

Proclamation, by its terms, “[r]estor[es] the Grand Staircase-Escalante National Monument to its size and boundaries as they existed prior to December 4, 2017.” *Id.* at 57,336.¹

Previously, on March 8, 2021, the Court ordered the parties to file status reports every 30 days, advising the Court:

1) whether the current dispute has been mooted or the parties anticipate that it will be mooted; 2) whether the parties wish to stay this action for any reason, including the parties' negotiations over resolving this dispute; or 3) whether the parties agree that this litigation should continue as anticipated pursuant to the federal rules, local rules or a scheduling order.

ECF No. 152. The Court reiterated the requirement that the parties file joint status reports every 30 days in a minute order dated July 1, 2021. On September 30, 2021, the Court issued a minute order administratively closing the case. In that minute order, the Court further instructed that “[t]he parties shall continue to file status reports in the lead case as required by this court’s [July 2, 2021] Minute Order,” and further, that the parties “may file a motion to return this case to the court's active docket as appropriate.”

On January 24, 2024, the Court issued a minute order providing as follows:

[I]t is hereby ORDERED that the parties shall meet and confer by March 25, 2024. Following this meeting, the parties shall file a subsequent JSR by April 24, 2024, apprising the Court on the status of ongoing resolution discussions and any additional issues, particularly those of the opposing entities (i.e., State of Utah, Garfield County, Kane County, the American Farm Bureau Federation, and the Utah Farm Bureau Federation).

As ordered by the Court, the parties met and conferred by participating in a conference call with all parties² on March 19, 2024. The parties discussed those matters identified by the

¹ For convenience, this report will refer to Presidential Proclamation 10286 as the “Biden Proclamation” and Presidential Proclamation 9682, challenged in this case, as the “Trump Proclamation.”

² The call was attended by the parties from both this case and *Hopi Tribe et al. v. Biden*, 17-cv-2590 (D.D.C.).

Court in its minute order, and report the following in response to the Court's questions in its January 24 minute order.

II. The Parties' Report

As previously reported, the Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants have been holding discussions regarding proposals to resolve this case. As Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants informed the other parties in their conferral on March 19, recently these talks have stalled.

The State of Utah ("Utah") has informed the other parties that it intends to move the Court to lift the stay of this case and to administratively re-open it ("Motion"). If the Motion is granted, Utah will move the Court to dismiss the case as moot in light of the Biden Proclamation. The Farm Bureaus, as well as Kane and Garfield Counties, intend to join Utah's motions.

Utah expects that it will file its Motion before mid-May, 2024. Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants intend to oppose the Motion. Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants believe that a continuance of the stay and administrative closure of Plaintiffs' challenges to the Trump Proclamation is warranted in light of the parallel litigation challenging the legality of the Biden Proclamation. That litigation is fully briefed and is currently pending in the United States Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit. Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants believe that resolution of the proceedings in the Tenth Circuit has the potential to shape the scope of live issues in this case and thus conserve the resources of the parties and the Court. For those and other reasons Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants contend that a continued stay is appropriate under the factors identified by this Court in *Allen v. District of Columbia*, No. 20-cv-02453-TSC, 2024 WL 379811, at *3 (D.D.C. Feb. 1, 2024). Consequently, they propose that the Court maintain the stay and order the parties to file a joint status report advising the Court within 30 days of the Tenth Circuit's decision in *Garfield County v. Biden*, No. 23-4106 (10th Cir. filed Mar. 14,

2024); *consolidated with Dalton v. Biden*, No. 23-4107 (10th Cir.), and proposing further proceedings in this case. The Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants further propose that, prior to the Tenth Circuit issuing a decision, the parties file joint status reports every six months advising the Court of any relevant updates in the litigation. *See, e.g., Hulley Enterprises Ltd. v. Russian Fed'n*, 502 F. Supp. 3d 144, 164 (D.D.C. 2020) (staying case for two years and requiring joint status reports every six months).

For their part, as indicated above, Utah, the American Farm Bureau Federation, the Utah Farm Bureau Federation, Kane County, and Garfield County believe that the stay should be lifted and the case should be administratively re-opened, for the reasons that they will set forth in their Motion. Should the stay be lifted and the case re-opened, Utah will file a Motion to Dismiss for Mootness. The State of Utah disagrees with Plaintiffs' and Federal Defendants' characterization of the Tenth Circuit proceeding as "parallel" and "shap[ing] the scope of live issues in this case." Utah's position is as follows. A Tenth Circuit decision resolving that litigation will not be binding on this Court because the issues in the Tenth Circuit litigation are different issues than those pending before this Court. The Tenth Circuit litigation challenges the Biden Proclamation based on two issues: The narrow categories of things that can be declared "objects" to be protected in a national monument under the Act and the interpretation under the Act of the "smallest [land] area compatible" necessary to protect those objects. Any Tenth Circuit decision will not address the main challenge before this Court – namely the authority of the President to reduce the boundaries of an existing national monument. That issue has not been raised in the Tenth Circuit litigation.

