

December 28, 2015

Submitted via email to climatechange@dec.ny.gov

Mark Lowery New York State Department of Environmental Conservation Office of Climate Change 625 Broadway Albany, NY 12233-1030

Re: NRDC Comments on Proposed Part 490 of the Community Risk and Resiliency Act

Dear Mr. Lowery:

The Natural Resources Defense Council (NRDC) submits to the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) our comments concerning proposed regulation, 6 NYCRR Part 490 – Projected Sea Level Rise. NRDC is an international environmental advocacy organization, which on behalf of our more than 2.3 million members and online activists uses law and science to protect the planet's wildlife and wild places and to ensure a safe and healthy environment for all living things.

NRDC applauds the State's commitment to enacting science-based sea level rise projections for planning and design purposes to mitigate the effects of climate change. Sea level rise will have profound negative economic and social implications in New York, unless actions are taken to lessen its effects. As described in the *New York State Sea Level Rise Task Force Report to the Legislature*, sea level rise and coastal flooding from storm surge are already

¹ Community Risk and Resiliency Act, 2013 New York Assembly Bill No. A6558B, New York Two Hundred Thirty-Seventh Legislative Session (Attach. A06558 Memo).

affecting and will increasingly affect New York's entire coastline.² Thus, we support adoption of the proposed range of sea level rise projections, which includes a projection of roughly 6 feet by 2100.

Adaptation and sustainable planning are needed to minimize the impacts of climate change, including sea level rise. As the State's climate preparedness planning efforts move forward, providing clear guidance on how state agencies must address likely sea level rise in their financing and permitting decisions is crucial. The Community Risk and Resiliency Act was enacted to encourage advanced planning for the effects of climate change.³ Thus, once the proposed sea level rise projections are adopted, the actions and responsibilities specific state agencies will take to address climate risks must be clearly articulated.

I. Two to Six Feet of Sea Level Rise Will Occur By 2100 and the State Must Plan Accordingly.

Future Global Sea Level Rise

Anthropogenic emissions of carbon are the primary driver of climate change and result in sea levels rising over time.⁴ Due to the long atmospheric half-life for carbon to persist in the atmosphere, the climate will continue to change over the of course many centuries.⁵ However, the extent to which the climate will change depends on the amount of global carbon emissions already released into the

² New York State Sea Level Task Force, <u>Report to Legislature</u> 5 (2010). ³ 2013 New York Assembly Bill No. A6558B, (Attach. A06558 Memo).

⁴ U.S. Global Change Research Program, Climate Change Impacts in the United State: The Third National Climate Assessment 21-22 (Jerry Melillo, Terese Richmond, & Gary Yohe eds., 2014).
⁵ See Benjamin H. Strauss, Scott Kulp, & Anders Levermann, Carbon Choices Determine US Cities Committed to Futures Below Sea Level, 112.44 PNAS 13508 (2015) (explaining how carbon emissions may persist in the atmosphere for several centuries).

atmosphere and those yet to be emitted in the future. To maintain a chance of limiting warming to 3.6°F above the planet's pre-industrial levels — the maximum amount of warming recently agreed to in the United Nation's COP21 meeting in Paris — total cumulative carbon emissions must be limited to 1 trillion tons. According to the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), society has already emitted more than half of its carbon budget. A large-scale global effort to reduce carbon emissions must be achieved if the target is to be met. Otherwise, 7.2°Fahrenheit, roughly the warming predicted if no action is taken to curb emissions, will occur.8

In the United States, average temperatures have increased by roughly 1.6°F over the last 100 years, with the most recent decade the warmest on record. 9 Sea level rise is directly correlated to this increase in global temperature. Our oceans are absorbing over 90 percent of the increased atmospheric heat associated with carbon emissions from human activity. 10 Thermal expansion, coupled with the melting of land-based ice, is causing sea levels to rise at an increasing rate.

Since 1880, global sea level rose roughly eight inches, 11 and the rate at which it is occurring has nearly doubled. 12 From 1993 to 2008, global rate of sea level rise was more than two-thirds higher than the twentieth century average. 13

⁶ Myles R. Allen et al., Warming Caused by Cumulative Carbon Emissions Towards the Trillionth Tonne, 428 Nature 1163. 1164 (2009)

See Radley Horton et al., Climate Change in New York State: Updating the 2011 ClimAid Climate Risk Information 2 (2014) (reporting on the findings of IPCC 5th Assessment).

⁸ See Benjamin H. Strauss, Scott Kulp, & Anders Levermann, Mapping Choices: Carbon, Climate, and Rising Seas - Our Global Legacy 1 (2015).

