8%	9%	9%	16%	12%	16%	16%	18%	6%	15%	11%	20%

Q35. TIMING.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
Q35. TIMING																								
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	71%	74%	69%	76%	70%	66%	81%	61%	90%	59%	74%	63%	71%	70%	72%	76%	71%	64%	66%	78%	67%	77%	73%	69%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	29%	26%	31%	24%	30%	34%	19%	39%	10%	41%	26%	37%	29%	30%	28%	24%	29%	36%	34%	22%	33%	23%	27%	31%

Q35. TIMING.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY** DEM	**PARTY** IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
Q35. TIMING																											
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	71%	72%	68%	45%	100%	67%	71%	73%	100%	32%	100%	23%	100%	44%	72%	71%	73%	85%	61%	72%	72%	88%	57%	64%	89%	76%	59%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	29%	28%	32%	55%	-	33%	29%	27%	-	68%	-	77%	-	56%	28%	29%	27%	15%	39%	28%	28%	12%	43%	36%	11%	24%	41%

Q35. TIMING.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE						
	=====			=====						=====						=====			=====						
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	***DEM**	***IND**	***GOP**	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9			
Q35. TIMING																									
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	71%	83%	58%	64%	90%	78%	48%	65%	68%	59%	89%	72%	62%	36%	75%	60%	86%	76%	56%	81%	60%	71%			
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	29%	17%	42%	36%	10%	22%	52%	35%	32%	41%	11%	28%	38%	64%	25%	40%	14%	24%	44%	19%	40%	29%			

Q35. TIMING.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
Q35. TIMING																				
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	71%	77%	73%	76%	79%	58%	72%	73%	65%	71%	74%	32%	90%	62%	72%	86%	75%	46%		90%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	29%	23%	27%	24%	21%	42%	28%	27%	35%	29%	26%	68%	10%	38%	28%	14%	25%	54%		10%

Q35. TIMING.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
Q35. TIMING																	
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	71%	69%	67%	76%	73%	62%	86%	74%	70%	75%	67%	70%	66%	81%	75%	65%	77%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	29%	31%	33%	24%	27%	38%	14%	26%	30%	25%	33%	30%	34%	19%	25%	35%	23%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT				
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	****MEN***	****WOM***	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 18-54	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A/B**	A	B
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101	
Q36. RISING COSTS																									
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	76%	67%	84%	76%	74%	80%	78%	74%	65%	68%	88%	80%	79%	70%	70%	60%	86%	80%	58%	94%	76%	77%	74%	78%	
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	24%	33%	16%	24%	26%	20%	22%	26%	35%	32%	12%	20%	21%	30%	30%	40%	14%	20%	42%	6%	24%	23%	26%	22%	
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																									
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	71%	62%	79%	74%	69%	70%	78%	64%	70%	56%	85%	72%	78%	57%	69%	49%	85%	65%	62%	83%	64%	82%	61%	81%	
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	29%	38%	21%	26%	31%	30%	22%	36%	30%	44%	15%	28%	22%	43%	31%	51%	15%	35%	38%	17%	36%	18%	39%	19%	

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	***PARTY IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
Q36. RISING COSTS																											
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	76%	75%	85%	84%	100%	88%	63%	85%	100%	69%	100%	77%	100%	81%	75%	63%	85%	76%	74%	78%	67%	85%	65%	70%	79%	78%	70%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	24%	25%	15%	16%	-	12%	37%	15%	-	31%	-	23%	-	19%	25%	37%	15%	24%	26%	22%	33%	15%	35%	30%	21%	22%	30%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																											
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	71%	69%	80%	100%	93%	89%	58%	79%	100%	58%	100%	100%	100%	81%	69%	58%	79%	76%	63%	75%	57%	82%	63%	61%	81%	69%	62%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	29%	31%	20%	-	7%	11%	42%	21%	-	42%	-	-	-	19%	31%	42%	21%	24%	37%	25%	43%	18%	37%	39%	19%	31%	38%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE		
		IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
		DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON						
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
Q36. RISING COSTS																						
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	76%	86%	65%	70%	82%	88%	22%	97%	66%	76%	86%	85%	58%	100%	82%	66%	83%	77%	71%	82%	68%	100%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	24%	14%	35%	30%	18%	12%	78%	3%	34%	24%	14%	15%	42%	-	18%	34%	17%	23%	29%	18%	32%	-
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																						
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	71%	84%	62%	62%	83%	85%	39%	80%	53%	72%	88%	77%	55%	100%	68%	59%	85%	72%	60%	77%	63%	96%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	29%	16%	38%	38%	17%	15%	61%	20%	47%	28%	12%	23%	45%	-	32%	41%	15%	28%	40%	23%	37%	4%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	TOT	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS	
		FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
Q36. RISING COSTS																				
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	76%	81%	64%	77%	80%	62%	75%	75%	92%	80%	80%	20%	87%	74%	-	87%	85%	45%		88%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	24%	19%	36%	23%	20%	38%	25%	25%	8%	20%	20%	80%	13%	26%	100%	13%	15%	55%		12%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																				
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	71%	74%	68%	72%	77%	50%	68%	71%	77%	73%	74%	32%	84%	68%	-	87%	74%	49%		86%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	29%	26%	32%	28%	23%	50%	32%	29%	23%	27%	26%	68%	16%	32%	100%	13%	26%	51%		14%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100K	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/LOWER	MID-DLE	UPPER/AFF
		0-39	40-59	60+													
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
Q36. RISING COSTS																	
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	76%	70%	72%	85%	71%	79%	83%	82%	73%	78%	72%	77%	74%	81%	81%	69%	80%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	24%	30%	28%	15%	29%	21%	17%	18%	27%	22%	28%	23%	26%	19%	19%	31%	20%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																	
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	71%	54%	74%	84%	65%	71%	88%	71%	71%	74%	71%	64%	69%	80%	78%	59%	88%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	29%	46%	26%	16%	35%	29%	12%	29%	29%	26%	29%	36%	31%	20%	22%	41%	12%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B	
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																								
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	76%	72%	80%	73%	70%	88%	74%	78%	65%	78%	81%	79%	80%	69%	78%	61%	81%	78%	60%	80%	77%	85%	71%	82%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	24%	28%	20%	27%	30%	12%	26%	22%	35%	22%	19%	21%	20%	31%	22%	39%	19%	22%	40%	20%	23%	15%	29%	18%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																											
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	76%	77%	68%	45%	100%	69%	69%	85%	100%	32%	100%	23%	100%	48%	77%	69%	85%	76%	78%	81%	71%	93%	64%	70%	86%	78%	72%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	24%	23%	32%	55%	-	31%	31%	15%	-	68%	-	77%	-	52%	23%	31%	15%	24%	22%	19%	29%	7%	36%	30%	14%	22%	28%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																						
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	76%	87%	64%	70%	96%	81%	50%	75%	60%	81%	92%	79%	57%	100%	78%	67%	85%	77%	69%	82%	69%	90%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	24%	13%	36%	30%	4%	19%	50%	25%	40%	19%	8%	21%	43%	-	22%	33%	15%	23%	31%	18%	31%	10%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																				
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	76%	73%	59%	69%	79%	61%	73%	78%	81%	78%	78%	59%	93%	68%	93%	89%	78%	60%		94%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	24%	27%	41%	31%	21%	39%	27%	22%	19%	22%	22%	41%	7%	32%	7%	11%	22%	40%		6%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																	
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	76%	71%	75%	82%	77%	72%	83%	72%	78%	80%	77%	65%	72%	90%	82%	70%	70%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	24%	29%	25%	18%	23%	28%	17%	28%	22%	20%	23%	35%	28%	10%	18%	30%	30%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B	
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
Q39. JUSTICE																								
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	69%	65%	74%	70%	68%	71%	74%	64%	74%	56%	74%	73%	72%	64%	68%	58%	75%	70%	73%	72%	70%	63%	72%	67%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	31%	35%	26%	30%	32%	29%	26%	36%	26%	44%	26%	27%	28%	36%	32%	42%	25%	30%	27%	28%	30%	37%	28%	33%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	***PARTY IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
Q39. JUSTICE																											
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	69%	68%	68%	100%	100%	81%	61%	74%	100%	32%	100%	100%	100%	69%	68%	61%	74%	73%	64%	70%	65%	74%	74%	62%	69%	80%	58%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	31%	32%	32%	-	-	19%	39%	26%	-	68%	-	-	-	31%	32%	39%	26%	27%	36%	30%	35%	26%	26%	38%	31%	20%	42%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
Q39. JUSTICE																						
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	69%	76%	74%	62%	69%	81%	78%	72%	59%	66%	82%	66%	69%	100%	78%	56%	76%	80%	56%	75%	63%	78%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	31%	24%	26%	38%	31%	19%	22%	28%	41%	34%	18%	34%	31%	-	22%	44%	24%	20%	44%	25%	37%	22%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
Q39. JUSTICE																				
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	69%	76%	60%	72%	71%	61%	68%	66%	72%	68%	74%	4%	86%	64%	-	83%	75%	43%		81%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	31%	24%	40%	28%	29%	39%	32%	34%	28%	32%	26%	96%	14%	36%	100%	17%	25%	57%		19%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
Q39. JUSTICE																	
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	69%	64%	69%	74%	73%	67%	66%	75%	67%	70%	70%	65%	62%	84%	74%	62%	80%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	31%	36%	31%	26%	27%	33%	34%	25%	33%	30%	30%	35%	38%	16%	26%	38%	20%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q40,B6Q41,B6Q42,B6Q43,B6Q44,B6Q45).