Furthermore, Utah notes that argument in the Tenth Circuit litigation will not occur until late September 2024. Waiting for a decision from the Tenth Circuit would require the matters

before this Court to remain stayed for several months (at least) after having already been stayed for over three years. If the Motion is denied, and the case remains stayed and administratively closed, then these parties agree with the deadlines and status report requirements proposed by Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants.³

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of April, 2024,

TODD KIM
Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Romney S. Philpott

Romney S. Philpott
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resources Division
Natural Resources Section
999 18th St., #370
Denver, CO 80202
Phone: 303-844-1810
Fax: 303-844-1350
E-mail: Romney.Philpott@usdoj.gov

Judith E. Coleman
U.S. Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resources Division
Natural Resources Section
P.O. Box 7611
Benjamin Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
Phone: 202-514-3553
E-mail: Judith.Coleman@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Federal Defendants

/s/ Thomas Delehanty (with consent)

Thomas Delehanty
Earthjustice Rocky Mountain Office
633 17th Street, Suite 1600
Denver, CO 80202
(303) 996-9628
Email: tdelehanty@earthjustice.org

*Counsel for The Wilderness Society,
Defenders of Wildlife, Grand Canyon Trust,*

/s/ Gary S. Guzy (with consent)

Gary S. Guzy (375977)
John Mizerak (155488)
COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
One City Center
850 Tenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001
Tel: 202-662-5978
Fax: 202-778-5978
gguzy@cov.com

³ As noted above, Plaintiffs and Federal Defendants intend to respond to Intervenor's forthcoming Motion if and when it is filed. In the meantime, per the Court's direction in its Order of March 8, 2021, the parties attach a proposed order setting forth their proposed adjustments to the joint status reporting schedule.

Great Old Broads for Wilderness, Western Watersheds Project, WildEarth Guardians, Sierra Club, and Center for Biological Diversity

/s/ Jacqueline Iwata (with consent)

Jacqueline Iwata
Natural Resources Defense Council
1152 15th Street Suite 300
Washington, DC 20005
Tel.: (202) 836-9451
E-mail: jiwata@nrdc.org

Counsel for Natural Resources Defense Council

/s/ Kathy A.F. Davis (with consent)

Kathy A.F. Davis
UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE
1954 W. North Temple
Salt Lake City, UT 84114
(801) 888-1876
Email: kathydavis@agutah.gov

Megan Evelyn Garrett
Richard Ellison Mansfield
MITCHELL BARLOW & MANSFIELD,
P.C.
9 Exchange Place
Suite 600
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
316-308-0632
Email: mgarrett@mbmlawyers.com

Tyler R. Green
CONSOVOY MCCARTHY PLLC
222 S. Main Street
5th Floor
Salt Lake City, UT 84101
703-243-9423
Email: tyler@consovoymccarthy.com

Attorney for the State of Utah

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Grand Staircase Escalante Partners, Society of Vertebrate Paleontology, and Conservation Lands Foundation

Katherine Desormeau
Natural Resources Defense Council
111 Sutter Street, 21st Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
Tel.: (415) 875-6158
E-mail: kdesormeau@nrdc.org

/s/ Stephen H.M. Bloch (with consent)

Stephen H.M. Bloch
Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance
425 East 100 South
Salt Lake City, UT 84111
Tel.: (801) 486-3161
E-mail: steve@suwa.org

Counsel for Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance

/s/ William G. Myers III (with consent)

William G. Myers III (DC Bar No. 408573)
HOLLAND & HART LLP
P.O. Box 2527
Boise, Idaho 83701-2527
Telephone: (208) 342-5000
E-mail: wmyers@hollandhart.com

Attorneys for Defendant-Intervenors American Farm Bureau Federation and Utah Farm Bureau Federation

/s/ Ivan L. London

Ivan L. London, D.D.C. Bar No. CO0094
MOUNTAIN STATES LEGAL
FOUNDATION

2596 South Lewis Way
Lakewood, Colorado 80227
(303) 292-2021
Fax: (877) 349-7074
ilondon@mslegal.org

*Attorney for Defendant-Intervenors:
Garfield and Kane Counties, Utah*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA**

THE WILDERNESS SOCIETY, *et al.*,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
JOSEPH R. BIDEN, in his official capacity)
as President of the United States, *et al.*,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No. 1:17-cv-02587 (TSC)

GRAND STAIRCASE ESCALANTE)
PARTNERS, *et al.*,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
JOSEPH R. BIDEN, in his official capacity)
as President of the United States, *et al.*,)
)
Defendants.)

Case No. 1:17-cv-02591 (TSC)

CONSOLIDATED CASES

[PROPOSED] ORDER

This matter is before the Court following the parties’ joint status report filed on April 24, 2024. The Court is informed that the State of Utah (“Utah”) has informed the other parties that Utah intends to move the Court to lift the stay of this case and to administratively re-open it (“Motion”). Unless and until this Order is modified following briefing and ruling on such Motion, it is ordered that:

1. Proceedings in this case remain stayed and this case remains administratively closed.

2. No later than the date that is six months of the date of this Order, and every six months thereafter, the parties shall file a joint status report advising the Court of any relevant updates in *Garfield County v. Biden*, No. 23-4106 (10th Cir. filed Mar. 14, 2024); consolidated with *Dalton v. Biden*, No. 23-4107 (10th Cir.) (the “Tenth Circuit litigation”).

3. Within 30 days of a decision by the Court of Appeals for the Tenth Circuit disposing of the appeals in the Tenth Circuit litigation, the parties shall file a joint status report advising the Court and proposing further proceedings in this case.

DATED: _____

TANYA S. CHUTKAN
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE