



TENNESSEE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 6

Green Prosperity and Poverty Reduction

Robert Pollin, Jeannette Wicks-Lim & Heidi Garrett-Peltier
 Political Economy Research Institute, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

June 2009

Low-income households in Tennessee's 6th Congressional District area could receive significant benefits from clean-energy investment in the region. These potential benefits would include a substantial expansion in job opportunities, especially for people with high school degrees or less; rising wages; reduced home heating and utility costs; and improved access and convenience for public transportation. All of these benefits would be in addition to the environmental gains achieved through large-scale investments in energy efficiency and renewable energy (see Table 1).

These benefits will be encouraged by the clean-energy features of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act, the February 2009 Obama stimulus program. They will also be supported by the American Clean Energy and Security Act, now being considered in Congress. Among the features of this pending bill are measures to ensure that low-income households will not be affected by possible future oil, gas, and coal price increases tied to the legislation.

Below, we look at the potential impact on Tennessee's 6th District of an economy-wide \$150 billion shift in spending from fossil fuels to clean energy. Based on the District's current population and the size of its economy, that would bring about roughly \$365 million in clean-energy investments in the 6th District, or over one percent of all economic activity in 2008.

In Tennessee's 6th Congressional District, investment in a clean-energy economy would produce 4,479 jobs, over 2,500 for workers with high school degrees or less, and cut unemployment by over one percentage point.

EMPLOYMENT

A \$365 million investment in clean energy in the 6th District would create a net expansion of 4,479 jobs there, based on the District's labor market in 2008 (see Table 2). This would be enough to reduce unemployment in the area by 1.2 percentage points, from 6.0 to 4.9 percent as of 2008. A reduction in unemployment of this amount could, in turn, lead to a rise in the average wage for workers in the area of over two percent.

The impact would be particularly strong for workers with lower levels of education. In Table 3, we categorize the jobs that would be added by investing in clean energy according to three categories: 'college degree jobs,' requiring at least a B.A. degree; 'some college jobs,' requiring some college but not a B.A.; and 'high school or less jobs.' This last category includes jobs that tend to offer decent opportunities for advancement and higher wages over time, such as jobs in construction, manufacturing and transportation. These jobs are in contrast to 'high school or less' jobs in hotels, restaurants, and personal service industries, where opportunities for advancement are much lower.

As Table 3 shows, this shift of \$365 million from fossil fuels to clean energy will produce over 2,500 new 'high school or less' jobs (roughly half of all jobs generated by clean-energy investments in the 6th District), including over 1,700 of those jobs that tend to offer opportunities for rising earnings over time.

TABLE 1. BENEFITS FROM A CLEAN-ENERGY INVESTMENT PROGRAM FOR LOW-INCOME HOUSEHOLDS

1) New jobs created	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4,479 new jobs overall • 2,512 jobs for workers with high school degrees or less
2) Falling unemployment produces rising wages	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Earnings could rise 2.4% for low-income workers as unemployment in Tennessee's 6th Congressional District falls by 1.2 percentage points
3) Benefits of retrofitting buildings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retrofits could reduce living costs by about 2%
4) Improved public transportation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increasing public transit use could reduce living costs by 1-4% for households near urban centers • Households that forego the use of one car could reduce living costs by about 10%

TENNESSEE CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT 6 (PAGE 2)

BUILDING RETROFITS

Tennessee’s 6th District has a relatively new housing stock and a warm climate. This means the opportunities for achieving energy savings through building retrofits are lower than in other areas of the country. Still, it is possible that energy costs for the existing housing stock could fall enough for homeowners and renters to achieve energy savings in the range of two percent of their overall incomes.

In Tennessee’s 6th Congressional District, homeowners and renters could save about 2% of their income by investing in retrofits, and 1-4% of their living costs through increased access to public transportation.

For the 72 percent of District residents who own their homes, retrofits (such as replacing windows or upgrading insulation) could be facilitated by organizations such as banks, utilities or non-profit community groups who could provide financing and management, thereby relieving individuals of the need to take the initiative and bear the up-front costs of arranging retrofits of their homes. For the 28 percent of households who rent, policies will need to ensure that renters, not just landlords, receive benefits from energy efficiency investments. Renters who pay utility bills directly would see their bills fall in proportion to the overall energy savings, sharing the benefit with their landlords. Renters in subsidized housing, who typically do not pay for their utilities directly, should see their fixed rents reduced proportionally to the reduction in energy costs.

PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

Households in the 6th District could save up to 1 - 4 percent of their incomes if they increase their use of public transportation to between 25 percent and 50 percent of their local travel. Households that forego the use of one car could reduce their living costs by roughly 10 percent.

Tennessee’s 6th District currently has limited public transportation availability, in part because of the low population density of the area. A clean energy investment agenda would therefore be most effective if focused in the most densely populated areas within the 6th District, where it could provide a significant improvement in the living standard for some low-income households. Experiences in the region with the joint federal, state and local government Job Access and Reverse Commute program may offer useful lessons on how to expand public transportation in ways that are most beneficial to low-income people.

TABLE 2. NET EMPLOYMENT EXPANSION THROUGH \$365 MILLION SHIFT FROM FOSSIL FUELS TO CLEAN ENERGY (BASED ON 2008 LABOR MARKET)

Job creation	4,479 jobs
Unemployment rate before clean-energy investments	6.0%
Unemployment rate after clean-energy investments	4.9%

source: 2004-2008 Current Population Survey; Bureau of Labor Statistics 2008, IMPLAN.

TABLE 3. BREAKDOWN OF NET JOB EXPANSION BY FORMAL EDUCATION CREDENTIALS

College degree jobs • B.A. or above • \$22.70 average wage	1,028 (22.9% of clean-energy jobs)
Some college jobs • some college but not B.A. • \$14.30 average wage	939 (21.0% of clean-energy jobs)
High school or less jobs • high school degree or less • \$12.50 average wage	2,512 (56.1% of clean-energy jobs)
High school or less jobs with decent earnings potential • \$13.90 average wage	1,756 (39.2% of clean-energy jobs)

source: 2004-2008 Current Population Survey; IMPLAN.

The full report from which the data in this fact sheet are drawn can be found at www.peri.umass.edu.

Media inquiries can be addressed to:

PERI: peri@peri.umass.edu

NRDC: moko@nrdc.org

Green For All: tomljanovic@sunshinesachs.com

More information on these organizations can be found at www.peri.umass.edu / www.nrdc.org / www.greenforall.org