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT	
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**			***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-		MADISON MLWK OTHER		***A/B**	
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON	COLL	NON	COLL	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
B6Q40. HEALTH																								
Very convincing	55%	51%	58%	70%	49%	44%	68%	42%	76%	30%	61%	55%	56%	53%	55%	44%	56%	63%	41%	56%	51%	71%	62%	48%
Somewhat convincing	27%	26%	29%	21%	35%	26%	23%	32%	24%	28%	23%	36%	29%	24%	22%	33%	34%	15%	39%	36%	20%	24%	21%	34%
Not convincing	18%	23%	13%	9%	16%	30%	9%	26%	-	42%	16%	10%	15%	22%	22%	23%	9%	22%	20%	8%	29%	5%	17%	18%
CONVINCING (NET)	82%	77%	87%	91%	84%	70%	91%	74%	100%	58%	84%	90%	85%	78%	78%	77%	91%	78%	80%	92%	71%	95%	83%	82%
B6Q41. CHILDREN																								
Very convincing	64%	62%	67%	68%	61%	65%	70%	59%	77%	48%	64%	70%	64%	64%	58%	69%	70%	60%	52%	60%	67%	74%	68%	61%
Somewhat convincing	33%	36%	30%	28%	38%	32%	27%	39%	22%	48%	31%	29%	34%	30%	39%	30%	30%	30%	48%	38%	30%	22%	30%	36%
Not convincing	3%	2%	3%	4%	1%	3%	3%	2%	1%	3%	5%	1%	1%	5%	3%	1%	* 10%		-	2%	3%	4%	2%	3%
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	98%	97%	96%	99%	97%	97%	98%	99%	97%	95%	99%	99%	95%	97%	99%	100%	90%	100%	98%	97%	96%	98%	97%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS																								
Very convincing	42%	37%	47%	38%	50%	39%	44%	41%	39%	35%	48%	47%	50%	28%	47%	17%	51%	38%	43%	40%	39%	49%	43%	42%
Somewhat convincing	46%	46%	46%	56%	35%	47%	47%	44%	51%	42%	44%	47%	44%	49%	46%	47%	43%	51%	50%	41%	47%	45%	38%	54%
Not convincing	12%	17%	7%	6%	15%	15%	9%	15%	10%	23%	8%	6%	6%	23%	7%	36%	5%	10%	7%	19%	13%	7%	19%	4%
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	83%	93%	94%	85%	85%	91%	85%	90%	77%	92%	94%	94%	77%	93%	64%	95%	90%	93%	81%	87%	93%	81%	96%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE																								
Very convincing	52%	43%	59%	58%	49%	48%	55%	49%	54%	34%	55%	64%	55%	46%	48%	35%	60%	57%	39%	64%	53%	50%	53%	50%
Somewhat convincing	34%	39%	31%	29%	32%	45%	32%	37%	25%	51%	38%	22%	33%	37%	38%	41%	29%	33%	38%	24%	40%	31%	32%	37%
Not convincing	14%	18%	10%	13%	20%	7%	13%	15%	20%	15%	7%	14%	12%	17%	14%	24%	10%	9%	24%	11%	7%	19%	15%	12%
CONVINCING (NET)	86%	82%	90%	87%	80%	93%	87%	85%	80%	85%	93%	86%	88%	83%	86%	76%	90%	91%	76%	89%	93%	81%	85%	88%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT																								
Very convincing	58%	50%	66%	68%	54%	52%	66%	50%	64%	37%	67%	64%	61%	53%	53%	43%	67%	63%	38%	59%	61%	69%	68%	49%
Somewhat convincing	32%	33%	31%	26%	33%	39%	29%	36%	23%	42%	33%	29%	32%	32%	33%	32%	31%	32%	45%	31%	30%	27%	20%	44%
Not convincing	10%	17%	3%	5%	14%	10%	6%	14%	13%	21%	-	6%	7%	15%	13%	25%	2%	6%	17%	10%	9%	4%	12%	7%
CONVINCING (NET)	90%	83%	97%	95%	86%	90%	94%	86%	87%	79%	100%	94%	93%	85%	87%	75%	98%	94%	83%	90%	91%	96%	88%	93%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS																								
Very convincing	50%	39%	59%	49%	50%	49%	50%	49%	42%	36%	57%	62%	55%	39%	53%	12%	56%	66%	36%	56%	54%	47%	56%	44%
Somewhat convincing	39%	46%	33%	42%	36%	40%	41%	37%	48%	45%	36%	30%	39%	39%	41%	55%	38%	23%	53%	33%	30%	49%	29%	49%
Not convincing	11%	15%	8%	9%	14%	11%	9%	14%	11%	19%	7%	9%	6%	22%	5%	33%	6%	11%	11%	10%	15%	5%	15%	8%
CONVINCING (NET)	89%	85%	92%	91%	86%	89%	91%	86%	89%	81%	93%	91%	94%	78%	95%	67%	94%	89%	89%	90%	85%	95%	85%	92%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q40,B6Q41,B6Q42,B6Q43,B6Q44,B6Q45).

