NEVADA’S PATHWAY TO CUTTING CARBON POLLUTION

The Clean Power Plan, finalized by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, is a game changer. It sets the first-ever limits on carbon pollution from power plants, the nation’s largest source of the pollution that is driving dangerous climate change. We need to act now because we already are seeing its effects in extreme weather, deeper drought, and more wildfires. This carbon pollution limit for power plants in Nevada is achievable, largely through increasing the state’s clean and renewable energy sources solar and geothermal, along with improving the energy efficiency of its homes and businesses.

The EPA standards set a limit on power plant pollution in each state. The carbon pollution limit is expressed in two ways: as a mass-based standard designating a maximum number of tons of carbon dioxide (CO₂) that may be emitted by covered plants and allowing for some load growth over the years; and as a rate-based standard expressed as a number of pounds of CO₂ per megawatt hour (MWh) of electricity generated from covered plants for each time period. The standards allow each state the flexibility to design its own cost-effective pathway toward a cleaner electricity system. Under a mass-based standard, Nevada will need to cut its carbon pollution from both existing and new power plants from 15.5 million tons in 2012 to 14.7 million tons in 2030. In limiting its pollution, Nevada will benefit from the expansion of its clean energy sources, increasing its clean energy economy, which grew by 8,591 jobs in 2014 alone.¹ The actions that Nevada takes now will move it toward a healthier, economically productive, clean energy future.

THE EPA’S CLEAN POWER PLAN PROMISES GREAT BENEFITS FOR NEVADA AND THE NATION

The Clean Power Plan will reduce the nation’s carbon pollution from fossil-fueled power plants 32 percent below 2005 levels by 2030.² As we curb carbon pollution, the nation will reap major health and environmental benefits, and by 2030 the average household will save about $85 a year on its energy bills.³ Climate change will be especially costly in Nevada unless we act now to reduce its impact. Nevada is already experiencing the effects of a warming planet, such as wildfires and water shortages. Lake Mead, the main source of freshwater for Las Vegas, was at its lowest recorded level in 2014.⁴ By midcentury, 94 percent of the state’s counties will face a higher risk of water shortages.⁵ By decreasing the impacts of climate change and reducing the burden of health costs associated with power plant pollution, altogether the EPA standards will provide benefits of up to $54 billion in 2030. That includes preventing up to 3,600 premature deaths, 1,700 heart attacks, 90,000 asthma attacks, and 300,000 missed work and school days.⁶ These benefits far outweigh the estimated national compliance costs of $8.4 billion in 2030.

POLLUTION LIMITS ARE READILY ACHIEVABLE

The EPA set carbon pollution limits for each state’s power plants based on three pollution-reduction approaches, or “building blocks.” However, these blocks are not prescriptive; they are simply the EPA’s method for estimating achievable pollution cuts from power plants. The Clean Power Plan gives states ample flexibility to meet these standards in any way they choose. NRDC encourages Nevada to be creative and think “outside the blocks,” drawing on resources like demand-side energy efficiency. Nevada can now decide on its own path to reduce carbon pollution from power plants in the state—a path that will determine the level of economic, environmental, and public health benefits to Nevada residents.
FIGURE 1: PATHWAY TO MEETING NEVADA’S CARBON POLLUTION LIMITS

Figures IA and IC demonstrate the electricity-generation mix and pollution levels as a result of Nevada’s existing clean energy policies and planned retirements (“business as usual,” or BAU). By ramping up its energy efficiency, building more solar and other renewables, and relying less on natural gas, the state’s power sector can meet its emissions limits, as shown in Figures IB and IC.

FIGURE IA: ELECTRICITY MIX, BUSINESS-AS-USUAL

FIGURE IB: ELECTRICITY MIX, CLEAN POWER PLAN SCENARIO

FIGURE IC: CARBON EMISSIONS PROJECTIONS, BUSINESS-AS-USUAL

Nevada’s electricity mix is getting cleaner, but due to significant projected increases in output, emissions are expected to increase from 2012 levels.