⁹ U.S. Global Change Research Program, supra 4, at 19.

¹¹ Erika Spanger-Siegfried, Melanie Fitzpatrick. And Kristina Dahl, Encroaching Tides: How Sea Level Rise and Tidal Flooding Threaten U.S. East and Gulf Coast Communities over the Next 30 Years 1 (2014). 12 Id.

¹³ <u>Id.</u>

Within the next century the rate of rise is projected to increase exponentially. By 2100, sea levels may increase between two to six feet, depending on which carbon emissions scenario ultimately occurs. ¹⁴ Six feet of sea-level rise would inundate the homes of 5 million people in the United States alone. ¹⁵

Unfortunately, sea level rise will continue to occur beyond 2100. Human generated carbon-emissions have essentially locked-in long-term sea level rise for several centuries due to the slow-response of Earth system's and the instability of the West Antarctic Ice Sheet, which has already begun to melt. ¹⁶ If carbon emissions continue unabated until the year 2100, it would commit to an eventual global sea level rise of fourteen feet or higher in the coming centuries. ¹⁷

Sea Live Rise in New York

Sea level rise will have serious consequences for New York. Due to the State's low-lying coastal areas and the fact that sea level rise will actually be higher in the Northeast than in comparison to the global average, ¹⁸ sea level rise will increasingly affect New York's entire coastline. More than 60 percent of New Yorkers, 11.85 million people, live in homes on or near the coast, ¹⁹ which means sea level rise will be particularly damaging.

Since 1895, sea level at the Battery in New York City has risen by more than 17 inches. ²⁰ Rates of sea level rise along New York's shores have averaged 1.2 inches per decade over the past century. ²¹ The regional sea level has risen more rapidly in the past roughly 100 years than during the last 1,000 years due to

18 New York State Sea Level Task Force, supra 2, at 9, 12.

¹⁴ <u>Surging Seas</u>, Climate Central, http://sealevel.climatecentral.org/ (last visited Dec. 15, 2015).

¹⁶ Benjamin H. Strauss, supra 5, at 13508 - 509.

¹⁷ Id

¹⁹ Id. at 12

²⁰ Erika Spanger-Siegfreid, supra 11, at 11.

²¹ Radley Horton, supra 7, at 2.

increasing global temperatures.²² A trend that will continue even if warming is limited to 3.6°F (2°C).

The implications of rising sea levels for New York City are illustrative of the impacts faced by the broader region. As previously mentioned, the average global temperature is likely to increase 7.2°F by 2100. If such an event occurs, New York City can expect 7.9 feet of sea level rise by the end of the century. At that rate, the homes of roughly 23 percent of the current population, 2.99 million people, would be below median sea-level. Under a 3.6°F warming scenario, New York City will still be impacted by 4.6 feet of sea level rise by 2100 and 13 percent of the current population, 1.69 million people, would be below the median sea level. Endiagonal People would be below the median sea level.

Additionally, sea level will exacerbate the problem of tidal flooding in New York as high tides will be able to reach farther inland. According the Union of Concerned Scientists, long-term trends show that tidal flooding in the United States went from occurring every one to five years in the 1950s to occurring once every three months by 2012. ²⁶ This trend is accelerating, and by 2045, numerous communities along the East and Gulf Coasts may see tidal flooding occurring many times a year. For Kings and Bergen Points, tidal flooding events will likely occur over 130 times a year by 2045.²⁷

As climate change increases the number of intense rain events, "the intensity, frequency, and duration" of coastal flooding will be compounded.²⁸ According to Climate Central, the likelihood of 100-year floods occurring annually by 2030

²² New York State Sea Level Task Force, supra 2, at 17.

²³ Benjamin H. Strauss, supra 8, at 36.

²⁴ <u>Id.</u>

²⁵ Id

²⁶ Erika Spanger-Siegfreid, supra 11, at 53

<u> Id.</u>

Radley Horton, supra 7, at 14.

may double over widespread areas of the United States. For New York, storm events smaller than Hurricane Sandy will be capable of inflicting a similar impact because storm surge will be able to travel farther inland, expanding the geographic extent of vulnerable areas and the damage inflicted.²⁹ This threat will only grow as sea levels continue to rise.

II. Communities Must Account for Sea Level Rise in the Planning and Design Process.

Proactive planning is needed to minimize the impacts of sea level rise. The resiliency of local communities must be strengthened by safeguarding the public infrastructure - such as the bridges, roads, and wastewater treatment plants - on which they depend. Accounting for sea level rise in the planning and design process can help reduce future infrastructure loss.

Successful adaptation requires a holistic approach that goes well beyond the typical ad hoc approach of shoreline fortification to lessen the impacts of sea level rise. In many instances, armoring of coastal areas can actually exacerbate shoreline retreat, degrade coastal ecosystems, and disrupt sediment transport. This will be especially true in the face of rising seas; protection of communities using only hard infrastructure would require extensive armoring along the entire coast that avoids directing the increased water level to other areas. 31

In the alternative, coastal communities must consider approaches that accommodate sea level rise and the increased risk of tidal flooding, including elevating structures, using natural and green infrastructure, and non-structural

²⁹ New York State Sea Level Task, <u>supra</u> 2, at 19.

³⁰ <u>See generally</u> Orrin H. Pilkey and Andrew G. Cooper, <u>The Last Beach</u> 1 (2014) (describing the negative consequences of shoreline fortification).

³¹ Erika Spanger-Siegfreid, supra 10, at 42.

approaches like stricter land-use regulations. 32 Natural infrastructure approaches, such as restoration of coastal wetlands, oyster reefs, and dunes, provide flood protection and environmental and economic co-benefits. These approaches serve to stabilize shorelines by reducing erosion and absorbing storm surge and floodwaters. Additionally, they provide ecosystem services, such as habitat for fish and other aquatic species, filtering of pollution, and preservation of public access to the shoreline. Further, they serve to "maintain connections between land and water ecosystems to enhance resilience."³³

However, in some particularly vulnerable areas, it may be more appropriate to relocate structures as sea levels rise. For economic and geographic reasons (i.e., the land will be inundated), relocation may be the only option to ensure publically funded or permitted infrastructure are no longer in harm's way. This is especially true of critical infrastructure, such as wastewater and hazardous waste treatment facilities. As such, siting decisions should consider where the shoreline will be located over the lifetime of the structure and the implications of coastal flooding. Limiting development in the highest risk areas and adopting policies that promote relocation should be considered.³⁴

III. New York Must Adopt the proposed sea level rise projections, which predict up to 6 feet of sea level rise by the end of the century.

Six feet of rise must accounted for in SLR projections

NRDC recommends implementing the proposed projections of sea level rise as currently outlined in section 490.4(a)-(c) of the Community Risk and Resiliency

³² <u>Id.</u>

³³ NOAA Office for Coastal Management, <u>Natural and Structural Measures for Shoreline</u> Stabilization 2 (2015).

Carolyn Kousky, Managing Shoreline Retreat: A US Perspective, 124 Climatic Change 9 (2014).

Act. As noted above, 6 feet or more of sea level rise by 2100 is within the likely range of possibilities for New York given the current trajectory for global carbon emissions. The precautionary principle is often employed in environmental decision-making when analyzing highly-complex systems that are characterized by a substantial degree of uncertainty.³⁵ In the context of sea level rise, we know the oceans will rise but the rate will be affected by current and future emissions. Despite the recent success of the Paris Climate talks, actual attainment of the 3.6°F target is not guaranteed. As such, any range of projections for sea level rise by 2100 must include close to 72 inches of rise under the high projection scenario. Adopting such a projection is prudent given the potential economic and social ramifications from assuming a lower level of sea level rise.

Thus, NRDC supports basing the State's proposed sea level rise projections on the conclusions of the 2014 and 2011 ClimAid Reports, which provide the most accurate estimates of future sea level rise for the New York region. According to the 2014 ClimAid report, sea level is projected to rise along the New York State coastline and in the tidal Hudson River by roughly 72 inches under the High Estimate or 90th Percentile projection. The proposed 90th percentile projections should be utilized by the state agencies, and applicants for relevant permits and approvals in the context of programs specified in the Community Risk and Resiliency Act the for critical infrastructure planning purposes.

Therefore, we oppose the proposed alternatives, which include basing the projections in Part 490 on scientific reports other than the ClimAid report, as the alternative reports mentioned in the Regulatory Impact Statement fail to account for 6 feet of sea level rise. For example, the New York State Resilience Institute for Storms and Emergencies report, *Sea-Level Rise in New York in the 21*st *Century: Projection and Methodology*, is not an acceptable alternative to the

-

³⁵ David Kriebel, <u>The Precautionary Principle in Environmental Science</u>, 109(9) Env't. Health <u>Perspectives 871 (2001)</u>.

