

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	NET	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	NON COLL	GRAD	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	CHICAGO	DMA	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B
SPLIT A	101	53	47	50	36	15	76	25	38	15	38	10	71	30	33	20	38	9	19	27	21	22	12	101	0
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																									
One of the top priorities	52%	46%	58%	57%	47%	47%	51%	55%	43%	54%	58%	58%	54%	47%	46%	46%	60%	49%	47%	58%	22%	57%	88%	52%	-
Important but not top	40%	42%	37%	36%	43%	46%	40%	39%	44%	38%	36%	42%	35%	53%	35%	53%	34%	51%	43%	38%	53%	43%	8%	40%	-
A lower priority	8%	12%	4%	7%	9%	7%	9%	5%	13%	9%	4%	-	11%	-	19%	-	4%	-	10%	4%	24%	-	-	8%	-
Not a priority	*	*	1%	1%	*	*	1%	*	*	*	1%	-	1%	*	-	*	1%	-	*	-	-	-	4%	*	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	88%	96%	92%	91%	93%	91%	95%	87%	91%	95%	100%	88%	100%	81%	100%	95%	100%	90%	96%	76%	100%	96%	92%	-
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	*	*	1%	1%	*	*	1%	*	*	*	1%	-	1%	*	-	*	1%	-	*	-	-	-	4%	*	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE				POC	**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**	
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB
SPLIT A	101	76	15	9	2	25	40	36	8	7	4	5	14	11	76	40	36	53	23	49	27	42	6	28	29	34	13
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																											
One of the top priorities	52%	50%	43%	99%	-	57%	45%	55%	34%	52%	100%	99%	49%	68%	50%	45%	55%	47%	57%	52%	46%	58%	42%	40%	56%	52%	31%
Important but not top	40%	45%	28%	1%	100%	24%	49%	41%	16%	40%	-	1%	22%	27%	45%	49%	41%	48%	38%	40%	54%	42%	51%	48%	44%	45%	47%
A lower priority	8%	5%	30%	-	-	18%	6%	3%	51%	8%	-	-	29%	5%	5%	6%	3%	4%	6%	7%	-	-	-	12%	-	3%	18%
Not a priority	*	1%	-	-	-	-	*	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	*	1%	1%	*	1%	*	-	7%	*	-	-	4%
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	95%	70%	100%	100%	82%	94%	96%	49%	92%	100%	100%	71%	95%	95%	94%	96%	95%	94%	92%	100%	100%	93%	88%	100%	97%	78%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	*	1%	-	-	-	-	*	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	1%	*	1%	1%	*	1%	*	-	7%	*	-	-	4%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
SPLIT A	101	56	8	37	30	26	4	3	19	18	36	20	6	2	25	13	38	47	16	48	41	11
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																						
One of the top priorities	52%	59%	54%	41%	46%	73%	28%	88%	50%	32%	53%	70%	48%	75%	53%	18%	54%	57%	31%	56%	46%	54%
Important but not top	40%	37%	40%	45%	47%	25%	72%	-	28%	62%	40%	30%	52%	-	35%	63%	39%	37%	51%	40%	39%	42%
A lower priority	8%	5%	-	14%	7%	2%	-	-	22%	6%	7%	-	-	-	12%	18%	7%	6%	15%	4%	14%	-
Not a priority	*	-	5%	*	-	-	-	12%	*	-	-	-	-	25%	-	*	-	-	3%	-	*	4%
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	95%	95%	86%	93%	98%	100%	88%	78%	94%	93%	100%	100%	75%	88%	81%	93%	94%	82%	96%	86%	96%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	*	-	5%	*	-	-	-	12%	*	-	-	-	-	25%	-	*	-	-	3%	-	*	4%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
SPLIT A	101	42	13	55	72	15	87	69	22	92	99	1	46	54	0	32	47	22		26
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																				
One of the top priorities	52%	47%	69%	52%	57%	22%	51%	59%	33%	53%	52%	59%	73%	34%	-	73%	44%	37%		79%
Important but not top	40%	44%	17%	37%	38%	56%	41%	35%	54%	40%	40%	2%	25%	53%	-	25%	45%	50%		21%
A lower priority	8%	9%	14%	10%	4%	21%	7%	6%	13%	7%	8%	-	2%	13%	-	2%	11%	11%		-
Not a priority	*	-	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%	-	*	100%	-	*	2%		-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	91%	86%	90%	96%	79%	93%	94%	87%	92%	92%	61%	98%	87%	-	98%	89%	87%		100%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	*	-	*	*	-	*	*	*	*	*	*	39%	-	*	100%	-	*	2%		-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6A). SPLIT A

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT A	101	24	15	62	18	39	44	41	59	55	30	16	58	41	56	38	6
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																	
One of the top priorities	52%	48%	69%	49%	66%	60%	38%	46%	56%	54%	68%	15%	45%	59%	60%	46%	16%
Important but not top	40%	47%	23%	41%	32%	31%	51%	44%	37%	35%	25%	85%	45%	34%	31%	49%	67%
A lower priority	8%	4%	9%	9%	-	8%	11%	10%	6%	11%	7%	-	9%	7%	8%	6%	18%
Not a priority	*	*	-	1%	2%	*	*	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	95%	91%	90%	98%	92%	89%	90%	93%	89%	93%	100%	90%	93%	91%	94%	82%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	*	*	-	1%	2%	*	*	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+	***NET** <55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	NON COLL	COLL	GRAD	***MEN** NON COLL	***WOM** NON COLL	***CHICAGO CHICAGO	DMA	REST	***DOWNSTATE** NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	***A/B** A	B	
SPLIT B	100	41	59	35	30	35	41	59	19	22	22	37	58	42	20	22	39	20	22	33	19	17	11	0	100
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																									
One of the top priorities	47%	33%	56%	44%	69%	30%	48%	46%	19%	46%	73%	46%	50%	42%	33%	34%	59%	51%	48%	47%	26%	71%	42%	-	47%
Important but not top	36%	50%	26%	42%	20%	42%	40%	33%	70%	32%	13%	33%	40%	30%	52%	47%	33%	12%	39%	46%	17%	20%	56%	-	36%
A lower priority	10%	17%	5%	11%	9%	10%	9%	11%	11%	22%	8%	4%	8%	13%	15%	19%	4%	7%	13%	5%	24%	7%	-	-	10%
Not a priority	7%	-	12%	2%	-	18%	2%	10%	-	-	4%	16%	1%	15%	-	-	2%	30%	-	3%	33%	-	-	-	7%
Should not be done at all	*	-	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	1%	2%	-	*
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	83%	83%	82%	86%	90%	73%	87%	79%	89%	78%	86%	80%	90%	72%	85%	81%	92%	63%	87%	93%	43%	91%	98%	-	83%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	7%	-	13%	3%	1%	18%	3%	10%	-	-	6%	16%	2%	15%	-	-	3%	30%	-	3%	33%	1%	2%	-	7%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE				POC	**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**	
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB
SPLIT B	100	60	19	23	6	40	25	35	3	16	12	11	16	24	60	25	35	23	37	33	27	31	7	22	21	24	15
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																											
One of the top priorities	47%	52%	36%	47%	64%	40%	49%	54%	31%	37%	1%	100%	8%	60%	52%	49%	54%	54%	51%	54%	49%	47%	88%	47%	40%	67%	44%
Important but not top	36%	35%	25%	41%	36%	36%	35%	36%	61%	18%	77%	-	73%	11%	35%	35%	36%	29%	40%	40%	30%	44%	-	35%	51%	21%	37%
A lower priority	10%	11%	5%	12%	-	9%	16%	7%	8%	4%	22%	-	18%	3%	11%	16%	7%	12%	10%	3%	21%	9%	-	17%	5%	12%	18%
Not a priority	7%	1%	33%	-	-	15%	-	2%	-	39%	-	-	-	25%	1%	-	2%	4%	-	3%	-	-	12%	-	4%	-	-
Should not be done at all	*	*	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	1%	*	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	83%	87%	61%	88%	100%	75%	84%	90%	92%	55%	78%	100%	82%	71%	87%	84%	90%	83%	90%	94%	79%	91%	88%	82%	91%	88%	81%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	7%	2%	34%	-	-	16%	-	3%	-	41%	-	-	-	26%	2%	-	3%	5%	-	3%	-	-	12%	1%	4%	-	2%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
SPLIT B	100	55	8	37	18	37	3	5	20	18	35	20	8	0	19	18	37	43	20	56	31	13
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																						
One of the top priorities	47%	48%	87%	37%	43%	50%	100%	79%	14%	63%	33%	74%	87%	-	41%	33%	34%	63%	35%	50%	33%	66%
Important but not top	36%	34%	3%	45%	47%	28%	-	4%	60%	28%	44%	16%	3%	-	38%	52%	42%	24%	49%	28%	54%	26%
A lower priority	10%	7%	-	17%	10%	5%	-	-	26%	7%	5%	10%	-	-	21%	14%	4%	13%	15%	11%	13%	-
Not a priority	7%	11%	11%	-	-	17%	-	17%	-	-	17%	-	11%	-	-	-	19%	-	-	11%	-	6%
Should not be done at all	*	*	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	*	-	2%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	83%	82%	89%	82%	90%	78%	100%	83%	74%	91%	77%	90%	89%	-	79%	85%	76%	87%	84%	78%	87%	92%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	7%	12%	11%	1%	-	17%	-	17%	-	1%	18%	-	11%	-	-	1%	19%	-	1%	11%	-	8%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS			
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV	
SPLIT B	100	29	6	35	62	20	82	63	15	77	94	7	40	58	3	33	50	17		32	
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																					
One of the top priorities	47%	46%	35%	44%	53%	23%	46%	44%	48%	45%	49%	22%	63%	38%	-	66%	35%	43%		68%	
Important but not top	36%	47%	49%	48%	32%	37%	33%	40%	14%	35%	35%	45%	22%	45%	33%	13%	50%	36%		13%	
A lower priority	10%	6%	-	5%	5%	34%	12%	6%	32%	11%	9%	29%	-	14%	67%	2%	12%	21%		-	
Not a priority	7%	-	15%	2%	10%	4%	9%	10%	6%	9%	7%	-	15%	1%	-	19%	2%	-		19%	
Should not be done at all	*	1%	-	1%	-	1%	*	*	-	*	*	4%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-		-	
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	83%	93%	85%	92%	85%	60%	79%	84%	62%	80%	84%	67%	85%	83%	33%	79%	86%	79%		81%	
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	7%	1%	15%	3%	10%	6%	9%	10%	6%	9%	8%	4%	15%	2%	-	19%	3%	-		19%	