Nevada’s power sector can meet its emissions limits through increased investment in efficiency and renewables—maintaining a strong resource balance and avoiding overreliance on natural gas.
The adoption of a flexible, market-based framework in combination with complementary state clean energy policies will allow Nevada’s power sector to cost-effectively meet its carbon pollution limit largely by expanding renewable solar and geothermal energy and improving the energy efficiency of homes and businesses.

The state’s largest utility, NV Energy, is moving toward solar as it retires 800 MW of dirty, coal-fired power plants. The utility recently asked its regulator to approve power contracts from two 100 MW solar arrays; the energy from these facilities will be cheaper than energy from natural gas–fired power plants. However, there are also plans to build large amounts of new natural gas capacity, which would result in significant emissions increases. That proposed natural gas capacity can instead be replaced with new solar projects and energy efficiency, allowing the state to reduce its reliance on natural gas and meet its emissions limits, as shown in Figure 1.

**PRIMARY POLICY OPTIONS**

States can pick from a number of policy approaches to reduce carbon pollution. The following are key conclusions from extensive analyses of state plan options under the Clean Power Plan.

- Significant pollution reductions can be achieved at very low cost with energy efficiency and renewable energy. Energy efficiency is the most cost-effective resource, and these clean energy investments have been found to reduce customers’ energy bills.

- Because regional approaches that create larger trading markets significantly reduce costs, states across the country are exploring regional policy approaches and trading, from developing a regional plan to writing individual plans with common elements and trading across borders. Regional consistency also reduces market distortions and pollution “leakage” across state borders.

- The lowest-cost policy choice is a mass-based approach, as long as the allowance value or permit revenue is paid for by polluters and reinvested for customer benefit. The best compliance approaches are simple, tested, and low-cost. They have high environmental integrity and are easily interconnected across states and regions. A mass-based approach—paired with essential, complementary clean energy policies—would fulfill all these criteria.

---

**WHY ARE COMPLEMENTARY POLICIES IMPORTANT IN A MARKET-BASED FRAMEWORK?**

As Nevada has demonstrated, clean energy policies can drive economic gain and reduce emissions. While these policies need not be included in a state plan to demonstrate enforceable limits on carbon emissions, they can complement a market-based compliance strategy to ensure the lowest-cost and most effective carbon pollution reductions.

Investment in energy efficiency and renewable energy can provide numerous benefits to customers, including lower wholesale prices, reduced energy bills, and less reliance on volatile fuel markets. These investments can also lower the overall costs and maximize the benefits of a market-based emissions reduction program. A recent analysis of states participating in the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative (RGGI) found that net economic benefits and job creation were highest in states with the greatest levels of reinvestment in energy efficiency.
WHAT IS THE CARBON POLLUTION LIMIT FOR POWER PLANTS IN NEVADA?

After unprecedented stakeholder outreach and a review of millions of public comments, the EPA carefully reconsidered and revised its emissions limits to be more consistent nationally and to incorporate the interconnected nature of the electric grid. The EPA set separate, nationally uniform rates for coal and natural gas power plants, treating all plants equally. Nevada’s rate-based limit is based on the share of each of those resources within the state. The final (2030) rate-based emissions limit for power plants in Nevada is 855 pounds of CO₂ per MWh generated. The EPA has also converted rate-based emissions limits into mass-based emissions limits, and NRDC has analyzed compliance with Nevada’s mass-based limit (covering existing and new sources) in Figure 1 on page 2.

### Table 1: Carbon Pollution Limits for Nevada’s Power Plants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Rate-based limit (lbs CO₂/MWh)</th>
<th>Mass-Based Limit, All Sources (short tons)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline (2012)</td>
<td>1,102</td>
<td>15,536,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interim Period 2022-2029</td>
<td>942</td>
<td>15,114,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030 &amp; Beyond Target</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>14,718,107</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Figure 2: Carbon Pollution Limits for Nevada’s Power Plants
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### Incentives for Early Investments in Renewables and Energy Efficiency

Early investments in renewables and energy efficiency can help states comply in two ways. First, in a rate-based policy approach, a power plant can purchase credits from energy efficiency, wind, solar, and other renewable energy projects developed after 2012 and still generating electricity in 2022 and beyond. In a mass-based approach, non-emitting energy efficiency and renewable energy will also contribute to meeting the emissions goal and reduce costs.