ClimAid studies.³⁶ The report not only fails to account for the tidal Hudson's rate of sea level rise, but also underestimates New York's upper bound of the sea level rise by 2100 by over 30 inches.³⁷ Failing to account for 6 feet of sea level rise would be a grave oversight in the adaptation and sustainable planning for the effects of climate change required of state agencies.

While we commend NYSDEC for proposing sea level rise projections that account for 6 feet of sea level rise, we recommend the definitions of the projections (i.e. low, low-medium, medium, etc.) be simplified. The definitions should more clearly articulate the likelihood of that rate of sea level rise occurring. A clearer description of the likelihood of a certain projection occurring will better assist agencies and applicants to account for the effects of climate change in their design and planning processes.

Need for clear guidance post-adoption of the SLR projections

Establishing a uniform set of sea level rise estimates that err on the side of caution is an important first step for addressing the effects of climate change and sea level rise. As described in the Community Risk and Resiliency Act, certain agencies and applicants to New York State programs are required to demonstrate that they have accounted for future physical climate risks caused by storm surges, sea-level rise or flooding. (Please see the Appendix for a list of programs and policies for which the impacts of sea level rise must be considered as required by the Community Risk and Resiliency Act). Hence, NYSDEC must develop guidance on how to best integrate sea level rise risks into planning, permitting, siting, and design processes for projects and activities occurring along the coast and the tidal Hudson Estuary. By doing so, New York can ensure

³⁶ Minghua Zhang, <u>Sea-Level Rise in the 21st Century: Projection and Methodology</u>, NYS RISE Technical Report TR-0-15-01, 1 (2014).

³⁷ Id. at **24**.

that public funding is not provided to projects or programs that fail to consider climate change risks like sea level rise – failure to consider such risks has direct negative implications for the long-term success of any project. Additionally, the proposed sea level rise projections must also be integrated into updates to regulations, maps, and other decision-support tools. Incorporating sea level rise into these areas will help to ensure consistency and provide support for state, regional, and local decision-makers.³⁸

IV. New York Must Include Consideration of Climate Risks in Permitting and Funding Decisions

Sea level rise presents serious consequences for the State of New York. As noted in the Community Risk and Resiliency Act memorandum, "it is appropriate and necessary for climate risk to be an eligible component of funding and permitting."³⁹ We commend the State for taking steps to mitigate the impacts of climate change by developing sea level rise projections, and we look forward to helping the State develop a comprehensive document that guides State agencies on how best to utilize these projections. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this matter. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Joel Scata

Policy Advocate, Water Program

Jal Dato

³⁸ Radley Horton, supra 7, at 10.

³⁹ 2013 New York Assembly Bill No. A6558B, (Attach. A06558 Memo).

Appendix – Application of Sea Level Rise Projections

Program/Policy	Description	Statutory Information
Smart Growth Public	The purpose of the act is	ECL Section 6-0197
Infrastructure Policy Act	to reduce urban sprawl	
	that would be facilitated	
	by State funding of	
	transportation, sewer and	
	waste water treatment,	
	water, education, housing	
	and other publicly	
	supported infrastructure.	
	As implemented by the	
	Community Risk and	
	Resiliency Act, no state	
	infrastructure agency	
	shall approve, undertake,	
	support or finance a	
	public infrastructure	
	project, including	
	providing grants, awards,	
	loans or assistance	
	programs, unless it is	
	consistent with the	
	requirement to mitigate	
	the effects of climate	
	change, including sea	
	level rise and flooding.	
Water Pollution Control	The program provides	ECL Section 17-1909
Revolving Funds	low-interest rate	

	financing to	
	municipalities to	
	construct water quality	
	protection projects such	
	as sewers and wastewater	
	treatment facilities. A	
	project must demonstrate	
	that design and	
	construction consider	
	climate risks, including	
	from sea level rise, storm	
	surge, and flooding to be	
	eligible for funding.	
Siting Industrial	Per ECL section 27-1103,	ECL section 27-1103
Hazardous Waste	the criteria for siting of	
Treatment, Storage, and	hazardous waste facilities	
Disposal Facilities	must "insure the	
	maximum safety of the	
	public from hazards	
	associated with treatment,	
	storage, and disposal of	
	hazardous wastes." As	
	such, and as required by	
	the CRRA, sea level rise,	
	storm surge, and flooding	
	must be considered when	
	siting a hazardous waste	
	facility.	
	In this context, hazardous	

	waste facilities should	
	NOT be located in areas	
	that will be susceptible to	
	the impacts of six feet of	
	sea level rise. Hazardous	
	waste facilities are	
	critical infrastructure and	
	thus any guidance	
	developed for how	
	agencies are to	
	implement the	
	requirements of the	
	CRRA must recommend	
	that hazardous waste	
	facilities utilize the 90 th	
	percentile projection for	
	sea level rise.	
Hazardous Substances	The act applies to all non-	ECL section 40-0113
Bulk Storage Act	exempted hazardous	
	substances storage	
	facilities and requires the	
	promulgation of	
	minimum standards and	
	schedules for design,	
	construction, installation,	
	operation, maintenance,	
	repair, monitoring, testing	
	and inspection of	
	facilities. Per ECL	
	section 40-0113, the	

	schedules shall be based	
	on environmental factors	
	that include sea level rise,	
	storm surge, and flooding	
	projections.	
State Land Acquisition	The purpose of the	ECL section 49-0203
Policy	policy is to provide for	
	the conservation,	
	protection, and	
	preservation of open	
	space, natural, historic	
	and cultural resources	
	and the enhancement of	
	recreational	
	opportunities. As	
	required by statute, the	
	risks associated with sea	
	level rise, storm surge,	
	and flooding must be	
	considered.	
	This program represents a	
	valuable opportunity for	
	climate risk mitigation.	
	Open space is important	
	for flood attenuation, and	
	as sea levels rise, and	
	retreat becomes	
	necessary, the State	
	should consider the	

	benefits of acquiring	
	properties to convert to	
	open space.	
Open Space Land	The program permits the	ECL section 54-0303
Conservation Projects	commissioner of the	
	office of parks, recreation	
	and historic preservation	
	to enter into agreements	
	for the maintenance and	
	operation of open-space	
	land conservation	
	projects, which	
	demonstrate to the	
	commissioner's	
	satisfaction that the future	
	physical climate risk due	
	to sea level rise, storm	
	surges, and flooding,	
	based on available data	
	predicting the likelihood	
	future extreme weather	
	events, are considered.	
Non-hazardous municipal	The program provides	ECL section 54-0503
landfill closure projects	funding to assist in the	
	closing of municipally-	
	owned or operated	
	landfills. As part of the	
	requirements, the	
	municipality must	
	demonstrate that future	

	climate risks, including	
	sea level rise, storm	
	surges, and flooding have	
	been considered.	
Control of bulk storage	The Department is	ECL section 17-1015
petroleum, state storage	required to promulgate	
standards	standards for existing and	
	new petroleum bulk	
	storage facilities which	
	shall include, but not be	
	limited to, design,	
	equipment requirements,	
	construction, installation	
	and maintenance. In	
	proposing such standards,	
	the Department is	
	required to consider	
	climate risks, including	
	sea level rise, storm	
	surges, and flooding,	
Waterfront Revitalization	The program provides	ECL section 54-1101
Plans	state assistance payments	
	to municipalities toward	
	the cost of any local	
	waterfront revitalization	
	program, including	
	planning projects to	
	mitigate future physical	
	climate risks.	

Coastal Rehabilitation	The program provides	ECL section 54-1105
Projects	state assistance payments	
	to a municipality or a not-	
	for-profit corporation	
	toward the cost of any	
	coastal rehabilitation	
	project. The	
	commissioner must	
	consider future climate	
	risks, including sea level	
	rise, storm surges, and	
	flooding when deciding	
	whether to award an	
	assistance payment.	
Mineral Resources Act	Future climate risks due	ECL section 23-0305
	to sea level rise, storm	
	surges, and flooding,	
	must be considered when	
	issuing a permit to drill,	
	deepen, plug back or	
	convert a well for	
	production of oil or gas.	
Major Permits issued	Applicants for major	ECL section 70-0117
under the Uniform	projects for the regulatory	
Procedure Act	programs list under ECL	
	Section 70-0107 must	
	demonstrate that future	
	physical risk due to sea	
	level rise, storm surges,	
	and flooding has been	

	considered.	
Agricultural and	The programs provide	AGM section 325
Farmland Protection	financial and technical	
Programs	assistance for agricultural	
	and farmland protection	
	efforts. In considering	
	funding applications, the	
	commissioner shall	
	consider whether future	
	physical climate risk due	
	to sea level rise, storm	
	surge, and flooding has	
	been considered.	
Drinking Water	The fund provides	PBH Section 1161
Revolving Fund	financing for drinking	
	water facilities. A priority	
	ranking system exists for	
	providing financial	
	assistance to such	
	projects. The priority	
	ranking system must take	
	into account climate risk,	
	including sea level rise,	
	storm surges and	
	flooding.	