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE				POC	**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**	***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B6Q40. HEALTH																											
Very convincing	55%	52%	80%	71%	93%	79%	50%	53%	70%	90%	-	100%	62%	91%	52%	50%	53%	65%	41%	51%	54%	68%	53%	40%	76%	57%	34%
Somewhat convincing	27%	28%	20%	29%	7%	21%	25%	31%	30%	10%	100%	-	38%	9%	28%	25%	31%	24%	32%	32%	21%	26%	38%	28%	16%	36%	30%
Not convincing	18%	20%	-	-	-	-	25%	15%	-	-	-	-	-	-	20%	25%	15%	12%	27%	17%	25%	6%	9%	32%	8%	7%	36%
CONVINCING (NET)	82%	80%	100%	100%	100%	100%	75%	85%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	80%	75%	85%	88%	73%	83%	75%	94%	91%	68%	92%	93%	64%
B6Q41. CHILDREN																											
Very convincing	64%	64%	48%	84%	100%	68%	60%	68%	72%	22%	100%	77%	79%	60%	64%	60%	68%	71%	58%	64%	65%	77%	62%	56%	71%	78%	49%
Somewhat convincing	33%	33%	52%	16%	-	32%	38%	29%	28%	78%	-	23%	21%	40%	33%	38%	29%	25%	39%	35%	29%	23%	36%	39%	29%	21%	44%
Not convincing	3%	3%	-	-	-	-	2%	4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	2%	6%	-	2%	6%	-	1%	7%
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	98%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	97%	98%	96%	96%	98%	98%	94%	100%	98%	94%	100%	99%	93%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS																											
Very convincing	42%	41%	45%	55%	93%	57%	36%	44%	42%	48%	-	77%	41%	68%	41%	36%	44%	42%	40%	46%	31%	57%	25%	33%	65%	35%	30%
Somewhat convincing	46%	49%	15%	45%	7%	21%	47%	51%	28%	-	100%	23%	37%	10%	49%	47%	51%	54%	45%	48%	51%	42%	65%	50%	35%	61%	48%
Not convincing	12%	10%	40%	-	-	22%	17%	5%	30%	52%	-	-	22%	22%	10%	17%	5%	4%	15%	6%	18%	1%	9%	17%	-	3%	22%
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	90%	60%	100%	100%	78%	83%	95%	70%	48%	100%	100%	78%	78%	90%	83%	95%	96%	85%	94%	82%	99%	91%	83%	100%	97%	78%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE																											
Very convincing	52%	50%	60%	61%	93%	68%	40%	59%	70%	48%	100%	46%	78%	61%	50%	40%	59%	52%	48%	51%	48%	70%	37%	39%	82%	53%	29%
Somewhat convincing	34%	36%	20%	39%	7%	21%	43%	30%	-	42%	-	54%	-	35%	36%	43%	30%	35%	37%	36%	37%	25%	51%	40%	13%	40%	47%
Not convincing	14%	14%	20%	-	-	11%	17%	11%	30%	10%	-	-	22%	4%	14%	17%	11%	13%	15%	13%	15%	5%	12%	21%	5%	6%	25%
CONVINCING (NET)	86%	86%	80%	100%	100%	89%	83%	89%	70%	90%	100%	100%	78%	96%	86%	83%	89%	87%	85%	87%	85%	95%	88%	79%	95%	94%	75%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT																											
Very convincing	58%	56%	67%	71%	93%	74%	48%	63%	70%	64%	-	100%	62%	82%	56%	48%	63%	64%	49%	57%	54%	77%	64%	40%	74%	71%	34%
Somewhat convincing	32%	33%	33%	29%	7%	26%	33%	33%	30%	36%	100%	-	38%	18%	33%	33%	33%	29%	37%	35%	29%	23%	31%	40%	17%	25%	48%
Not convincing	10%	11%	-	-	-	-	19%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	11%	19%	3%	7%	14%	8%	17%	-	5%	20%	9%	4%	17%
CONVINCING (NET)	90%	89%	100%	100%	100%	100%	81%	97%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	89%	81%	97%	93%	86%	92%	83%	100%	95%	80%	91%	96%	83%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS																											
Very convincing	50%	48%	67%	55%	93%	65%	37%	57%	70%	64%	-	77%	52%	75%	48%	37%	57%	47%	48%	52%	38%	67%	42%	36%	72%	52%	30%
Somewhat convincing	39%	42%	5%	45%	7%	19%	48%	36%	-	10%	100%	23%	26%	14%	42%	48%	36%	46%	38%	42%	40%	30%	47%	48%	23%	42%	53%
Not convincing	11%	11%	28%	-	-	16%	15%	7%	30%	26%	-	-	22%	11%	11%	15%	7%	6%	14%	5%	21%	3%	11%	16%	5%	5%	18%
CONVINCING (NET)	89%	89%	72%	100%	100%	84%	85%	93%	70%	74%	100%	100%	78%	89%	89%	85%	93%	94%	86%	95%	79%	97%	89%	84%	95%	95%	82%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q40,B6Q41,B6Q42,B6Q43,B6Q44,B6Q45).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B6Q40. HEALTH																						
Very convincing	55%	71%	53%	40%	72%	71%	43%	60%	39%	42%	78%	61%	52%	56%	57%	34%	75%	61%	36%	72%	41%	27%
Somewhat convincing	27%	24%	38%	28%	22%	25%	57%	24%	22%	34%	20%	31%	37%	44%	26%	28%	18%	33%	29%	23%	28%	63%
Not convincing	18%	5%	9%	32%	6%	4%	-	16%	39%	23%	3%	8%	11%	-	17%	37%	7%	6%	35%	5%	31%	11%
CONVINCING (NET)	82%	95%	91%	68%	94%	96%	100%	84%	61%	77%	97%	92%	89%	100%	83%	63%	93%	94%	65%	95%	69%	89%
B6Q41. CHILDREN																						
Very convincing	64%	74%	62%	56%	89%	64%	43%	76%	47%	66%	79%	67%	64%	56%	70%	51%	73%	78%	48%	75%	53%	65%
Somewhat convincing	33%	26%	36%	38%	11%	36%	57%	20%	49%	26%	21%	33%	34%	44%	30%	42%	27%	22%	46%	25%	41%	35%
Not convincing	3%	-	2%	6%	-	-	-	3%	4%	7%	-	-	2%	-	-	8%	-	1%	6%	-	6%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	100%	98%	94%	100%	100%	100%	97%	96%	93%	100%	100%	98%	100%	100%	92%	100%	99%	94%	100%	94%	100%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS																						
Very convincing	42%	57%	25%	33%	55%	59%	-	44%	32%	34%	64%	46%	19%	56%	43%	30%	63%	40%	30%	55%	30%	38%
Somewhat convincing	46%	36%	65%	50%	39%	34%	78%	56%	44%	57%	32%	42%	79%	-	52%	49%	33%	56%	46%	38%	52%	62%
Not convincing	12%	7%	9%	17%	6%	7%	22%	-	24%	9%	4%	12%	2%	44%	6%	21%	3%	4%	24%	7%	18%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	93%	91%	83%	94%	93%	78%	100%	76%	91%	96%	88%	98%	56%	94%	79%	97%	96%	76%	93%	82%	100%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE																						
Very convincing	52%	69%	37%	40%	76%	65%	4%	61%	29%	52%	78%	55%	33%	56%	73%	27%	79%	57%	28%	66%	38%	39%
Somewhat convincing	34%	24%	52%	39%	19%	28%	85%	27%	43%	35%	14%	41%	53%	44%	24%	45%	14%	36%	48%	27%	39%	61%
Not convincing	14%	6%	12%	21%	6%	7%	11%	12%	27%	14%	8%	4%	14%	-	3%	28%	7%	7%	24%	6%	23%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	86%	94%	88%	79%	94%	93%	89%	88%	73%	86%	92%	96%	86%	100%	97%	72%	93%	93%	76%	94%	77%	100%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT																						
Very convincing	58%	76%	64%	40%	79%	73%	43%	79%	30%	52%	79%	70%	65%	56%	59%	33%	74%	71%	37%	76%	40%	52%
Somewhat convincing	32%	24%	32%	40%	21%	27%	50%	18%	38%	42%	21%	30%	29%	44%	21%	47%	19%	26%	47%	24%	40%	38%
Not convincing	10%	-	5%	20%	-	-	6%	3%	32%	6%	-	-	6%	-	20%	20%	7%	3%	17%	-	20%	10%
CONVINCING (NET)	90%	100%	95%	80%	100%	100%	94%	97%	68%	94%	100%	100%	94%	100%	80%	80%	93%	97%	83%	100%	80%	90%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS																						
Very convincing	50%	67%	42%	36%	65%	68%	-	73%	29%	44%	69%	63%	39%	56%	64%	25%	71%	52%	32%	63%	36%	39%
Somewhat convincing	39%	27%	47%	48%	28%	26%	83%	21%	51%	46%	23%	32%	48%	44%	32%	54%	22%	40%	51%	30%	46%	61%
Not convincing	11%	6%	11%	16%	7%	6%	17%	6%	20%	10%	7%	5%	13%	-	3%	20%	8%	7%	17%	6%	17%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	89%	94%	89%	84%	93%	94%	83%	94%	80%	90%	93%	95%	87%	100%	97%	80%	92%	93%	83%	94%	83%	100%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q40,B6Q41,B6Q42,B6Q43,B6Q44,B6Q45).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B6Q40. HEALTH																				
Very convincing	55%	70%	30%	60%	61%	44%	56%	53%	58%	55%	57%	23%	81%	46%	-	85%	54%	27%		84%
Somewhat convincing	27%	18%	18%	18%	29%	21%	27%	25%	34%	27%	28%	15%	16%	33%	21%	14%	26%	44%		15%
Not convincing	18%	11%	51%	22%	10%	35%	18%	22%	8%	18%	14%	63%	3%	21%	79%	1%	20%	29%		1%
CONVINCING (NET)	82%	89%	49%	78%	90%	65%	82%	78%	92%	82%	86%	37%	97%	79%	21%	99%	80%	71%		99%
B6Q41. CHILDREN																				
Very convincing	64%	71%	46%	64%	72%	50%	65%	62%	67%	63%	66%	37%	90%	53%	72%	90%	62%	43%		90%
Somewhat convincing	33%	26%	52%	32%	27%	47%	33%	35%	32%	34%	31%	63%	10%	43%	28%	10%	35%	51%		10%
Not convincing	3%	4%	1%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	1%	3%	3%	-	-	4%	-	-	3%	6%		-
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	96%	99%	97%	99%	97%	98%	97%	99%	97%	97%	100%	100%	96%	100%	100%	97%	94%		100%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS																				
Very convincing	42%	53%	31%	47%	48%	35%	44%	43%	46%	44%	43%	33%	72%	31%	-	78%	39%	12%		78%
Somewhat convincing	46%	37%	45%	39%	46%	36%	43%	44%	49%	45%	47%	34%	26%	56%	21%	19%	53%	57%		19%
Not convincing	12%	10%	24%	14%	6%	28%	13%	13%	5%	11%	10%	33%	2%	13%	79%	3%	7%	31%		3%
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	90%	76%	86%	94%	72%	87%	87%	95%	89%	90%	67%	98%	87%	21%	97%	93%	69%		97%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE																				
Very convincing	52%	67%	31%	58%	63%	32%	54%	55%	58%	56%	52%	46%	91%	36%	-	93%	47%	20%		97%
Somewhat convincing	34%	19%	48%	26%	28%	43%	32%	29%	31%	30%	34%	40%	9%	45%	72%	7%	40%	51%		3%
Not convincing	14%	14%	20%	15%	9%	25%	14%	16%	11%	15%	14%	14%	-	19%	28%	-	13%	29%		-
CONVINCING (NET)	86%	86%	80%	85%	91%	75%	86%	84%	89%	85%	86%	86%	100%	81%	72%	100%	87%	71%		100%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT																				
Very convincing	58%	69%	31%	59%	67%	39%	59%	52%	79%	60%	60%	29%	93%	44%	-	93%	57%	26%		94%
Somewhat convincing	32%	23%	43%	28%	28%	34%	30%	36%	16%	31%	33%	20%	7%	44%	21%	7%	37%	46%		6%
Not convincing	10%	8%	26%	12%	4%	26%	11%	11%	5%	10%	7%	51%	-	11%	79%	-	6%	28%		-
CONVINCING (NET)	90%	92%	74%	88%	96%	74%	89%	89%	95%	90%	93%	49%	100%	89%	21%	100%	94%	72%		100%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS																				
Very convincing	50%	57%	38%	52%	53%	37%	48%	44%	70%	51%	51%	28%	81%	37%	-	85%	47%	19%		86%
Somewhat convincing	39%	37%	42%	38%	40%	38%	39%	40%	28%	36%	41%	18%	18%	50%	-	15%	44%	53%		14%
Not convincing	11%	6%	20%	10%	7%	24%	12%	16%	2%	12%	8%	54%	1%	12%	100%	-	9%	28%		-
CONVINCING (NET)	89%	94%	80%	90%	93%	76%	88%	84%	98%	88%	92%	46%	99%	88%	-	100%	91%	72%		100%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q40,B6Q41,B6Q42,B6Q43,B6Q44,B6Q45).

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/LOWER	MID-DLE	UPPR /AFF
		0-39	40-59	60+													
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B6Q40. HEALTH																	
Very convincing	55%	53%	45%	65%	54%	50%	70%	58%	53%	56%	55%	54%	50%	63%	63%	50%	25%
Somewhat convincing	27%	22%	32%	28%	27%	27%	28%	27%	27%	34%	24%	18%	26%	32%	31%	26%	13%
Not convincing	18%	24%	23%	7%	19%	23%	1%	14%	19%	10%	21%	28%	24%	5%	6%	24%	62%
CONVINCING (NET)	82%	76%	77%	93%	81%	77%	99%	86%	81%	90%	79%	72%	76%	95%	94%	76%	38%
B6Q41. CHILDREN																	
Very convincing	64%	71%	57%	66%	66%	63%	64%	63%	65%	68%	64%	56%	57%	79%	67%	65%	45%
Somewhat convincing	33%	28%	36%	34%	32%	32%	36%	32%	33%	30%	31%	44%	39%	21%	31%	31%	55%
Not convincing	3%	2%	7%	-	2%	5%	-	5%	2%	2%	5%	-	4%	-	2%	4%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	98%	93%	100%	98%	95%	100%	95%	98%	98%	95%	100%	96%	100%	98%	96%	100%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS																	
Very convincing	42%	35%	41%	51%	39%	41%	54%	32%	48%	52%	34%	34%	39%	53%	51%	33%	33%
Somewhat convincing	46%	51%	52%	36%	49%	48%	32%	56%	41%	40%	47%	55%	46%	43%	38%	54%	54%
Not convincing	12%	14%	7%	14%	12%	10%	14%	12%	11%	8%	19%	11%	15%	4%	10%	13%	13%
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	86%	93%	86%	88%	90%	86%	88%	89%	92%	81%	89%	85%	96%	90%	87%	87%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE																	
Very convincing	52%	41%	41%	70%	48%	45%	77%	50%	53%	59%	43%	45%	45%	69%	61%	45%	25%
Somewhat convincing	34%	48%	35%	22%	36%	41%	16%	33%	35%	28%	38%	47%	41%	22%	29%	34%	75%
Not convincing	14%	11%	24%	7%	16%	14%	7%	17%	12%	13%	19%	8%	14%	9%	10%	21%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	86%	89%	76%	93%	84%	86%	93%	83%	88%	87%	81%	92%	86%	91%	90%	79%	100%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT																	
Very convincing	58%	56%	50%	67%	56%	58%	65%	62%	56%	68%	43%	55%	50%	76%	66%	54%	25%
Somewhat convincing	32%	30%	40%	27%	33%	34%	25%	30%	33%	27%	34%	43%	38%	21%	27%	31%	75%
Not convincing	10%	14%	10%	6%	11%	8%	10%	8%	10%	5%	23%	2%	13%	3%	7%	15%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	90%	86%	90%	94%	89%	92%	90%	92%	90%	95%	77%	98%	87%	97%	93%	85%	100%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS																	
Very convincing	50%	35%	45%	66%	44%	48%	67%	48%	51%	58%	47%	31%	42%	67%	65%	36%	15%
Somewhat convincing	39%	48%	47%	25%	45%	38%	25%	40%	39%	34%	32%	63%	44%	27%	29%	44%	85%
Not convincing	11%	17%	8%	9%	11%	13%	8%	12%	11%	8%	21%	6%	14%	6%	6%	20%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	89%	83%	92%	91%	89%	87%	92%	88%	89%	92%	79%	94%	86%	94%	94%	80%	100%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q47). IF WISCONSIN