In addition, the final Clean Power Plan creates the voluntary Clean Energy Incentive Program (CEIP). The CEIP is designed to recognize emissions reductions that occur before the compliance period begins in 2022. It would allow states to give bonus allowances or credits—which have monetary value—to qualifying renewable electricity generation and energy efficiency investments in low-income communities in 2020 and 2021. Renewable energy and energy efficiency projects are eligible if they are initiated after the state submits its complete state plan—creating an incentive for states to complete their plans early.
**NEXT STEPS FOR NEVADA**

While states have flexibility to decide on any pollution reduction pathway, some approaches will result in more benefits for the environment, the economy, and electricity customers. Table 2 outlines key decision steps for Nevada to consider as the state designs a plan to meet or exceed the carbon pollution limits for its power plants.

These policy options work with many available cost-effective programs that deliver clean energy benefits and keep electricity affordable for everyone, including low-income communities. Prioritizing investment in energy efficiency and renewable energy will keep costs down and avoid overutilizing natural gas.

As Nevada considers the full range of options to reduce carbon pollution from power plants operating in the state, an open and transparent process is essential to crafting a strong state plan that meets all of Nevada’s goals. Robust engagement with the full range of interested stakeholders will ensure that Nevada chooses the best path forward, reducing its reliance on fossil fuels and moving toward a clean energy future.

---

**Table 2: Three key decision steps for developing a state plan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decision Steps</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choose a rate-based or mass-based approach</td>
<td><strong>Option 1: Rate-based, Blended Rate</strong>&lt;br&gt;Each generator must meet the state-wide emissions limit in pollution per unit of electricity generated (lbs CO₂/MWh). Fossil power plants that pollute above the intensity standard must buy credits from generators or efficiency providers that operate below the standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 3: Mass-based, Existing Sources Only</strong></td>
<td>The state has a total emissions limit (tons CO₂) that is a fixed amount. The state limit includes some amount of load growth above 2012 levels. Existing power plants have to hold an allowance, issued by a state agency, for every ton of CO₂ emitted. These allowances could be auctioned, with the value returned to customers or used to expand complementary programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 2: Rate-based, Dual Rate</strong></td>
<td>Each generator must meet applicable emissions rate limit (steam or NGCC) in pollution per unit of electricity generated (lbs CO₂/MWh). Fossil steam units that pollute above the steam rate must buy credits from new non-emitting resources (including efficiency) or incremental NGCC generation (above 2012 levels). NGCC units can only purchase credits from new non-emitting resources (including efficiency).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Option 4: Mass-based, All Sources (Existing and New)</strong></td>
<td>A state may choose to include new power plants in the mass-based standard, which has the advantage of treating all power plants the same in electric power markets, regardless of when they were built. Under this approach, the limit is adjusted upwards to account for the emissions of new power plants meeting any load growth that was not already covered in the limit for existing sources, above.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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9 The Natural Resources Defense Council has analyzed Nevada’s compliance trajectory using the Clean Power Plan Compliance tool developed by MJ Bradley & Associates. This tool, designed to perform a simple resource analysis for each state, is available at www.mjbradley.com/about-us/case-studies/clean-power-plan-evaluation-tools. Note: The BAU (blue) and CPP (green) emissions projections in Figure 1C correspond to the “Achieved” line in the tool under the scenarios specified. In the BAU scenario, based on NV Energy IRP filings, over 2,800 MW of natural gas capacity is added to the state's electricity mix by 2030, resulting in significant increases in carbon emissions from 2012 levels. 200 MW of solar capacity (already planned) are also added to the system. The Clean Power Plan scenario, gas capacity additions remain the same in the near term (1280 MW added by 2022, as planned), but only 300 MW is added between 2022 and 2030 – instead, 1,500 of new solar capacity is assumed to come online and the state adopts energy efficiency programs similar to the levels set forth in EPA’s analysis (1% annual savings). In this scenario, Nevada’s power sector maintains a positive resource balance in the state while meeting the state's emissions limits. Other assumptions: clean energy displaces in-state fossil generation on a pro-rata basis (2012 levels); new NGCCs operate at a capacity factor of 55%; new power plants are covered by the emissions limit.

10 IRP data collected based on:
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