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT			
	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ ***NET**	<55	55+	18-54 ****MEN***	55+ ****WOM***	18-54	55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	NON COLL ***MEN**	NON COLL ***WOM**	NON COLL	NON COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	***A/B**	A	B
IF WISCONSIN	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101	
B6Q47. LOCALIZE-WI																									
Very convincing	52%	47%	56%	59%	53%	42%	62%	42%	69%	27%	56%	57%	52%	52%	44%	51%	58%	53%	42%	48%	51%	65%	56%	47%	
Somewhat convincing	36%	33%	38%	36%	38%	33%	34%	38%	26%	40%	40%	37%	38%	32%	40%	21%	36%	44%	58%	41%	25%	33%	33%	39%	
Not convincing	12%	20%	5%	6%	8%	25%	5%	20%	5%	33%	4%	7%	11%	16%	16%	27%	6%	4%	-	11%	24%	3%	11%	13%	
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	80%	95%	94%	92%	75%	95%	80%	95%	67%	96%	93%	89%	84%	84%	73%	94%	96%	100%	89%	76%	97%	89%	87%	

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q47). IF WISCONSIN

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
IF WISCONSIN	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
B6Q47. LOCALIZE-WI																											
Very convincing	52%	51%	28%	100%	93%	59%	45%	56%	42%	12%	100%	100%	57%	60%	51%	45%	56%	63%	41%	49%	55%	68%	51%	39%	68%	61%	33%
Somewhat convincing	36%	36%	55%	-	7%	32%	32%	40%	58%	51%	-	-	43%	25%	36%	32%	40%	34%	39%	41%	28%	27%	37%	43%	25%	33%	45%
Not convincing	12%	13%	17%	-	-	9%	22%	4%	-	36%	-	-	-	16%	13%	22%	4%	3%	21%	10%	17%	5%	12%	18%	7%	5%	21%
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	87%	83%	100%	100%	91%	78%	96%	100%	64%	100%	100%	100%	84%	87%	78%	96%	97%	79%	90%	83%	95%	88%	82%	93%	95%	79%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q47). IF WISCONSIN

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
IF WISCONSIN	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
B6Q47. LOCALIZE-WI																						
Very convincing	52%	65%	51%	40%	70%	61%	43%	57%	31%	50%	72%	53%	50%	56%	56%	33%	67%	62%	33%	65%	40%	29%
Somewhat convincing	36%	29%	37%	43%	23%	33%	50%	27%	37%	49%	25%	34%	35%	44%	37%	45%	27%	30%	47%	30%	39%	71%
Not convincing	12%	6%	12%	18%	7%	6%	6%	16%	32%	1%	2%	13%	14%	-	7%	22%	5%	8%	21%	6%	20%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	94%	88%	82%	93%	94%	94%	84%	68%	99%	98%	87%	86%	100%	93%	78%	95%	92%	79%	94%	80%	100%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q47). IF WISCONSIN

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
IF WISCONSIN	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
B6Q47. LOCALIZE-WI																				
Very convincing	52%	62%	28%	53%	58%	41%	53%	46%	63%	51%	55%	7%	85%	39%	-	89%	45%	28%		89%
Somewhat convincing	36%	37%	24%	34%	36%	31%	34%	38%	27%	35%	35%	45%	14%	47%	21%	10%	41%	51%		10%
Not convincing	12%	1%	48%	13%	6%	29%	13%	15%	10%	14%	10%	48%	1%	15%	79%	1%	13%	21%		1%
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	99%	52%	87%	94%	71%	87%	85%	90%	86%	90%	52%	99%	85%	21%	99%	87%	79%		99%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q47). IF WISCONSIN

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
IF WISCONSIN	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
B6Q47. LOCALIZE-WI																	
Very convincing	52%	53%	40%	61%	56%	43%	59%	59%	48%	56%	48%	49%	46%	63%	60%	47%	23%
Somewhat convincing	36%	24%	56%	29%	32%	44%	31%	34%	37%	38%	33%	37%	38%	32%	34%	39%	34%
Not convincing	12%	23%	4%	10%	12%	13%	10%	7%	15%	6%	19%	14%	16%	5%	6%	14%	42%
CONVINCING (NET)	88%	77%	96%	90%	88%	87%	90%	93%	85%	94%	81%	86%	84%	95%	94%	86%	58%

DAGE. Derived Age.

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	NON COLL	COLL	NON COLL	COLL	GRN BAY-	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B	
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																								
18-29	8%	5%	11%	24%	-	-	17%	-	11%	-	21%	-	9%	8%	8%	-	9%	16%	8%	7%	5%	15%	4%	13%
30-44	27%	29%	25%	76%	-	-	53%	-	62%	-	46%	-	24%	33%	24%	37%	24%	28%	29%	29%	26%	25%	24%	30%
45-54	16%	13%	18%	-	44%	-	31%	-	27%	-	33%	-	19%	9%	15%	9%	22%	10%	19%	19%	12%	16%	23%	8%
55-64	20%	19%	21%	-	56%	-	-	41%	-	35%	-	47%	19%	22%	18%	21%	21%	23%	26%	24%	17%	18%	21%	19%
65+	29%	35%	24%	-	-	100%	-	59%	-	65%	-	53%	30%	28%	36%	33%	25%	23%	18%	22%	40%	26%	28%	30%

DAGE. Derived Age.

TOT	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	*GENDER* TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON	
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																											
18-29	8%	7%	32%	-	93%	22%	6%	8%	-	68%	-	-	-	37%	7%	6%	8%	15%	-	8%	5%	14%	-	3%	21%	-	4%
30-44	27%	25%	40%	61%	-	43%	25%	25%	57%	22%	100%	46%	68%	27%	25%	25%	25%	54%	-	20%	34%	17%	39%	26%	20%	34%	21%
45-54	16%	14%	20%	39%	-	25%	12%	17%	30%	10%	-	54%	22%	27%	14%	12%	17%	31%	-	18%	7%	15%	7%	16%	17%	16%	11%
55-64	20%	22%	-	-	7%	5%	21%	23%	-	-	-	-	9%	22%	21%	23%	-	41%	21%	24%	21%	17%	24%	18%	29%	19%	
65+	29%	32%	7%	-	-	4%	37%	28%	14%	-	-	-	10%	-	32%	37%	28%	-	59%	33%	31%	32%	37%	31%	25%	21%	45%

DAGE. Derived Age.

TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON							
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																						
18-29	8%	17%	-	3%	14%	18%	-	-	-	7%	19%	12%	-	-	-	4%	18%	2%	7%	15%	3%	-
30-44	27%	24%	39%	27%	32%	18%	61%	23%	20%	34%	24%	24%	47%	-	33%	24%	25%	37%	20%	27%	26%	30%
45-54	16%	18%	7%	16%	9%	24%	-	12%	18%	13%	23%	9%	8%	-	26%	12%	22%	15%	11%	16%	17%	-
55-64	20%	17%	18%	24%	10%	21%	11%	23%	26%	21%	11%	26%	21%	-	34%	20%	14%	27%	19%	17%	20%	49%
65+	29%	25%	37%	31%	35%	18%	28%	43%	36%	25%	23%	29%	24%	100%	7%	39%	21%	18%	44%	25%	34%	21%

DAGE. Derived Age.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																				
18-29	8%	14%	-	11%	8%	5%	7%	12%	5%	10%	8%	21%	13%	7%	-	16%	9%	-		17%
30-44	27%	29%	15%	25%	28%	23%	26%	24%	34%	27%	28%	12%	29%	27%	-	34%	26%	21%		35%
45-54	16%	16%	21%	17%	18%	14%	16%	9%	29%	14%	17%	-	12%	18%	-	17%	18%	8%		14%
55-64	20%	15%	32%	20%	18%	27%	21%	22%	19%	21%	20%	26%	24%	19%	7%	13%	19%	31%		14%
65+	29%	25%	33%	27%	28%	32%	29%	34%	12%	28%	28%	40%	22%	30%	93%	19%	29%	41%		20%

DAGE. Derived Age.

TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF	
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																	
18-29	8%	-	9%	15%	3%	9%	21%	8%	9%	7%	14%	6%	6%	14%	11%	6%	-
30-44	27%	31%	26%	24%	23%	27%	36%	63%	9%	23%	27%	37%	20%	40%	29%	28%	6%
45-54	16%	7%	14%	24%	15%	16%	14%	21%	13%	20%	11%	13%	13%	21%	23%	4%	29%
55-64	20%	22%	25%	14%	22%	20%	16%	8%	26%	22%	25%	11%	25%	9%	17%	28%	-
65+	29%	40%	26%	23%	36%	28%	13%	*	43%	28%	22%	34%	36%	15%	20%	34%	65%

DRACE. Derived Race. D102. Education. D112. Parent/Guardian. D105. Ideology. D142. Rent vs. Own.

	GENDER			AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT	
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**			***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		GRN BAY-		***A/B**			
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	APPLETON	MADISON	MLWK	OTHER	A	B		
TOTAL	201	94	107	71	72	58	102	99	44	50	58	49	136	65	61	33	75	32	37	37	80	47	100	101
DRACE. DERIVED RACE																								
Black/African American	6%	7%	6%	13%	4%	2%	11%	1%	13%	2%	10%	-	6%	7%	8%	6%	5%	8%	-	30%	2%	-	10%	2%
White/Caucasian	89%	90%	88%	79%	92%	98%	81%	98%	81%	98%	81%	97%	88%	91%	91%	90%	87%	92%	100%	66%	92%	94%	86%	93%
Hispanic/Latino	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	-	5%	-	3%	-	6%	-	3%	2%	-	4%	5%	-	-	4%	5%	-	3%	2%
Asian/Asian American	1%	-	1%	1%	*	-	1%	*	-	-	2%	*	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%
Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Native American/American Indian/Indigenous	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	1%	1%	4%	1%	2%
Middle Eastern/North African	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other (Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D102. EDUCATION																								
Did not complete high school	1%	2%	1%	4%	-	-	3%	-	4%	-	2%	-	2%	-	3%	-	1%	-	5%	-	-	2%	1%	2%
Graduated high school	22%	17%	25%	24%	20%	21%	22%	21%	17%	18%	26%	24%	32%	-	27%	-	36%	-	16%	28%	14%	35%	19%	24%
Attended technical/vocational school	7%	6%	7%	6%	4%	10%	6%	7%	6%	5%	6%	9%	10%	-	9%	-	10%	-	8%	7%	1%	13%	8%	5%
Attended some college but no degree	28%	33%	24%	21%	34%	30%	28%	28%	35%	32%	23%	25%	42%	-	52%	-	34%	-	43%	30%	26%	19%	24%	32%
Graduated two-year college with Associate's degree	10%	6%	13%	7%	13%	8%	9%	11%	2%	10%	14%	11%	14%	-	10%	-	18%	-	18%	6%	7%	9%	10%	9%
Graduated four-year college with Bachelor's degree	26%	31%	22%	32%	23%	24%	27%	26%	32%	30%	23%	21%	-	81%	-	88%	-	74%	9%	24%	40%	20%	34%	19%
Obtained Master's, PhD, or other professional degree (MD, DMD, etc.)	6%	4%	8%	6%	6%	7%	5%	7%	3%	5%	7%	9%	-	19%	-	12%	-	26%	1%	6%	11%	2%	3%	9%
D112. PARENT/GUARDIAN																								
Yes	33%	31%	35%	67%	27%	*	60%	5%	55%	10%	64%	1%	26%	48%	19%	53%	31%	43%	20%	33%	43%	27%	36%	30%
No	67%	69%	65%	33%	73%	100%	40%	95%	45%	90%	36%	99%	74%	52%	81%	47%	69%	57%	80%	67%	57%	73%	64%	70%
D105. IDEOLOGY																								
Very liberal	13%	13%	12%	20%	10%	6%	20%	5%	19%	7%	20%	3%	15%	7%	15%	9%	15%	5%	22%	10%	2%	25%	11%	14%
Somewhat liberal	16%	15%	17%	14%	19%	14%	17%	15%	15%	15%	18%	16%	13%	21%	12%	21%	15%	22%	13%	31%	14%	9%	20%	12%
Moderate	32%	29%	35%	36%	38%	20%	34%	30%	41%	19%	29%	41%	36%	24%	29%	30%	42%	18%	24%	31%	36%	32%	34%	30%
Somewhat conservative	26%	28%	24%	20%	17%	45%	19%	34%	18%	37%	19%	30%	26%	27%	33%	18%	20%	35%	26%	15%	34%	21%	17%	35%
Very conservative	13%	15%	12%	10%	16%	14%	11%	16%	7%	22%	13%	10%	10%	21%	11%	23%	9%	20%	16%	14%	13%	13%	18%	9%
LIBERAL (NET)	28%	28%	29%	34%	29%	21%	36%	20%	34%	22%	38%	19%	29%	28%	27%	29%	30%	27%	35%	41%	16%	34%	30%	26%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	40%	43%	36%	30%	33%	59%	29%	50%	24%	60%	33%	40%	35%	48%	44%	41%	28%	55%	41%	28%	47%	34%	35%	44%
D142. RENT VS. OWN																								
Own	68%	73%	63%	49%	72%	84%	51%	84%	54%	89%	49%	79%	57%	89%	59%	99%	56%	79%	55%	66%	75%	66%	67%	68%
Rent	31%	24%	37%	47%	27%	16%	46%	15%	40%	11%	50%	20%	41%	10%	37%	1%	44%	20%	38%	33%	25%	33%	29%	32%
Other	2%	3%	1%	4%	1%	-	3%	*	6%	*	1%	*	2%	1%	4%	1%	* 1%		7%	1%	-	1%	3%	-

DRACE. Derived Race. D102. Education. D112. Parent/Guardian. D105. Ideology. D142. Rent vs. Own.

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	TOT	WHITE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**	***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
TOTAL	201	178	13	5	1	23	85	93	7	6	1	4	9	14	178	85	93	81	97	119	59	64	25	90	44	57	77
DRACE. DERIVED RACE																											
Black/African American	6%	-	100%	-	-	55%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	74%	43%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
White/Caucasian	89%	100%	-	-	-	5%	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	9%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hispanic/Latino	3%	-	-	100%	-	22%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	16%	26%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Asian/Asian American	1%	-	-	-	100%	5%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Native American/American Indian/Indigenous	1%	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	10%	14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Middle Eastern/North African	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other (Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
D102. EDUCATION																											
Did not complete high school	1%	1%	-	-	-	2%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	2%	1%	3%	-	2%	-	-	10%	-	-	4%	-
Graduated high school	22%	21%	39%	16%	-	28%	16%	25%	42%	36%	-	23%	31%	26%	21%	16%	25%	22%	20%	31%	-	29%	20%	15%	27%	15%	22%
Attended technical/vocational school	7%	7%	-	-	-	4%	5%	8%	-	-	-	-	10%	-	7%	5%	8%	6%	7%	10%	-	6%	-	9%	3%	9%	8%
Attended some college but no degree	28%	28%	25%	39%	100%	33%	35%	21%	28%	22%	-	54%	21%	41%	28%	35%	21%	26%	29%	42%	-	21%	20%	34%	23%	28%	30%
Graduated two-year college with Associate's degree	10%	10%	-	16%	-	7%	7%	13%	-	-	-	23%	-	12%	10%	7%	13%	10%	10%	15%	-	12%	16%	6%	13%	16%	3%
Graduated four-year college with Bachelor's degree	26%	27%	35%	-	-	20%	32%	23%	30%	42%	-	-	22%	18%	27%	32%	23%	28%	27%	-	82%	24%	25%	30%	27%	24%	30%
Obtained Master's, PhD, or other professional degree (MD, DMD, etc.)	6%	6%	-	29%	-	6%	3%	9%	-	-	100%	-	16%	-	6%	3%	9%	5%	7%	-	18%	7%	9%	4%	7%	4%	7%
D112. PARENT/GUARDIAN																											
Yes	33%	31%	45%	100%	7%	50%	30%	32%	28%	64%	100%	100%	37%	58%	31%	30%	32%	62%	5%	23%	47%	21%	35%	37%	19%	44%	28%
No	67%	69%	55%	-	93%	50%	70%	68%	72%	36%	-	-	63%	42%	69%	70%	68%	38%	95%	77%	53%	79%	65%	63%	81%	56%	72%
D105. IDEOLOGY																											
Very liberal	13%	11%	19%	39%	-	25%	12%	10%	28%	9%	-	54%	21%	27%	11%	12%	10%	18%	5%	13%	8%	31%	-	-	44%	-	-
Somewhat liberal	16%	14%	29%	45%	93%	31%	11%	17%	43%	12%	100%	23%	48%	19%	14%	11%	17%	14%	14%	12%	17%	32%	2%	5%	56%	-	-
Moderate	32%	32%	32%	16%	7%	32%	29%	35%	28%	36%	-	23%	31%	33%	32%	29%	35%	35%	29%	35%	26%	27%	81%	22%	-	100%	-
Somewhat conservative	26%	29%	-	-	-	2%	31%	27%	-	-	-	-	-	3%	29%	31%	27%	23%	34%	29%	29%	10%	17%	46%	-	-	68%
Very conservative	13%	14%	20%	-	-	11%	17%	11%	-	42%	-	-	-	18%	14%	17%	11%	10%	17%	11%	19%	-	-	27%	-	-	32%
LIBERAL (NET)	28%	25%	48%	84%	93%	55%	23%	27%	72%	22%	100%	77%	69%	46%	25%	23%	27%	31%	20%	25%	25%	63%	2%	5%	100%	-	-
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	40%	43%	20%	-	-	12%	48%	39%	-	42%	-	-	-	21%	43%	48%	39%	34%	51%	40%	49%	10%	17%	73%	-	-	100%
D142. RENT VS. OWN																											
Own	68%	67%	63%	84%	7%	68%	73%	62%	58%	68%	100%	77%	69%	68%	67%	73%	62%	47%	85%	57%	88%	66%	54%	72%	60%	54%	81%
Rent	31%	31%	37%	16%	93%	32%	24%	37%	42%	32%	-	23%	31%	32%	31%	24%	37%	50%	15%	40%	11%	33%	46%	25%	39%	45%	15%
Other	2%	2%	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	3%	1%	4%	*	2%	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	3%

DRACE. Derived Race. D102. Education. D112. Parent/Guardian. D105. Ideology. D142. Rent vs. Own.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	86	25	91	34	51	11	14	49	42	53	33	21	4	25	66	57	64	79	98	94	9
DRACE. DERIVED RACE																						
Black/African American	6%	15%	-	-	20%	12%	-	-	-	-	12%	20%	-	-	-	-	11%	6%	3%	13%	-	-
White/Caucasian	89%	76%	100%	99%	73%	77%	100%	99%	100%	98%	78%	72%	100%	100%	98%	99%	80%	89%	96%	78%	100%	100%
Hispanic/Latino	3%	6%	-	-	4%	7%	-	-	-	-	8%	3%	-	-	-	-	8%	1%	-	5%	-	-
Asian/Asian American	1%	1%	*	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-	2%	-	*	-	-	-	2%	*	-	1%	-	-
Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Native American/American Indian/Indigenous	1%	2%	-	1%	3%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	6%	-	-	2%	1%	-	4%	*	2%	*	-
Middle Eastern/North African	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other (Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D102. EDUCATION																						
Did not complete high school	1%	-	10%	*	-	-	17%	5%	-	1%	-	-	12%	-	2%	-	-	5%	-	1%	2%	-
Graduated high school	22%	29%	20%	15%	30%	28%	-	34%	12%	19%	28%	30%	12%	56%	14%	16%	26%	18%	21%	29%	12%	44%
Attended technical/vocational school	7%	5%	-	9%	7%	4%	-	-	6%	13%	2%	10%	-	-	14%	8%	2%	9%	7%	5%	9%	-
Attended some college but no degree	28%	24%	20%	34%	17%	29%	11%	27%	49%	16%	27%	21%	24%	-	35%	34%	28%	28%	29%	23%	35%	20%
Graduated two-year college with Associate's degree	10%	11%	16%	6%	9%	13%	-	27%	6%	7%	8%	17%	19%	-	18%	2%	12%	16%	3%	10%	9%	4%
Graduated four-year college with Bachelor's degree	26%	23%	25%	30%	31%	18%	54%	4%	26%	34%	26%	18%	30%	-	15%	35%	24%	21%	32%	26%	27%	33%
Obtained Master's, PhD, or other professional degree (MD, DMD, etc.)	6%	7%	9%	4%	6%	7%	17%	3%	-	10%	9%	5%	2%	44%	2%	5%	8%	3%	7%	7%	6%	-
D112. PARENT/GUARDIAN																						
Yes	33%	28%	35%	37%	25%	30%	43%	29%	33%	43%	30%	26%	42%	-	55%	31%	27%	42%	30%	30%	35%	45%
No	67%	72%	65%	63%	75%	70%	57%	71%	67%	57%	70%	74%	58%	100%	45%	69%	73%	58%	70%	70%	65%	55%
D105. IDEOLOGY																						
Very liberal	13%	29%	-	-	35%	26%	-	-	-	-	48%	-	-	-	-	-	44%	-	-	26%	-	-
Somewhat liberal	16%	32%	2%	4%	29%	34%	-	3%	8%	-	52%	-	2%	-	17%	-	56%	-	-	27%	5%	8%
Moderate	32%	28%	81%	23%	29%	27%	83%	81%	18%	28%	-	72%	98%	-	83%	-	-	100%	-	36%	25%	62%
Somewhat conservative	26%	8%	17%	46%	7%	8%	17%	16%	45%	47%	-	20%	-	100%	-	63%	-	-	66%	8%	44%	31%
Very conservative	13%	3%	-	27%	-	5%	-	-	29%	25%	-	8%	-	-	-	37%	-	-	34%	3%	26%	-
LIBERAL (NET)	28%	61%	2%	4%	64%	60%	-	3%	8%	-	100%	-	2%	-	17%	-	100%	-	-	53%	5%	8%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	40%	11%	17%	73%	7%	13%	17%	16%	74%	72%	-	28%	-	100%	-	100%	-	-	100%	11%	70%	31%
D142. RENT VS. OWN																						
Own	68%	67%	54%	72%	72%	63%	72%	41%	74%	70%	58%	82%	45%	100%	51%	79%	60%	56%	82%	67%	68%	66%
Rent	31%	32%	46%	25%	27%	35%	28%	59%	21%	30%	42%	17%	55%	-	49%	17%	39%	43%	15%	32%	29%	34%
Other	2%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	-	-	5%	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	4%	1%	1%	3%	*	3%	-

DRACE. Derived Race. D102. Education. D112. Parent/Guardian. D105. Ideology. D142. Rent vs. Own.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	70	24	94	118	54	172	122	46	169	188	13	62	134	6	49	105	47		45
DRACE. DERIVED RACE																				
Black/African American	6%	14%	-	11%	6%	8%	7%	6%	10%	7%	6%	12%	9%	5%	-	12%	6%	1%		13%
White/Caucasian	89%	79%	100%	84%	88%	92%	89%	89%	86%	88%	89%	88%	82%	92%	100%	73%	93%	98%		76%
Hispanic/Latino	3%	5%	-	4%	4%	-	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	-	5%	1%	-	11%	-	-		7%
Asian/Asian American	1%	-	-	-	-	*	*	1%	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	-	1%	-		-
Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Native American/American Indian/Indigenous	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	-	4%	*	-	4%	*	1%		5%
Middle Eastern/North African	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Other (Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
D102. EDUCATION																				
Did not complete high school	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	*	4%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	1%	2%	-		1%
Graduated high school	22%	23%	16%	21%	28%	8%	22%	25%	16%	22%	22%	18%	27%	20%	-	28%	23%	12%		29%
Attended technical/vocational school	7%	7%	-	5%	10%	-	7%	7%	4%	6%	6%	9%	9%	6%	-	8%	3%	12%		9%
Attended some college but no degree	28%	26%	56%	34%	20%	44%	28%	21%	46%	28%	30%	10%	23%	31%	21%	30%	30%	23%		27%
Graduated two-year college with Associate's degree	10%	11%	9%	10%	12%	5%	10%	12%	8%	11%	9%	17%	15%	8%	-	12%	9%	8%		13%
Graduated four-year college with Bachelor's degree	26%	24%	19%	23%	24%	34%	27%	26%	21%	24%	25%	46%	16%	29%	79%	12%	25%	44%		11%
Obtained Master's, PhD, or other professional degree (MD, DMD, etc.)	6%	10%	-	7%	6%	5%	6%	9%	1%	7%	7%	-	8%	5%	-	9%	6%	3%		9%
D112. PARENT/GUARDIAN																				
Yes	33%	34%	24%	32%	30%	36%	32%	30%	47%	35%	35%	10%	26%	38%	-	35%	33%	31%		31%
No	67%	66%	76%	68%	70%	64%	68%	70%	53%	65%	65%	90%	74%	62%	100%	65%	67%	69%		69%
D105. IDEOLOGY																				
Very liberal	13%	24%	1%	18%	20%	-	14%	15%	9%	13%	13%	9%	30%	5%	-	38%	5%	3%		34%
Somewhat liberal	16%	24%	7%	19%	22%	5%	17%	21%	6%	17%	16%	20%	24%	13%	-	25%	17%	3%		26%
Moderate	32%	23%	14%	21%	33%	29%	32%	22%	61%	32%	33%	22%	36%	31%	21%	31%	33%	31%		33%
Somewhat conservative	26%	21%	45%	27%	18%	33%	23%	32%	9%	26%	28%	6%	7%	36%	-	5%	32%	35%		5%
Very conservative	13%	7%	33%	14%	7%	32%	15%	11%	15%	12%	11%	43%	3%	16%	79%	2%	13%	27%		2%
LIBERAL (NET)	28%	48%	8%	38%	42%	5%	30%	36%	15%	30%	28%	29%	54%	18%	-	63%	22%	6%		60%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	40%	29%	78%	41%	25%	66%	38%	43%	24%	38%	39%	49%	10%	52%	79%	7%	45%	63%		7%
D142. RENT VS. OWN																				
Own	68%	65%	87%	71%	70%	70%	70%	70%	52%	65%	66%	91%	54%	73%	79%	50%	67%	88%		47%
Rent	31%	31%	13%	26%	30%	25%	28%	28%	48%	33%	32%	9%	46%	24%	21%	50%	30%	12%		53%
Other	2%	4%	-	3%	*	5%	2%	3%	-	2%	2%	-	*	2%	-	-	3%	-		-

	DRACE. Derived Race.			D102. Education.			D112. Parent/Guardian.			D105. Ideology.			D142. Rent vs. Own.		CLASS		
	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT			
TOTAL	201	64	62	74	92	74	35	67	134	94	58	45	136	62	105	81	15
DRACE. DERIVED RACE																	
Black/African American	6%	-	-	17%	-	2%	32%	9%	5%	10%	1%	6%	6%	8%	12%	-	-
White/Caucasian	89%	100%	95%	75%	97%	91%	64%	83%	92%	88%	91%	89%	89%	88%	83%	95%	100%
Hispanic/Latino	3%	-	5%	3%	-	5%	4%	8%	-	1%	3%	5%	3%	1%	3%	3%	-
Asian/Asian American	1%	*	-	1%	*	1%	-	*	1%	-	2%	-	*	2%	-	1%	-
Pacific Islander	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Native American/American Indian/Indigenous	1%	-	-	4%	3%	*	-	1%	2%	1%	3%	-	2%	1%	2%	*	-
Middle Eastern/North African	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other (Don't know/Refused)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D102. EDUCATION																	
Did not complete high school	1%	-	4%	1%	3%	-	-	2%	1%	*	3%	2%	*	4%	3%	-	-
Graduated high school	22%	14%	24%	26%	17%	23%	31%	16%	24%	34%	16%	2%	17%	33%	32%	12%	-
Attended technical/vocational school	7%	5%	9%	5%	9%	4%	6%	3%	8%	8%	6%	3%	8%	4%	6%	8%	-
Attended some college but no degree	28%	29%	28%	28%	34%	22%	27%	23%	31%	32%	22%	27%	23%	37%	30%	20%	62%
Graduated two-year college with Associate's degree	10%	5%	11%	12%	6%	17%	3%	9%	10%	12%	11%	4%	9%	11%	12%	8%	-
Graduated four-year college with Bachelor's degree	26%	40%	19%	21%	27%	25%	28%	41%	19%	13%	34%	47%	34%	10%	16%	41%	16%
Obtained Master's, PhD, or other professional degree (MD, DMD, etc.)	6%	6%	5%	7%	4%	9%	5%	6%	6%	1%	8%	16%	9%	1%	1%	10%	21%
D112. PARENT/GUARDIAN																	
Yes	33%	34%	34%	32%	27%	39%	38%	100%	-	25%	41%	42%	27%	48%	36%	35%	6%
No	67%	66%	66%	68%	73%	61%	62%	-	100%	75%	59%	58%	73%	52%	64%	65%	94%
D105. IDEOLOGY																	
Very liberal	13%	1%	13%	22%	9%	11%	26%	9%	14%	17%	15%	1%	8%	23%	21%	4%	2%
Somewhat liberal	16%	11%	14%	22%	15%	9%	31%	14%	17%	18%	9%	22%	17%	13%	14%	20%	9%
Moderate	32%	27%	32%	36%	34%	35%	20%	41%	28%	35%	28%	29%	27%	45%	39%	27%	4%
Somewhat conservative	26%	41%	26%	14%	28%	29%	14%	23%	28%	19%	31%	32%	31%	16%	18%	29%	65%
Very conservative	13%	20%	15%	6%	14%	15%	9%	13%	14%	10%	18%	16%	17%	3%	8%	19%	20%
LIBERAL (NET)	28%	12%	28%	44%	24%	20%	57%	23%	31%	35%	24%	23%	25%	36%	34%	24%	10%
CONSERVATIVE (NET)	40%	61%	40%	20%	42%	44%	23%	36%	41%	29%	48%	48%	48%	19%	26%	48%	85%
D142. RENT VS. OWN																	
Own	68%	81%	70%	54%	75%	67%	49%	56%	73%	51%	72%	97%	100%	-	50%	84%	100%
Rent	31%	15%	30%	46%	22%	32%	51%	44%	24%	46%	28%	3%	-	100%	50%	12%	-
Other	2%	4%	-	1%	3%	1%	-	-	2%	3%	-	*	-	-	1%	3%	-