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q6B). SPLIT B

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT B	100	27	11	62	22	31	47	18	83	65	21	14	67	26	43	48	9
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS																	
One of the top priorities	47%	37%	28%	55%	58%	35%	50%	65%	43%	48%	35%	57%	39%	58%	55%	41%	37%
Important but not top	36%	46%	31%	32%	42%	55%	20%	19%	39%	31%	53%	29%	40%	30%	31%	38%	41%
A lower priority	10%	17%	39%	2%	-	7%	17%	11%	10%	11%	7%	13%	11%	11%	11%	7%	22%
Not a priority	7%	-	-	11%	-	3%	13%	5%	7%	9%	4%	-	9%	-	2%	13%	-
Should not be done at all	*	-	2%	*	-	1%	*	-	1%	*	1%	-	*	1%	1%	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	83%	83%	59%	87%	100%	90%	69%	84%	82%	80%	88%	87%	80%	88%	86%	80%	78%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	7%	-	2%	12%	-	4%	13%	5%	8%	10%	5%	-	10%	1%	3%	13%	-

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																						
One of the top priorities	63%	60%	69%	67%	53%	66%	60%	78%	67%	68%	56%	68%	69%	75%	61%	75%	56%	65%	76%	57%	72%	68%
Important but not top	29%	30%	28%	27%	32%	28%	40%	17%	29%	25%	31%	28%	31%	-	29%	25%	31%	29%	23%	33%	23%	29%
A lower priority	6%	9%	-	3%	14%	5%	-	-	-	6%	12%	4%	-	-	5%	-	11%	4%	-	9%	3%	-
Not a priority	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	-	5%	5%	1%	2%	-	-	25%	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	90%	97%	94%	85%	94%	100%	95%	95%	93%	87%	96%	100%	75%	90%	100%	87%	93%	99%	89%	94%	97%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	1%	3%	3%	1%	1%	-	5%	5%	1%	2%	-	-	25%	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	3%
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																						
One of the top priorities	43%	60%	54%	15%	57%	63%	58%	50%	21%	7%	60%	61%	51%	75%	19%	8%	60%	40%	17%	62%	15%	43%
Important but not top	33%	32%	13%	38%	28%	35%	-	25%	27%	51%	35%	26%	15%	-	46%	28%	35%	34%	25%	33%	31%	35%
A lower priority	13%	3%	30%	24%	5%	2%	42%	20%	31%	15%	4%	2%	34%	-	30%	14%	5%	19%	14%	4%	25%	16%
Not a priority	9%	4%	3%	16%	10%	-	-	5%	12%	21%	-	12%	-	25%	-	40%	-	5%	35%	-	23%	2%
Should not be done at all	3%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	-	8%	5%	-	-	-	-	4%	11%	-	2%	9%	-	6%	4%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	76%	92%	67%	53%	85%	98%	58%	75%	48%	59%	96%	87%	66%	75%	65%	36%	95%	74%	41%	96%	46%	79%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	11%	4%	3%	23%	10%	-	-	5%	20%	26%	-	12%	-	25%	4%	50%	-	7%	45%	-	29%	6%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																				
One of the top priorities	63%	63%	69%	64%	60%	71%	63%	63%	53%	61%	64%	61%	65%	62%	87%	74%	59%	59%		73%
Important but not top	29%	34%	19%	31%	32%	22%	30%	30%	36%	31%	29%	28%	30%	28%	-	25%	29%	34%		26%
A lower priority	6%	3%	-	2%	7%	-	6%	7%	6%	7%	6%	-	4%	7%	-	1%	10%	6%		1%
Not a priority	2%	*	12%	3%	*	7%	1%	*	5%	1%	1%	11%	-	3%	13%	-	3%	2%		-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	97%	88%	95%	93%	93%	93%	93%	89%	92%	92%	89%	96%	90%	87%	99%	87%	92%		99%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	*	12%	3%	*	7%	1%	*	5%	1%	1%	11%	-	3%	13%	-	3%	2%		-
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																				
One of the top priorities	43%	43%	6%	35%	52%	10%	43%	47%	36%	44%	45%	-	64%	28%	-	70%	35%	20%		72%
Important but not top	33%	32%	65%	39%	34%	36%	35%	31%	36%	32%	33%	29%	28%	37%	-	27%	40%	25%		24%
A lower priority	13%	14%	9%	13%	6%	31%	11%	9%	25%	12%	13%	5%	7%	18%	-	3%	19%	14%		3%
Not a priority	9%	11%	15%	12%	8%	11%	9%	10%	4%	8%	7%	49%	1%	13%	71%	1%	5%	30%		1%
Should not be done at all	3%	-	5%	1%	*	12%	2%	4%	-	3%	2%	17%	-	4%	29%	-	1%	12%		-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	76%	74%	71%	74%	86%	47%	78%	78%	71%	76%	78%	29%	93%	65%	-	96%	75%	45%		96%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	11%	11%	20%	13%	8%	23%	11%	13%	4%	11%	9%	66%	1%	17%	100%	1%	6%	42%		1%

(Series) Priorities for federal government (B2Q11,B2Q12).

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS	\$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME																	
One of the top priorities	63%	69%	67%	61%	66%	72%	55%	75%	59%	57%	77%	67%	64%	58%	58%	71%	54%
Important but not top	29%	31%	29%	27%	29%	20%	35%	20%	32%	34%	19%	22%	28%	33%	33%	25%	23%
A lower priority	6%	-	4%	9%	4%	6%	7%	4%	7%	6%	3%	11%	8%	4%	6%	3%	22%
Not a priority	2%	-	-	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	*	5%	3%	1%	-
Should not be done at all	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	92%	100%	96%	88%	95%	93%	91%	95%	91%	92%	95%	89%	92%	91%	91%	96%	78%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	2%	-	-	3%	1%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-	*	5%	3%	1%	-
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE																	
One of the top priorities	43%	25%	64%	46%	46%	41%	43%	37%	45%	45%	43%	33%	45%	41%	46%	42%	29%
Important but not top	33%	26%	6%	41%	24%	27%	41%	36%	32%	32%	33%	34%	27%	41%	34%	28%	57%
A lower priority	13%	21%	8%	11%	8%	21%	9%	19%	11%	15%	9%	13%	13%	14%	11%	18%	-
Not a priority	9%	22%	21%	*	15%	9%	5%	7%	9%	6%	8%	19%	12%	4%	9%	7%	13%
Should not be done at all	3%	6%	1%	1%	6%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	6%	1%	3%	*	*	5%	1%
HIGH PRIORITY (NET)	76%	51%	70%	87%	70%	68%	84%	73%	77%	78%	77%	67%	72%	82%	80%	70%	86%
NOT A PRIORITY (NET)	11%	28%	22%	2%	21%	11%	7%	8%	12%	7%	15%	20%	15%	4%	10%	12%	14%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT					
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+55+	***NET**	***MEN***	55+	18-54	55+	***WOM***	NON COLL	GRAD	***MEN**	NON COLL	***WOM**	NON COLL	****CHICAGO	DMA****	****DOWNSTATE****	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	***A/B**	A
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39				39	23	101	100
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																												
Very affordable	23%	32%	15%	23%	22%	24%	22%	25%	29%	37%	15%	15%	20%	28%	26%	40%	16%	12%	45%	10%	16%				12%	50%	32%	15%
Somewhat affordable	45%	42%	49%	48%	44%	42%	49%	40%	44%	38%	54%	41%	43%	50%	43%	41%	43%	64%	28%	54%	50%				62%	17%	43%	48%
Somewhat unaffordable	16%	13%	20%	19%	19%	9%	18%	15%	16%	8%	20%	20%	20%	10%	18%	6%	21%	17%	5%	21%	14%				17%	27%	14%	19%
Very unaffordable	8%	7%	8%	5%	11%	8%	8%	7%	10%	2%	6%	12%	10%	3%	9%	5%	11%	1%	15%	5%	9%				6%	3%	7%	9%
Don't know enough to say	7%	6%	8%	4%	4%	17%	3%	13%	1%	14%	5%	12%	7%	8%	4%	8%	9%	7%	6%	10%	11%				3%	2%	5%	9%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	68%	74%	63%	72%	66%	66%	71%	64%	74%	75%	69%	56%	63%	78%	69%	81%	59%	75%	73%	64%	66%				74%	67%	74%	63%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	24%	20%	28%	24%	30%	17%	26%	22%	26%	11%	26%	32%	30%	14%	27%	11%	32%	18%	20%	26%	23%				23%	31%	20%	28%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	=====						=====								=====												
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	***PARTY IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																											
Very affordable	23%	28%	14%	13%	49%	14%	45%	12%	12%	15%	-	27%	5%	21%	28%	45%	12%	29%	26%	22%	36%	33%	33%	19%	37%	18%	30%
Somewhat affordable	45%	46%	32%	46%	51%	45%	37%	53%	10%	42%	64%	27%	53%	39%	46%	37%	53%	53%	36%	45%	46%	41%	40%	54%	37%	59%	34%
Somewhat unaffordable	16%	16%	20%	20%	-	17%	8%	23%	25%	17%	25%	15%	23%	12%	16%	8%	23%	13%	20%	20%	10%	12%	15%	23%	13%	12%	31%
Very unaffordable	8%	3%	26%	14%	-	18%	4%	3%	40%	20%	11%	17%	15%	20%	3%	4%	3%	5%	1%	4%	1%	4%	-	2%	1%	6%	-
Don't know enough to say	7%	8%	8%	7%	-	7%	7%	8%	13%	6%	-	15%	5%	8%	8%	7%	8%	1%	16%	8%	8%	11%	12%	2%	13%	5%	5%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	68%	73%	45%	59%	100%	59%	82%	65%	22%	57%	64%	54%	58%	60%	73%	82%	65%	81%	63%	68%	81%	73%	74%	73%	74%	77%	64%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	24%	19%	46%	34%	-	35%	12%	26%	65%	37%	36%	32%	38%	32%	19%	12%	26%	18%	21%	24%	11%	16%	15%	25%	14%	18%	31%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	=====			=====						=====						=====			=====			
	TOT	IND		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
----	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	----	----	----	
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																						
Very affordable	23%	28%	31%	14%	36%	22%	58%	6%	23%	5%	28%	27%	26%	75%	8%	24%	28%	18%	24%	24%	22%	20%
Somewhat affordable	45%	41%	37%	53%	36%	45%	30%	44%	51%	56%	42%	40%	41%	-	61%	42%	40%	51%	41%	47%	44%	45%
Somewhat unaffordable	16%	9%	17%	27%	8%	10%	-	33%	21%	33%	9%	9%	19%	-	28%	26%	11%	18%	24%	8%	26%	24%
Very unaffordable	8%	11%	-	4%	10%	12%	-	-	5%	3%	8%	17%	-	-	3%	6%	8%	8%	7%	9%	7%	4%
Don't know enough to say	7%	10%	15%	1%	10%	10%	12%	17%	-	3%	12%	8%	14%	25%	-	3%	12%	4%	4%	12%	1%	7%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	68%	69%	68%	68%	72%	67%	88%	50%	74%	61%	70%	67%	67%	75%	69%	65%	69%	70%	65%	71%	66%	65%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	24%	21%	17%	31%	18%	23%	-	33%	26%	36%	18%	26%	19%	-	31%	32%	19%	26%	31%	17%	33%	28%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																				
Very affordable	23%	24%	25%	24%	25%	21%	24%	27%	15%	25%	23%	25%	29%	18%	58%	34%	10%	36%		30%
Somewhat affordable	45%	42%	31%	40%	46%	39%	45%	50%	35%	47%	46%	37%	50%	42%	29%	47%	48%	37%		50%
Somewhat unaffordable	16%	17%	23%	18%	14%	28%	17%	11%	27%	15%	17%	9%	4%	26%	-	3%	28%	11%		3%
Very unaffordable	8%	11%	17%	12%	8%	5%	8%	5%	17%	8%	7%	24%	7%	9%	-	6%	9%	6%		5%
Don't know enough to say	7%	6%	4%	5%	7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	6%	7%	5%	11%	5%	13%	9%	5%	10%		10%
AFFORDABLE (NET)	68%	66%	56%	64%	70%	60%	68%	77%	50%	71%	69%	62%	78%	60%	87%	81%	58%	73%		81%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	24%	28%	40%	31%	23%	33%	25%	16%	44%	23%	24%	33%	11%	35%	-	9%	37%	17%		9%

Q13. Amount paid regularly for water utilities.

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
Q13. AMOUNT PAID REGULARLY FOR WATER UTILITIES																	
Very affordable	23%	24%	21%	23%	27%	21%	23%	25%	22%	22%	24%	26%	22%	23%	25%	19%	34%
Somewhat affordable	45%	61%	36%	41%	43%	40%	51%	43%	47%	46%	48%	40%	47%	46%	37%	58%	34%
Somewhat unaffordable	16%	14%	22%	16%	20%	21%	11%	24%	13%	14%	20%	21%	19%	11%	17%	15%	20%
Very unaffordable	8%	-	7%	11%	3%	14%	5%	8%	8%	8%	5%	12%	9%	6%	9%	6%	12%
Don't know enough to say	7%	1%	14%	9%	7%	3%	10%	1%	10%	11%	3%	-	3%	14%	12%	3%	-
AFFORDABLE (NET)	68%	85%	57%	64%	70%	61%	73%	68%	69%	68%	72%	66%	69%	69%	62%	76%	67%
NOT AFFORDABLE (NET)	24%	14%	30%	27%	23%	35%	16%	31%	21%	21%	25%	34%	28%	17%	26%	21%	33%

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+	***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL	GRAD	***MEN**		***WOM**		***CHICAGO DMA***			***DOWNSTATE***		***A/B**		
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100	
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																										
Getting better	25%	35%	17%	33%	13%	29%	29%	19%	41%	25%	18%	15%	25%	25%	37%	33%	18%	13%	33%	31%	12%	16%	34%	29%	21%	
Staying the same	48%	42%	53%	43%	56%	46%	45%	52%	40%	46%	51%	56%	42%	59%	34%	53%	48%	67%	49%	39%	53%	71%	24%	43%	53%	
Getting worse	27%	23%	30%	24%	31%	25%	25%	29%	19%	29%	31%	29%	32%	16%	30%	14%	34%	19%	19%	30%	35%	13%	42%	28%	26%	
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																										
Getting better	14%	19%	10%	17%	10%	16%	18%	10%	24%	12%	11%	8%	20%	4%	30%	6%	13%	2%	20%	19%	-	14%	17%	16%	13%	
Staying the same	54%	50%	58%	49%	60%	55%	50%	60%	47%	53%	53%	65%	47%	66%	39%	62%	53%	71%	52%	48%	68%	61%	39%	52%	57%	
Getting worse	32%	31%	32%	34%	30%	29%	32%	31%	28%	35%	36%	27%	33%	29%	31%	31%	34%	27%	29%	33%	32%	25%	45%	33%	30%	
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																										
Getting better	29%	42%	18%	37%	20%	28%	33%	24%	44%	38%	23%	12%	23%	41%	29%	58%	19%	17%	42%	29%	15%	31%	32%	38%	21%	
Staying the same	49%	40%	58%	48%	48%	53%	48%	51%	37%	44%	58%	57%	50%	49%	42%	38%	55%	64%	41%	46%	57%	59%	40%	40%	59%	
Getting worse	21%	18%	24%	14%	32%	18%	19%	25%	18%	18%	19%	31%	27%	10%	29%	5%	26%	19%	17%	25%	28%	10%	28%	22%	20%	

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																						
Getting better	25%	20%	8%	36%	26%	16%	2%	13%	52%	19%	22%	17%	9%	-	23%	54%	22%	18%	50%	21%	33%	19%
Staying the same	48%	59%	55%	31%	63%	55%	58%	52%	13%	51%	57%	62%	53%	75%	40%	19%	56%	51%	24%	56%	38%	46%
Getting worse	27%	21%	37%	33%	11%	29%	40%	34%	34%	31%	21%	22%	39%	25%	37%	26%	21%	32%	26%	23%	29%	34%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																						
Getting better	14%	9%	13%	23%	13%	6%	2%	23%	31%	14%	9%	10%	14%	-	20%	26%	10%	15%	23%	10%	22%	10%
Staying the same	54%	58%	61%	47%	62%	54%	58%	63%	32%	63%	58%	57%	59%	75%	36%	63%	58%	48%	63%	55%	53%	51%
Getting worse	32%	33%	26%	30%	25%	40%	40%	14%	37%	23%	33%	33%	26%	25%	44%	11%	33%	37%	14%	35%	25%	38%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																						
Getting better	29%	35%	26%	21%	51%	23%	30%	23%	33%	9%	40%	27%	29%	-	9%	39%	40%	18%	36%	35%	25%	17%
Staying the same	49%	46%	56%	52%	43%	49%	58%	54%	33%	73%	46%	46%	54%	75%	59%	42%	45%	56%	42%	43%	55%	62%
Getting worse	21%	18%	18%	27%	6%	28%	12%	23%	34%	18%	13%	27%	17%	25%	32%	19%	15%	26%	23%	22%	20%	21%

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																				
Getting better	25%	24%	43%	28%	24%	35%	26%	24%	26%	25%	24%	62%	23%	25%	86%	28%	22%	28%		27%
Staying the same	48%	38%	41%	39%	49%	42%	47%	46%	45%	46%	50%	8%	48%	50%	1%	53%	44%	51%		53%
Getting worse	27%	38%	16%	33%	27%	23%	27%	29%	30%	29%	27%	30%	29%	26%	13%	19%	34%	20%		21%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																				
Getting better	14%	22%	9%	20%	13%	14%	13%	15%	9%	14%	13%	40%	9%	16%	86%	17%	11%	19%		13%
Staying the same	54%	48%	40%	46%	55%	56%	55%	52%	56%	53%	54%	49%	47%	61%	1%	50%	53%	63%		51%
Getting worse	32%	29%	51%	34%	32%	30%	32%	33%	35%	33%	32%	11%	44%	23%	13%	33%	36%	18%		36%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																				
Getting better	29%	34%	38%	35%	34%	30%	33%	29%	27%	29%	28%	51%	27%	30%	59%	34%	23%	37%		31%
Staying the same	49%	39%	44%	40%	45%	46%	45%	53%	41%	50%	50%	22%	47%	52%	28%	46%	51%	51%		48%
Getting worse	21%	27%	18%	25%	21%	25%	22%	18%	32%	21%	21%	28%	26%	18%	13%	19%	27%	11%		21%

(Series) Getting better of worse (B3Q14,B3Q15,B3Q16) .

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS	\$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B3Q14. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER ACROSS THE COUNTRY																	
Getting better	25%	39%	12%	22%	23%	27%	24%	16%	29%	25%	21%	34%	22%	33%	22%	22%	65%
Staying the same	48%	32%	59%	52%	42%	53%	47%	60%	43%	53%	38%	45%	50%	42%	44%	57%	18%
Getting worse	27%	29%	30%	25%	35%	19%	29%	24%	28%	22%	41%	21%	28%	25%	34%	20%	17%
B3Q15. ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER IN LOW-INCOME COMMUNITIES																	
Getting better	14%	28%	5%	10%	9%	21%	12%	16%	14%	19%	2%	17%	14%	15%	14%	13%	28%
Staying the same	54%	44%	67%	56%	55%	54%	54%	56%	53%	53%	58%	52%	57%	46%	49%	60%	54%
Getting worse	32%	28%	28%	34%	36%	25%	34%	27%	33%	28%	40%	31%	28%	39%	37%	28%	18%
B3Q16. THE LEVEL AND FREQUENCY OF TOXIC CHEMICALS SUCH AS LEAD IN DRINKING WATER SYSTEMS																	
Getting better	29%	24%	32%	31%	13%	42%	27%	25%	31%	27%	29%	38%	25%	40%	30%	21%	74%
Staying the same	49%	63%	45%	44%	67%	34%	53%	58%	46%	54%	45%	39%	52%	42%	47%	59%	9%
Getting worse	21%	13%	23%	24%	19%	24%	20%	17%	23%	19%	26%	23%	23%	18%	23%	20%	17%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+55+	***NET**	***MEN***	55+	18-54	55+	NON COLL	GRAD	***MEN**	NON COLL	***WOM**	NON COLL	****CHICAGO	DMA****	****DOWNSTATE****	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	***A/B**	A	B	
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100	
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																										
Strongly support	74%	67%	81%	72%	69%	85%	69%	81%	60%	77%	78%	85%	75%	72%	70%	62%	79%	87%	70%	85%	75%	71%	57%	72%	76%	
Somewhat support	21%	27%	16%	21%	27%	15%	23%	19%	29%	23%	17%	15%	22%	20%	25%	29%	20%	8%	17%	15%	19%	26%	41%	24%	18%	
Somewhat oppose	4%	7%	2%	7%	4%	-	7%	-	11%	-	4%	-	3%	7%	5%	9%	1%	5%	12%	-	5%	4%	-	3%	5%	
Strongly oppose	*	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	*	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	*	-	
SUPPORT (NET)	96%	93%	97%	93%	96%	100%	92%	100%	89%	100%	95%	100%	97%	93%	95%	91%	98%	95%	88%	100%	95%	96%	98%	97%	95%	
OPPOSE (NET)	4%	7%	3%	7%	4%	-	8%	-	11%	-	5%	-	3%	7%	5%	9%	2%	5%	12%	-	5%	4%	2%	3%	5%	

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**	***IDEOLOGY**		
																		<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																											
Strongly support	74%	73%	70%	86%	78%	77%	70%	76%	31%	88%	72%	100%	60%	92%	73%	70%	76%	68%	79%	73%	73%	80%	67%	64%	83%	67%	68%
Somewhat support	21%	24%	15%	9%	22%	15%	27%	22%	29%	9%	16%	*	26%	6%	24%	27%	22%	27%	21%	27%	21%	18%	30%	32%	14%	33%	24%
Somewhat oppose	4%	3%	15%	6%	-	8%	3%	2%	40%	3%	11%	-	15%	2%	3%	3%	2%	5%	-	-	6%	2%	-	4%	3%	-	7%
Strongly oppose	*	*	-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	-	1%	1%	-	1%	-	-	3%	-	-	-	1%
SUPPORT (NET)	96%	97%	85%	94%	100%	92%	97%	97%	60%	97%	89%	100%	85%	98%	97%	97%	97%	95%	100%	99%	94%	98%	97%	96%	97%	100%	92%
OPPOSE (NET)	4%	3%	15%	6%	-	8%	3%	3%	40%	3%	11%	-	15%	2%	3%	3%	3%	5%	-	1%	6%	2%	3%	4%	3%	-	8%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON							
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																						
Strongly support	74%	82%	70%	64%	70%	91%	57%	82%	64%	64%	83%	79%	70%	75%	72%	53%	83%	74%	57%	84%	58%	78%
Somewhat support	21%	14%	27%	30%	24%	7%	43%	13%	27%	34%	11%	21%	30%	-	26%	35%	12%	25%	31%	12%	37%	17%
Somewhat oppose	4%	4%	-	6%	5%	2%	-	-	10%	2%	6%	-	-	-	2%	12%	5%	1%	10%	4%	5%	3%
Strongly oppose	*	-	3%	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	25%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	-	2%
SUPPORT (NET)	96%	96%	97%	94%	95%	98%	100%	95%	90%	98%	94%	100%	100%	75%	98%	88%	95%	99%	88%	96%	95%	95%
OPPOSE (NET)	4%	4%	3%	6%	5%	2%	-	5%	10%	2%	6%	-	-	25%	2%	12%	5%	1%	12%	4%	5%	5%

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																				
Strongly support	74%	66%	64%	65%	82%	44%	74%	80%	63%	76%	76%	23%	97%	59%	-	92%	75%	43%		96%
Somewhat support	21%	29%	24%	28%	15%	44%	21%	17%	29%	20%	21%	17%	3%	35%	29%	8%	20%	46%		4%
Somewhat oppose	4%	5%	12%	7%	3%	12%	5%	3%	8%	4%	2%	54%	-	6%	58%	-	5%	9%		-
Strongly oppose	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	-	13%	-	-	1%		-
SUPPORT (NET)	96%	95%	88%	93%	97%	88%	95%	97%	92%	96%	98%	40%	100%	94%	29%	100%	95%	89%		100%
OPPOSE (NET)	4%	5%	12%	7%	3%	12%	5%	3%	8%	4%	2%	60%	-	6%	71%	-	5%	11%		-

(Series) List of provisions (B4Q28).

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD																	
Strongly support	74%	68%	66%	79%	67%	74%	78%	63%	79%	78%	64%	77%	74%	74%	75%	76%	60%
Somewhat support	21%	28%	30%	17%	32%	18%	19%	29%	18%	19%	31%	15%	21%	22%	23%	20%	15%
Somewhat oppose	4%	4%	4%	5%	-	8%	4%	7%	3%	3%	6%	8%	4%	4%	2%	3%	25%
Strongly oppose	*	-	-	*	1%	-	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	-	*	-	-
SUPPORT (NET)	96%	96%	96%	95%	99%	92%	96%	93%	97%	97%	94%	92%	95%	96%	98%	97%	75%
OPPOSE (NET)	4%	4%	4%	5%	1%	8%	4%	7%	3%	3%	6%	8%	5%	4%	2%	3%	25%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q29,B5Q30,B5Q31,B5Q33).

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K-		MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100				\$100K	LOWER	DLE
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly agree	58%	38%	76%	63%	57%	55%	62%	56%	59%	61%	58%	48%	55%	61%	67%	51%	44%
Somewhat agree	36%	57%	24%	31%	42%	44%	28%	33%	38%	36%	34%	44%	41%	30%	30%	40%	53%
Somewhat disagree	5%	6%	-	5%	-	2%	9%	10%	3%	3%	7%	8%	3%	8%	2%	8%	3%
Strongly disagree	1%	-	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	-	-	*	-	*	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	95%	94%	100%	94%	99%	98%	90%	89%	97%	96%	93%	92%	97%	92%	98%	91%	97%
DISAGREE (NET)	5%	6%	-	6%	1%	2%	10%	11%	3%	4%	7%	8%	3%	8%	2%	9%	3%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES																	
Strongly agree	58%	31%	62%	68%	51%	53%	64%	52%	61%	63%	52%	50%	52%	63%	66%	51%	45%
Somewhat agree	24%	26%	23%	23%	21%	24%	25%	29%	21%	24%	25%	18%	21%	31%	21%	26%	27%
Somewhat disagree	14%	33%	4%	9%	14%	22%	8%	5%	18%	11%	21%	15%	20%	5%	10%	16%	29%
Strongly disagree	4%	10%	12%	*	14%	1%	2%	14%	*	2%	2%	18%	7%	-	2%	7%	-
AGREE (NET)	82%	57%	85%	91%	73%	77%	89%	81%	82%	87%	77%	68%	73%	95%	87%	77%	71%
DISAGREE (NET)	18%	43%	15%	9%	27%	23%	11%	19%	18%	13%	23%	32%	27%	5%	13%	23%	29%
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER																	
Strongly agree	73%	64%	70%	77%	72%	74%	72%	62%	78%	78%	67%	63%	69%	78%	82%	66%	48%
Somewhat agree	23%	36%	24%	18%	27%	19%	25%	31%	20%	17%	31%	37%	28%	17%	13%	30%	52%
Somewhat disagree	3%	*	6%	4%	-	6%	2%	6%	2%	4%	2%	-	3%	4%	4%	2%	-
Strongly disagree	1%	*	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	-	-	*	1%	*	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	96%	100%	94%	95%	99%	94%	97%	93%	98%	95%	98%	100%	97%	95%	95%	97%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	4%	*	6%	5%	1%	6%	3%	7%	2%	5%	2%	-	3%	5%	5%	3%	-
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES																	
Strongly agree	67%	47%	62%	76%	62%	65%	70%	63%	68%	76%	52%	55%	62%	71%	78%	55%	54%
Somewhat agree	26%	40%	36%	17%	24%	28%	25%	31%	23%	17%	38%	38%	29%	21%	14%	37%	31%
Somewhat disagree	7%	14%	-	6%	13%	7%	5%	6%	8%	6%	11%	7%	8%	8%	7%	6%	15%
Strongly disagree	1%	*	2%	*	1%	1%	*	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	*	*	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	92%	86%	98%	93%	86%	93%	95%	94%	91%	93%	89%	93%	91%	92%	93%	93%	85%
DISAGREE (NET)	8%	14%	2%	7%	14%	7%	5%	6%	9%	7%	11%	7%	9%	8%	7%	7%	15%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A). SPLIT A

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT		
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+	***NET** <55	55+	***MEN*** 18-54	55+	***WOM*** 18-54	55+	NON COLL COLL	GRAD	***MEN** NON COLL	***WOM** NON COLL	***CHICAGO CHICAGO	DMA COLLAR	***DOWNSTATE*** REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	***A/B** A	B		
SPLIT A	101	53	47	50	36	15	76	25	38	15	38	10	71	30	33	20	38	9	19	27	21	22	12	101	0
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																									
Strongly agree	67%	61%	74%	69%	71%	52%	68%	65%	61%	63%	76%	68%	72%	56%	66%	54%	78%	62%	70%	81%	54%	53%	81%	67%	-
Somewhat agree	26%	31%	21%	26%	18%	44%	24%	33%	28%	37%	20%	26%	19%	43%	22%	46%	16%	38%	24%	19%	28%	41%	14%	26%	-
Somewhat disagree	5%	8%	2%	3%	10%	*	7%	*	11%	*	3%	-	7%	1%	12%	1%	3%	-	6%	-	17%	2%	1%	5%	-
Strongly disagree	1%	*	2%	1%	-	4%	1%	2%	-	*	2%	6%	2%	*	-	*	3%	-	*	-	-	3%	3%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	94%	92%	95%	96%	90%	96%	92%	98%	89%	100%	95%	94%	91%	99%	88%	99%	94%	100%	94%	100%	83%	95%	95%	94%	-
DISAGREE (NET)	6%	8%	5%	4%	10%	4%	8%	2%	11%	*	5%	6%	9%	1%	12%	1%	6%	-	6%	-	17%	5%	5%	6%	-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A). SPLIT A

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	***PARTY IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON
SPLIT A	101	76	15	9	2	25	40	36	8	7	4	5	14	11	76	40	36	53	23	49	27	42	6	28	29	34	13
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																											
Strongly agree	67%	66%	53%	99%	100%	71%	61%	72%	32%	75%	100%	99%	61%	83%	66%	61%	72%	67%	64%	73%	54%	77%	30%	58%	78%	63%	49%
Somewhat agree	26%	29%	30%	1%	-	19%	34%	22%	36%	25%	-	1%	20%	17%	29%	34%	22%	27%	33%	19%	46%	23%	63%	29%	22%	29%	42%
Somewhat disagree	5%	4%	17%	-	-	10%	4%	3%	33%	-	-	-	19%	-	4%	4%	3%	5%	*	5%	1%	-	-	10%	-	8%	1%
Strongly disagree	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	*	3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	2%	*	3%	1%	3%	2%	*	-	7%	3%	-	1%	8%
AGREE (NET)	94%	95%	83%	100%	100%	90%	96%	94%	67%	100%	100%	100%	81%	100%	95%	96%	94%	94%	97%	92%	99%	100%	93%	87%	100%	92%	91%
DISAGREE (NET)	6%	5%	17%	-	-	10%	4%	6%	33%	-	-	-	19%	-	5%	4%	6%	6%	3%	8%	1%	-	7%	13%	-	8%	9%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A). SPLIT A

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
SPLIT A	101	56	8	37	30	26	4	3	19	18	36	20	6	2	25	13	38	47	16	48	41	11
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																						
Strongly agree	67%	75%	34%	63%	64%	87%	28%	41%	64%	63%	73%	77%	23%	75%	68%	55%	73%	67%	57%	71%	62%	72%
Somewhat agree	26%	21%	61%	27%	27%	13%	72%	46%	27%	27%	20%	23%	77%	-	21%	39%	21%	28%	35%	24%	30%	23%
Somewhat disagree	5%	5%	-	7%	8%	-	-	-	9%	6%	7%	-	-	-	11%	1%	7%	5%	1%	5%	7%	-
Strongly disagree	1%	-	5%	2%	-	-	-	12%	*	4%	-	-	-	25%	1%	5%	-	*	6%	-	1%	6%
AGREE (NET)	94%	95%	95%	91%	92%	100%	100%	88%	91%	90%	93%	100%	100%	75%	89%	94%	93%	94%	93%	95%	92%	94%
DISAGREE (NET)	6%	5%	5%	9%	8%	-	-	12%	9%	10%	7%	-	-	25%	11%	6%	7%	6%	7%	5%	8%	6%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A) . SPLIT A

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
SPLIT A	101	42	13	55	72	15	87	69	22	92	99	1	46	54	0	32	47	22		26
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																				
Strongly agree	67%	66%	79%	70%	71%	33%	64%	73%	55%	69%	68%	8%	93%	46%	-	90%	61%	48%		91%
Somewhat agree	26%	23%	17%	22%	25%	53%	30%	22%	38%	26%	26%	-	7%	43%	-	10%	29%	43%		9%
Somewhat disagree	5%	9%	3%	7%	3%	14%	5%	4%	7%	5%	5%	54%	-	10%	7%	-	9%	6%		-
Strongly disagree	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	39%	-	1%	93%	-	1%	3%		-
AGREE (NET)	94%	90%	97%	91%	96%	86%	94%	95%	93%	95%	95%	8%	100%	89%	-	100%	90%	91%		100%
DISAGREE (NET)	6%	10%	3%	9%	4%	14%	6%	5%	7%	5%	5%	92%	-	11%	100%	-	10%	9%		-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32A) . SPLIT A

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT A	101	24	15	62	18	39	44	41	59	55	30	16	58	41	56	38	6
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY																	
Strongly agree	67%	58%	90%	66%	75%	64%	67%	70%	66%	66%	69%	71%	64%	71%	72%	58%	81%
Somewhat agree	26%	33%	10%	28%	22%	27%	27%	20%	30%	25%	26%	29%	26%	27%	22%	33%	19%
Somewhat disagree	5%	7%	-	6%	1%	7%	6%	9%	3%	7%	6%	-	8%	1%	4%	8%	-
Strongly disagree	1%	2%	-	1%	2%	2%	*	1%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	-
AGREE (NET)	94%	91%	100%	93%	97%	91%	94%	90%	96%	91%	94%	100%	90%	98%	94%	92%	100%
DISAGREE (NET)	6%	9%	-	7%	3%	9%	6%	10%	4%	9%	6%	-	10%	2%	6%	8%	-

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B). SPLIT B

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-		45-		***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		****CHICAGO DMA****			****DOWNSTATE****		***A/B**	
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B	
SPLIT B	100	41	59	35	30	35	41	59	19	22	22	37	58	42	20	22	39	20	22	33	19		17	11	0	100
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																										
Strongly agree	54%	36%	66%	30%	69%	64%	35%	67%	18%	51%	50%	76%	56%	50%	26%	44%	71%	57%	65%	43%	76%		41%	44%	-	54%
Somewhat agree	39%	56%	28%	65%	28%	23%	61%	25%	82%	34%	43%	19%	38%	41%	74%	40%	20%	43%	32%	54%	16%		52%	31%	-	39%
Somewhat disagree	5%	7%	5%	2%	3%	11%	2%	8%	-	13%	4%	5%	5%	7%	-	13%	7%	-	-	3%	5%		6%	25%	-	5%
Strongly disagree	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	2%	2%	1%	-	3%	3%	-	1%	1%	-	3%	2%	-	4%	-	3%		-	-	-	1%
AGREE (NET)	93%	92%	94%	95%	97%	87%	96%	91%	100%	84%	93%	95%	94%	92%	100%	84%	91%	100%	96%	97%	92%		94%	75%	-	93%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	8%	6%	5%	3%	13%	4%	9%	-	16%	7%	5%	6%	8%	-	16%	9%	-	4%	3%	8%		6%	25%	-	7%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B). SPLIT B

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY DEM	***PARTY IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON
SPLIT B	100	60	19	23	6	40	25	35	3	16	12	11	16	24	60	25	35	23	37	33	27	31	7	22	21	24	15
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																											
Strongly agree	54%	51%	67%	49%	64%	58%	46%	54%	12%	78%	22%	79%	19%	84%	51%	46%	54%	42%	56%	53%	48%	66%	11%	42%	60%	51%	37%
Somewhat agree	39%	43%	29%	41%	36%	34%	50%	38%	88%	18%	57%	21%	65%	13%	43%	50%	38%	54%	36%	39%	48%	31%	76%	50%	32%	44%	58%
Somewhat disagree	5%	5%	-	11%	-	6%	1%	8%	-	-	21%	-	16%	-	5%	1%	8%	4%	6%	8%	1%	3%	12%	5%	8%	4%	1%
Strongly disagree	1%	1%	4%	-	-	2%	2%	-	-	5%	-	-	-	3%	1%	2%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	3%	-	-	4%
AGREE (NET)	93%	94%	96%	89%	100%	92%	96%	92%	100%	95%	79%	100%	84%	97%	94%	96%	92%	96%	92%	92%	96%	97%	88%	92%	92%	96%	95%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	6%	4%	11%	-	8%	4%	8%	-	5%	21%	-	16%	3%	6%	4%	8%	4%	8%	8%	4%	3%	12%	8%	8%	4%	5%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B). SPLIT B

TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON							
SPLIT B	100	55	8	37	18	37	3	5	20	18	35	20	8	0	19	18	37	43	20	56	31	13
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																						
Strongly agree	54%	74%	19%	32%	57%	82%	-	31%	21%	43%	72%	77%	19%	-	34%	29%	69%	52%	30%	75%	20%	42%
Somewhat agree	39%	24%	71%	55%	42%	15%	100%	52%	62%	47%	25%	22%	71%	-	57%	53%	26%	44%	54%	23%	66%	46%
Somewhat disagree	5%	2%	11%	10%	1%	2%	-	17%	14%	5%	3%	1%	11%	-	5%	15%	5%	3%	13%	2%	12%	6%
Strongly disagree	1%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	-	3%	4%	-	-	-	-	4%	3%	-	2%	3%	-	2%	6%
AGREE (NET)	93%	98%	89%	87%	99%	98%	100%	83%	83%	90%	97%	99%	89%	-	91%	82%	95%	96%	84%	98%	86%	88%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	2%	11%	13%	1%	2%	-	17%	17%	10%	3%	1%	11%	-	9%	18%	5%	4%	16%	2%	14%	12%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B). SPLIT B

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
SPLIT B	100	29	6	35	62	20	82	63	15	77	94	7	40	58	3	33	50	17		32
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																				
Strongly agree	54%	48%	35%	46%	70%	29%	60%	56%	43%	53%	56%	22%	86%	34%	-	88%	41%	24%		88%
Somewhat agree	39%	52%	32%	48%	29%	45%	32%	36%	45%	38%	38%	60%	12%	57%	67%	9%	57%	47%		9%
Somewhat disagree	5%	*	32%	6%	2%	23%	7%	6%	12%	7%	5%	17%	2%	6%	33%	3%	2%	21%		3%
Strongly disagree	1%	-	-	-	-	3%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	-	-	2%	-	-	-	8%		-
AGREE (NET)	93%	100%	68%	94%	98%	74%	92%	92%	88%	91%	94%	83%	98%	91%	67%	97%	98%	71%		97%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	*	32%	6%	2%	26%	8%	8%	12%	9%	6%	17%	2%	9%	33%	3%	2%	29%		3%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q32B) . SPLIT B

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
SPLIT B	100	27	11	62	22	31	47	18	83	65	21	14	67	26	43	48	9
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly agree	54%	34%	40%	65%	52%	41%	63%	34%	58%	64%	29%	44%	53%	48%	61%	52%	27%
Somewhat agree	39%	56%	54%	29%	37%	50%	34%	57%	36%	32%	51%	54%	41%	48%	37%	36%	69%
Somewhat disagree	5%	10%	-	5%	11%	7%	2%	5%	6%	1%	20%	2%	6%	3%	2%	9%	4%
Strongly disagree	1%	-	6%	1%	-	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	-	-	3%	-
AGREE (NET)	93%	90%	94%	94%	89%	91%	96%	91%	94%	96%	80%	98%	93%	97%	98%	88%	96%
DISAGREE (NET)	7%	10%	6%	6%	11%	9%	4%	9%	6%	4%	20%	2%	7%	3%	2%	12%	4%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+	***NET** <55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	NON COLL	GRAD	***MEN** NON COLL	***WOM** NON COLL	***CHICAGO CHICAGO	DMA	REST	***DOWNSTATE** NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	***A/ A	***B** B			
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100	
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																										
Strongly agree	52%	43%	59%	46%	51%	62%	44%	63%	41%	47%	47%	75%	51%	53%	45%	41%	56%	68%	70%	49%	52%	47%	36%	51%	53%	
Somewhat agree	37%	44%	30%	43%	37%	27%	41%	31%	47%	41%	36%	23%	37%	36%	46%	42%	31%	27%	28%	48%	28%	33%	46%	35%	39%	
Somewhat disagree	8%	11%	6%	7%	10%	9%	11%	5%	10%	12%	11%	*	7%	11%	7%	16%	7%	5%	*	2%	17%	15%	14%	11%	6%	
Strongly disagree	3%	1%	5%	5%	2%	2%	4%	1%	2%	-	7%	2%	5%	*	2%	-	6%	*	2%	2%	3%	6%	4%	4%	2%	
AGREE (NET)	88%	88%	89%	88%	88%	89%	85%	93%	88%	88%	82%	98%	89%	88%	91%	84%	87%	95%	98%	97%	80%	79%	81%	85%	92%	
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	12%	11%	12%	12%	11%	15%	7%	12%	12%	18%	2%	11%	12%	9%	16%	13%	5%	2%	3%	20%	21%	19%	15%	8%	

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE												
	TOT	WHITE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**	***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																											
Strongly agree	52%	49%	61%	59%	49%	57%	52%	47%	27%	78%	25%	94%	24%	84%	49%	52%	47%	42%	58%	52%	45%	60%	29%	40%	61%	46%	36%
Somewhat agree	37%	39%	24%	31%	51%	32%	37%	41%	50%	12%	60%	-	59%	9%	39%	37%	41%	41%	36%	36%	44%	37%	40%	42%	36%	36%	51%
Somewhat disagree	8%	9%	7%	8%	-	8%	8%	9%	23%	-	15%	*	17%	*	9%	8%	9%	13%	3%	8%	11%	1%	24%	15%	2%	13%	11%
Strongly disagree	3%	3%	7%	3%	-	4%	2%	4%	-	10%	-	5%	-	7%	3%	2%	4%	4%	2%	5%	*	2%	7%	2%	1%	5%	1%
AGREE (NET)	88%	88%	85%	89%	100%	89%	90%	87%	77%	90%	85%	94%	83%	93%	88%	90%	87%	84%	95%	88%	89%	96%	69%	82%	97%	82%	87%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	12%	15%	11%	-	11%	10%	13%	23%	10%	15%	6%	17%	7%	12%	10%	13%	16%	5%	12%	11%	4%	31%	18%	3%	18%	13%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																						
Strongly agree	52%	66%	30%	35%	54%	75%	29%	30%	33%	38%	66%	66%	24%	75%	41%	26%	65%	49%	29%	68%	34%	35%
Somewhat agree	37%	29%	34%	48%	38%	23%	30%	39%	55%	41%	28%	31%	39%	-	44%	55%	28%	37%	53%	28%	44%	52%
Somewhat disagree	8%	3%	20%	14%	5%	2%	42%	-	12%	16%	5%	-	22%	-	10%	19%	5%	8%	16%	3%	19%	-
Strongly disagree	3%	2%	16%	3%	3%	1%	-	31%	-	5%	1%	3%	15%	25%	4%	-	2%	5%	1%	1%	3%	13%
AGREE (NET)	88%	95%	64%	84%	92%	98%	58%	69%	88%	79%	94%	97%	63%	75%	85%	81%	94%	87%	83%	96%	78%	87%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	5%	36%	16%	8%	2%	42%	31%	12%	21%	6%	3%	37%	25%	15%	19%	6%	13%	17%	4%	22%	13%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																				
Strongly agree	52%	58%	33%	53%	63%	23%	54%	63%	20%	54%	53%	22%	83%	28%	-	87%	42%	15%		88%
Somewhat agree	37%	32%	44%	34%	29%	50%	33%	28%	51%	33%	36%	53%	15%	53%	58%	12%	53%	39%		11%
Somewhat disagree	8%	9%	4%	8%	7%	20%	9%	7%	19%	10%	8%	8%	1%	15%	1%	-	4%	35%		-
Strongly disagree	3%	1%	19%	5%	2%	7%	3%	1%	10%	3%	3%	18%	*	4%	41%	1%	1%	12%		1%
AGREE (NET)	88%	90%	77%	87%	92%	73%	88%	91%	71%	87%	89%	74%	99%	81%	58%	99%	95%	54%		99%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	10%	23%	13%	8%	27%	12%	9%	29%	13%	11%	26%	1%	19%	42%	1%	5%	46%		1%

(Series) List of statements on water infrastructure (B5Q34).

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES																	
Strongly agree	52%	34%	50%	60%	52%	41%	60%	50%	53%	54%	48%	49%	48%	57%	54%	49%	47%
Somewhat agree	37%	51%	39%	30%	27%	47%	33%	25%	42%	38%	34%	36%	40%	32%	39%	33%	45%
Somewhat disagree	8%	15%	6%	6%	19%	8%	5%	21%	3%	4%	17%	10%	10%	8%	4%	14%	7%
Strongly disagree	3%	-	5%	4%	2%	5%	2%	5%	2%	4%	*	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	-
AGREE (NET)	88%	85%	89%	90%	79%	87%	94%	74%	94%	92%	82%	85%	88%	89%	93%	83%	93%
DISAGREE (NET)	12%	15%	11%	10%	21%	13%	6%	26%	6%	8%	18%	15%	12%	11%	7%	17%	7%

Q35. TIMING.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT							
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	NET	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	NON COLL	GRAD	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	CHICAGO	DMA	REST	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39				39	23	101	100

Q35. TIMING

Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	79%	74%	85%	85%	72%	81%	82%	76%	81%	63%	84%	86%	81%	76%	79%	67%	83%	89%	84%	90%	74%				84%	47%	83%	76%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	21%	26%	15%	15%	28%	19%	18%	24%	19%	37%	16%	14%	19%	24%	21%	33%	17%	11%	16%	10%	26%				16%	53%	17%	24%

Q35. TIMING.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
Q35. TIMING																											
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	79%	81%	70%	78%	100%	77%	81%	81%	34%	87%	57%	100%	58%	92%	81%	81%	81%	85%	76%	84%	76%	89%	80%	70%	91%	83%	59%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	21%	19%	30%	22%	-	23%	19%	19%	66%	13%	43%	-	42%	8%	19%	19%	19%	15%	24%	16%	24%	11%	20%	30%	9%	17%	41%

Q35. TIMING.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	=====			=====						=====						=====			=====			
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	***DEM**	***IND**	***GOP**	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH			
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
Q35. TIMING																						
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	79%	88%	78%	68%	86%	89%	72%	83%	59%	77%	89%	85%	78%	75%	79%	52%	88%	83%	54%	87%	68%	82%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	21%	12%	22%	32%	14%	11%	28%	17%	41%	23%	11%	15%	22%	25%	21%	48%	12%	17%	46%	13%	32%	18%

Q35. TIMING.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
Q35. TIMING																				
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead-contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	79%	78%	68%	76%	86%	45%	78%	83%	65%	79%	82%	20%	98%	67%	-	97%	77%	55%		98%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	21%	22%	32%	24%	14%	55%	22%	17%	35%	21%	18%	80%	2%	33%	100%	3%	23%	45%		2%

Q35. TIMING.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
Q35. TIMING																	
Supporters of the proposal say U.S. water infrastructure is outdated and no American should have to face severe health risks from water contaminated by lead pipes. They say the current EPA rule, which allows utilities to leave most lead pipes in use and lets highly lead- contaminated systems more than 30 years of continued lead pipe use, falls short. We need a better plan that will solve this issue sooner.	79%	63%	80%	86%	76%	76%	84%	89%	75%	81%	78%	76%	77%	83%	77%	84%	68%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are found to cause ongoing lead contamination above the EPA's acceptable level. They say the current rule puts the U.S. on a path to safe water for all without overburdensome government regulations and unnecessary costs.	21%	37%	20%	14%	24%	24%	16%	11%	25%	19%	22%	24%	23%	17%	23%	16%	32%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ <55	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 18-54	NON COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	MEN	WOM	NON COLL	NON COLL	CHICAGO	CHICAGO COLLAR	DMA REST	DOWNSTATE	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100
Q36. RISING COSTS																									
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	77%	72%	82%	80%	68%	83%	79%	73%	76%	64%	83%	80%	78%	74%	79%	62%	78%	90%	75%	89%	75%	76%	53%	80%	74%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	23%	28%	18%	20%	32%	17%	21%	27%	24%	36%	17%	20%	22%	26%	21%	38%	22%	10%	25%	11%	25%	24%	47%	20%	26%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																									
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	74%	70%	78%	75%	72%	76%	72%	77%	72%	68%	73%	84%	69%	83%	68%	74%	70%	97%	80%	84%	69%	63%	69%	73%	76%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	26%	30%	22%	25%	28%	24%	28%	23%	28%	32%	27%	16%	31%	17%	32%	26%	30%	3%	20%	16%	31%	37%	31%	27%	24%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY** DEM	**PARTY** IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
Q36. RISING COSTS																											
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	77%	78%	76%	58%	51%	74%	74%	83%	57%	85%	57%	58%	67%	79%	78%	74%	83%	79%	78%	79%	77%	91%	56%	65%	96%	69%	67%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	23%	22%	24%	42%	49%	26%	26%	17%	43%	15%	43%	42%	33%	21%	22%	26%	17%	21%	22%	21%	23%	9%	44%	35%	4%	31%	33%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																											
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	74%	71%	87%	76%	100%	81%	67%	74%	89%	85%	67%	85%	78%	84%	71%	67%	74%	66%	77%	63%	83%	84%	41%	60%	81%	66%	64%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	26%	29%	13%	24%	-	19%	33%	26%	11%	15%	33%	15%	22%	16%	29%	33%	26%	34%	23%	37%	17%	16%	59%	40%	19%	34%	36%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE		
		IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
		DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON						
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
Q36. RISING COSTS																						
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	77%	89%	58%	62%	90%	89%	31%	84%	56%	68%	95%	79%	56%	75%	65%	58%	95%	68%	61%	89%	56%	88%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	23%	11%	42%	38%	10%	11%	69%	16%	44%	32%	5%	21%	44%	25%	35%	42%	5%	32%	39%	11%	44%	12%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																						
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	74%	85%	45%	65%	84%	85%	-	85%	67%	62%	87%	82%	50%	-	65%	64%	84%	70%	64%	81%	64%	76%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	26%	15%	55%	35%	16%	15%	100%	15%	33%	38%	13%	18%	50%	100%	35%	36%	16%	30%	36%	19%	36%	24%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	TOT	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS	
		FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
Q36. RISING COSTS																				
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	77%	78%	73%	76%	83%	39%	74%	79%	65%	76%	79%	19%	95%	65%	-	93%	81%	39%		93%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	23%	22%	27%	24%	17%	61%	26%	21%	35%	24%	21%	81%	5%	35%	100%	7%	19%	61%		7%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																				
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	74%	71%	73%	72%	78%	55%	73%	78%	60%	74%	75%	59%	87%	66%	28%	83%	81%	42%		89%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	26%	29%	27%	28%	22%	45%	27%	22%	40%	26%	25%	41%	13%	34%	72%	17%	19%	58%		11%

Q36. RISING COSTS. Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR	
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
Q36. RISING COSTS																	
Supporters of the proposal who say access to safe drinking water is a basic human right. They say no person in America should be forced to drink contaminated water that exposes them to severe health risks, and the EPA has the responsibility to put American lives and health ahead of the costs to water utilities.	77%	61%	84%	82%	73%	81%	76%	78%	76%	80%	74%	70%	77%	80%	76%	81%	58%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	23%	39%	16%	18%	27%	19%	24%	22%	24%	20%	26%	30%	23%	20%	24%	19%	42%
Q37. UTILITY RESPONSIBILITY																	
Supporters of the proposal who say that it was the water utilities who installed or approved of these lead pipes years ago, and it should be their responsibility to pay for replacing them.	74%	55%	87%	80%	65%	77%	76%	63%	79%	81%	63%	66%	73%	76%	80%	70%	64%
Opponents of the proposal who say forcing water utilities to replace all lead pipes, regardless of location or levels of lead exposure, is unnecessary and will cause many utilities to go bankrupt or raise prices on consumers.	26%	45%	13%	20%	35%	23%	24%	37%	21%	19%	37%	34%	27%	24%	20%	30%	36%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT				
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44		45-65+			***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		***CHICAGO DMA***			***DOWNSTATE***		***A/B**	
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B				
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100		
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																											
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	85%	78%	91%	87%	87%	77%	88%	79%	82%	71%	95%	85%	82%	89%	71%	86%	90%	94%	92%	79%	80%	91%	83%	84%	86%		
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	15%	22%	9%	13%	13%	23%	12%	21%	18%	29%	5%	15%	18%	11%	29%	14%	10%	6%	8%	21%	20%	9%	17%	16%	14%		

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE																								
	=====					=====								=====																								
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE*	MEN	WOM	**BLACK*	MEN	WOM	**HISP**	MEN	WOM	**POC**	MEN	WOM	*GENDER*	TOT	MEN	WOM	***AGE**	<55	55+	**EDUC**	NON	COLL	***PARTY	DEM	IND	ID**	GOP	***IDEOLOGY**	LIB	MOD	CON	
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28											
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																																						
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	85%	86%	91%	71%	100%	81%	85%	87%	79%	96%	43%	100%	61%	98%	86%	85%	87%	92%	79%	84%	90%	89%	75%	85%	88%	91%	72%											
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	15%	14%	9%	29%	-	19%	15%	13%	21%	4%	57%	-	39%	2%	14%	15%	13%	8%	21%	16%	10%	11%	25%	15%	12%	9%	28%											

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																						
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	85%	92%	78%	75%	92%	92%	56%	98%	64%	87%	90%	95%	84%	25%	77%	73%	91%	85%	71%	86%	79%	95%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	15%	8%	22%	25%	8%	8%	44%	2%	36%	13%	10%	5%	16%	75%	23%	27%	9%	15%	29%	14%	21%	5%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																				
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	85%	77%	88%	79%	85%	71%	82%	84%	78%	83%	84%	89%	97%	75%	99%	90%	83%	79%		96%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	15%	23%	12%	21%	15%	29%	18%	16%	22%	17%	16%	11%	3%	25%	1%	10%	17%	21%		4%

Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY.

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
Q38. PRIVATE PROPERTY																	
Supporters of the proposal who say that exposure to lead poses serious health risks that cannot be ignored, and that's why any lead pipe replacement plan should pay for 100% of the cost to replace the lead pipes providing water to homes, no matter whether the pipes are under public property or under someone's front yard. Otherwise, low-income families and people who rent will still have to drink water from these lead pipes.	85%	71%	81%	91%	84%	85%	85%	84%	85%	89%	74%	86%	80%	91%	86%	82%	91%
Opponents of the proposal who say replacing all lead pipes will burden water utilities, and that they shouldn't be required to remove the part of a lead service line that is under private property or under someone's front yard - they say individual homeowners should pay for that.	15%	29%	19%	9%	16%	15%	15%	16%	15%	11%	26%	14%	20%	9%	14%	18%	9%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT				
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	NET**	MEN***	WOM***	18-54	55+ 18-54	55+ 55+	NON COLL	GRAD	MEN**	WOM**	NON COLL	NON COLL	CHICAGO	DMA	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39			39	23	101	100
Q39. JUSTICE																											
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	81%	78%	83%	85%	77%	80%	81%	81%	77%	80%	84%	82%	81%	82%	78%	78%	82%	87%	82%	79%	83%			81%	81%	78%	84%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	19%	22%	17%	15%	23%	20%	19%	19%	23%	20%	16%	18%	19%	18%	22%	22%	18%	13%	18%	21%	17%			19%	19%	22%	16%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY** DEM	**PARTY** IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
Q39. JUSTICE																											
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	81%	79%	78%	92%	100%	85%	77%	82%	73%	80%	85%	100%	82%	87%	79%	77%	82%	80%	78%	78%	81%	88%	76%	67%	91%	82%	53%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	19%	21%	22%	8%	-	15%	23%	18%	27%	20%	15%	*	18%	13%	21%	23%	18%	20%	22%	22%	19%	12%	24%	33%	9%	18%	47%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
Q39. JUSTICE																						
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	81%	88%	74%	72%	85%	91%	58%	88%	74%	70%	88%	88%	71%	100%	86%	51%	88%	85%	56%	88%	69%	88%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	19%	12%	26%	28%	15%	9%	42%	12%	26%	30%	12%	12%	29%	-	14%	49%	12%	15%	44%	12%	31%	12%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
Q39. JUSTICE																				
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	81%	78%	85%	79%	87%	61%	82%	82%	75%	81%	83%	33%	95%	72%	13%	91%	85%	56%		96%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	19%	22%	15%	21%	13%	39%	18%	18%	25%	19%	17%	67%	5%	28%	87%	9%	15%	44%		4%

Q39. JUSTICE.

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
Q39. JUSTICE																	
Supporters of the proposal who say U.S. water infrastructure is severely outdated, especially in low-income areas and communities of color who are disproportionately impacted by contaminated water. They say access to safe drinking water should not be determined by how much money someone makes.	81%	64%	92%	86%	73%	82%	84%	70%	85%	86%	77%	69%	79%	83%	83%	81%	66%
Opponents of the proposal say access to safe water is important, but we already have a rule in place that will replace lead pipes if they are shown to cause lead contamination in excess of the EPA's acceptable level. This proposal is simply a radical transfer of wealth from working Americans to liberal ideologues who will hurt American consumers in the name of phony environmental justice.	19%	36%	8%	14%	27%	18%	16%	30%	15%	14%	23%	31%	21%	17%	17%	19%	34%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48A). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT		
	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+	***NET** <55	55+	***MEN** 18-54	55+	***WOM** 18-54	55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	***MEN** NON COLL	***WOM** NON COLL	***CHICAGO CHICAGO	DMA COLLAR	***DOWNSTATE** REST	***CNTRL NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B			
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A	101	53	47	50	36	15	76	25	38	15	38	10	71	30	33	20	38	9	19	27	21	22	12	101	0
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL																									
Very convincing	68%	65%	70%	85%	44%	68%	71%	58%	67%	61%	75%	52%	66%	72%	65%	66%	67%	85%	78%	76%	60%	67%	48%	68%	-
Somewhat convincing	29%	34%	24%	11%	54%	32%	25%	42%	32%	39%	19%	48%	30%	28%	34%	34%	27%	15%	22%	24%	40%	26%	40%	29%	-
Not convincing	3%	1%	5%	4%	3%	*	4%	*	1%	*	7%	-	4%	*	1%	*	7%	-	-	-	-	7%	12%	3%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	99%	95%	96%	97%	100%	96%	100%	99%	100%	93%	100%	96%	100%	99%	100%	93%	100%	100%	100%	100%	93%	88%	97%	-

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48A). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	TOT	DEM	OTH	IND	***DEM**	***IND**	***GOP**	***DEM**	***IND**	***GOP**	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A	101	56	8	37	30	26	4	3	19	18	36	20	6	2	25	13	38	47	16	48	41	11
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL																						
Very convincing	68%	80%	34%	57%	80%	79%	28%	41%	51%	63%	94%	54%	23%	75%	69%	33%	91%	58%	40%	85%	54%	45%
Somewhat convincing	29%	19%	50%	41%	18%	20%	72%	22%	49%	32%	4%	46%	64%	-	31%	59%	5%	42%	51%	14%	46%	32%
Not convincing	3%	1%	16%	3%	2%	1%	-	37%	*	5%	2%	-	13%	25%	-	8%	4%	-	9%	1%	*	23%
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	99%	84%	97%	98%	99%	100%	63%	100%	95%	98%	100%	87%	75%	100%	92%	96%	100%	91%	99%	100%	77%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48A). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A	101	42	13	55	72	15	87	69	22	92	99	1	46	54	0	32	47	22		26
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL																				
Very convincing	68%	74%	66%	72%	78%	21%	69%	77%	46%	69%	68%	10%	85%	53%	-	95%	70%	22%		94%
Somewhat convincing	29%	25%	31%	27%	20%	71%	28%	23%	49%	29%	30%	12%	14%	43%	-	4%	25%	76%		5%
Not convincing	3%	1%	3%	1%	2%	9%	3%	1%	5%	2%	2%	79%	1%	4%	100%	1%	5%	2%		1%
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	99%	97%	99%	98%	91%	97%	99%	95%	98%	98%	21%	99%	96%	-	99%	95%	98%		99%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48A). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS	\$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
	----	0-39	40-59	60+	----	----	----	----	----	----	\$60K	\$100	\$100K	----	----	LOWER	DLE
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT A	101	24	15	62	18	39	44	41	59	55	30	16	58	41	56	38	6
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL																	
Very convincing	68%	51%	58%	77%	64%	68%	69%	63%	71%	65%	85%	44%	64%	70%	69%	63%	81%
Somewhat convincing	29%	45%	42%	20%	33%	26%	31%	34%	26%	31%	15%	50%	33%	26%	28%	33%	19%
Not convincing	3%	4%	-	3%	2%	7%	-	3%	3%	4%	-	6%	2%	4%	3%	4%	-
CONVINCING (NET)	97%	96%	100%	97%	98%	93%	100%	97%	97%	96%	100%	94%	98%	96%	97%	96%	100%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48B). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B

	GENDER		AGE			GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT					
	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+>55	***NET**	***MEN***	55+18-54	***WOM***	55+18-54	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	***MEN**	NON COLL	***WOM**	NON COLL	***CHICAGO DMA***	CHICAGO COLLAR REST	***DOWNSTATE***	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	***A/B**	A	B	
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B	100	41	59	35	30	35	41	59	19	22	22	37	58	42	20	22	39	20	22	33	19	17	11	0	100
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO																									
Very convincing	59%	59%	59%	56%	63%	59%	58%	60%	68%	52%	49%	65%	54%	66%	63%	56%	50%	77%	32%	67%	75%	71%	44%	-	59%
Somewhat convincing	33%	34%	32%	32%	36%	31%	31%	34%	32%	36%	30%	33%	39%	24%	37%	32%	40%	16%	61%	31%	25%	9%	29%	-	33%
Not convincing	8%	6%	9%	12%	2%	10%	11%	6%	-	12%	21%	3%	7%	10%	-	12%	11%	7%	7%	1%	-	20%	28%	-	8%
CONVINCING (NET)	92%	94%	91%	88%	98%	90%	89%	94%	100%	88%	79%	97%	93%	90%	100%	88%	89%	93%	93%	99%	100%	80%	72%	-	92%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48B) . IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B	100	60	19	23	6	40	25	35	3	16	12	11	16	24	60	25	35	23	37	33	27	31	7	22	21	24	15
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO																											
Very convincing	59%	59%	75%	60%	-	59%	66%	55%	82%	73%	57%	62%	50%	64%	59%	66%	55%	45%	68%	62%	56%	71%	50%	45%	76%	49%	53%
Somewhat convincing	33%	33%	18%	29%	100%	32%	34%	33%	18%	18%	22%	38%	35%	30%	33%	34%	33%	41%	29%	30%	37%	23%	42%	45%	19%	39%	44%
Not convincing	8%	7%	8%	11%	-	10%	1%	12%	-	9%	21%	-	16%	6%	7%	1%	12%	14%	3%	8%	6%	5%	7%	10%	5%	12%	3%
CONVINCING (NET)	92%	93%	92%	89%	100%	90%	99%	88%	100%	91%	79%	100%	84%	94%	93%	99%	88%	86%	97%	92%	94%	95%	93%	90%	95%	88%	97%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48B). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B	100	55	8	37	18	37	3	5	20	18	35	20	8	0	19	18	37	43	20	56	31	13
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO																						
Very convincing	59%	65%	54%	51%	70%	63%	34%	67%	54%	48%	73%	52%	54%	-	49%	53%	72%	52%	50%	62%	62%	42%
Somewhat convincing	33%	30%	39%	35%	29%	31%	66%	22%	34%	36%	23%	44%	39%	-	36%	33%	24%	39%	35%	34%	23%	48%
Not convincing	8%	4%	6%	14%	1%	6%	-	10%	13%	17%	5%	3%	6%	-	15%	14%	4%	8%	15%	4%	15%	10%
CONVINCING (NET)	92%	96%	94%	86%	99%	94%	100%	90%	87%	83%	95%	97%	94%	-	85%	86%	96%	92%	85%	96%	85%	90%

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48B). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE FAV	
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B	100	29	6	35	62	20	82	63	15	77	94	7	40	58	3	33	50	17	32	
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO																				
Very convincing	59%	63%	58%	62%	67%	36%	59%	62%	51%	60%	59%	54%	80%	47%	-	86%	56%	19%	87%	
Somewhat convincing	33%	29%	15%	27%	29%	47%	33%	30%	31%	30%	33%	32%	19%	41%	67%	12%	42%	44%	13%	
Not convincing	8%	8%	26%	11%	5%	18%	8%	8%	19%	10%	8%	14%	1%	12%	33%	2%	2%	37%	-	
CONVINCING (NET)	92%	92%	74%	89%	95%	82%	92%	92%	81%	90%	92%	86%	99%	88%	67%	98%	98%	63%	100%	

(Series) Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US (B6Q48B). IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
IF ILLINOIS/SPLIT B	100	27	11	62	22	31	47	18	83	65	21	14	67	26	43	48	9
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO																	
Very convincing	59%	54%	64%	61%	64%	64%	54%	51%	61%	57%	68%	57%	65%	54%	47%	73%	43%
Somewhat convincing	33%	37%	27%	32%	17%	29%	42%	25%	34%	37%	16%	38%	27%	39%	49%	14%	55%
Not convincing	8%	9%	9%	8%	19%	7%	4%	24%	5%	6%	17%	4%	8%	7%	4%	13%	2%
CONVINCING (NET)	92%	91%	91%	92%	81%	93%	96%	76%	95%	94%	83%	96%	92%	93%	96%	87%	98%

DAGE. Derived Age.

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT				
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	65+	***NET**	***MEN***	55+	18-54	55+	NON COLL	GRAD	***MEN**	NON COLL	***WOM**	NON COLL	***CHICAGO DMA***	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	***DOWNSTATE***	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	***A/B**	A	B
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100		
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																											
18-29	16%	20%	13%	38%	-	-	28%	-	33%	-	23%	-	16%	16%	21%	19%	13%	13%	37%	16%	5%	3%	22%	13%	19%		
30-44	26%	22%	30%	62%	-	-	45%	-	37%	-	53%	-	27%	26%	28%	15%	25%	41%	24%	21%	20%	44%	23%	36%	16%		
45-54	16%	18%	14%	-	48%	-	27%	-	30%	-	24%	-	19%	10%	24%	11%	16%	7%	8%	12%	19%	26%	16%	26%	6%		
55-64	17%	15%	19%	-	52%	-	41%	-	-	39%	-	42%	19%	14%	13%	18%	23%	8%	17%	17%	16%	15%	22%	10%	24%		
65+	25%	24%	25%	-	-	100%	-	59%	-	61%	-	58%	20%	34%	14%	36%	23%	31%	14%	33%	40%	12%	16%	15%	35%		

DAGE. Derived Age.

TOT	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN WOM	**BLACK* MEN WOM	**HISP** MEN WOM	**POC** MEN WOM	*GENDER* TOT MEN WOM	**AGE** <55 55+	**EDUC** NON COLL	**PARTY DEM IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB MOD CON												
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																											
18-29	16%	11%	20%	32%	28%	26%	12%	11%	36%	12%	42%	21%	38%	17%	11%	12%	11%	20%	-	11%	11%	11%	-	15%	16%	9%	7%
30-44	26%	29%	27%	23%	-	20%	23%	35%	20%	30%	25%	20%	21%	19%	29%	23%	35%	52%	-	29%	30%	36%	33%	19%	47%	25%	7%
45-54	16%	16%	18%	8%	22%	16%	20%	12%	22%	15%	1%	15%	15%	16%	16%	20%	12%	28%	-	19%	11%	8%	24%	26%	4%	21%	26%
55-64	17%	17%	7%	30%	49%	18%	16%	17%	13%	5%	17%	43%	14%	22%	17%	16%	17%	-	38%	15%	19%	19%	18%	13%	9%	22%	18%
65+	25%	27%	29%	8%	-	20%	29%	25%	9%	38%	15%	-	13%	26%	27%	29%	25%	-	62%	26%	29%	27%	26%	28%	25%	22%	42%

DAGE. Derived Age.

TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON							
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																						
18-29	16%	14%	4%	22%	14%	13%	-	8%	31%	13%	21%	-	5%	-	30%	12%	20%	15%	10%	9%	19%	39%
30-44	26%	27%	39%	23%	31%	23%	-	74%	16%	31%	34%	14%	40%	25%	36%	5%	34%	27%	7%	26%	22%	37%
45-54	16%	13%	21%	20%	11%	13%	43%	-	22%	17%	8%	20%	23%	-	14%	28%	8%	17%	28%	11%	22%	17%
55-64	17%	20%	15%	12%	22%	19%	12%	17%	7%	18%	8%	42%	17%	-	10%	16%	9%	25%	14%	21%	15%	5%
65+	25%	27%	21%	23%	21%	31%	44%	*	24%	21%	29%	24%	15%	75%	11%	40%	29%	16%	40%	32%	22%	2%

DAGE. Derived Age.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																				
18-29	16%	10%	42%	17%	8%	18%	10%	15%	16%	16%	15%	48%	14%	17%	58%	14%	19%	14%		14%
30-44	26%	27%	28%	28%	27%	22%	26%	24%	28%	25%	27%	14%	20%	31%	13%	23%	30%	22%		18%
45-54	16%	23%	11%	21%	15%	24%	16%	14%	22%	16%	16%	2%	15%	17%	-	9%	18%	22%		9%
55-64	17%	16%	*	12%	20%	15%	19%	16%	24%	18%	18%	-	23%	13%	-	27%	13%	12%		30%
65+	25%	23%	18%	22%	30%	22%	28%	30%	9%	26%	24%	36%	28%	22%	29%	28%	20%	31%		29%

DAGE. Derived Age.

TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K-\$100K	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/LOWER	MID-DLE	UPPER/AFF	
	0-39	40-59	60+														
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
DAGE. DERIVED AGE																	
18-29	16%	20%	-	18%	12%	18%	16%	19%	15%	15%	23%	8%	10%	26%	17%	13%	27%
30-44	26%	6%	51%	29%	23%	26%	28%	51%	16%	23%	40%	15%	23%	31%	27%	24%	31%
45-54	16%	24%	13%	13%	20%	20%	11%	29%	10%	13%	11%	33%	17%	15%	17%	16%	1%
55-64	17%	21%	14%	16%	25%	13%	17%	*	24%	20%	9%	19%	19%	10%	21%	13%	13%
65+	25%	28%	23%	24%	20%	23%	28%	1%	35%	28%	17%	25%	30%	17%	17%	33%	29%

D304. Black Background.

	GENDER		AGE					GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+	***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL	GRAD	***MEN**		***WOM**		***CHICAGO DMA***		***DOWNSTATE***			***A/B**		
TOTAL	34	11	23	16	8	10	22	12	9	2	13	10	21	12	8	2	13	10	14	2	12		4	1	15	19
D304. BLACK BACKGROUND																										
The Caribbean	4%	3%	4%	2%	11%	-	6%	-	3%	-	7%	-	5%	1%	3%	-	6%	1%	1%	16%	-	-	75%	7%	1%	
Africa	76%	81%	73%	62%	73%	100%	69%	88%	86%	63%	58%	93%	66%	92%	76%	100%	60%	91%	71%	84%	84%	66%	75%	74%	77%	
Central America	5%	3%	6%	6%	8%	-	4%	5%	3%	-	5%	7%	7%	-	3%	-	10%	-	9%	16%	-	-	-	2%	7%	
South America	2%	-	3%	5%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	6%	-	4%	-	-	-	6%	-	5%	-	-	-	-	-	4%	
Another region of the world	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Don't know/Not sure	17%	16%	17%	27%	17%	-	22%	7%	10%	37%	30%	-	23%	6%	21%	-	24%	8%	14%	-	16%	34%	25%	24%	11%	

D304. Black Background.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH	
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON							CON
TOTAL	34	23	3	8	7	16	0	3	4	4	19	5	3	0	6	2	20	11	3	23	7	4
D304. BLACK BACKGROUND																						
The Caribbean	4%	5%	-	-	4%	6%	-	-	-	-	2%	17%	-	-	-	-	2%	-	23%	5%	-	-
Africa	76%	81%	32%	74%	72%	86%	-	32%	100%	52%	81%	82%	32%	-	66%	100%	77%	69%	93%	79%	94%	22%
Central America	5%	7%	-	-	4%	8%	-	-	-	-	9%	-	-	-	-	-	8%	-	-	7%	-	-
South America	2%	-	-	9%	-	-	-	-	-	17%	-	-	-	-	12%	-	-	7%	-	-	-	20%
Another region of the world	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know/Not sure	17%	11%	68%	17%	24%	5%	-	68%	-	30%	9%	18%	68%	-	21%	-	14%	24%	7%	13%	6%	57%

D304. Black Background.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	34	12	6	18	20	9	29	23	9	32	31	2	16	18	0	11	20	2		10
D304. BLACK BACKGROUND																				
The Caribbean	4%	3%	-	2%	2%	-	1%	2%	9%	4%	4%	-	3%	4%	-	4%	4%	-		4%
Africa	76%	73%	92%	79%	81%	84%	82%	80%	69%	77%	76%	72%	79%	73%	-	79%	76%	55%		83%
Central America	5%	13%	-	9%	8%	-	6%	7%	-	5%	5%	-	6%	4%	-	14%	-	-		9%
South America	2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3%	-	2%	2%	-	-	4%	-	-	-	35%		-
Another region of the world	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Don't know/Not sure	17%	13%	8%	11%	10%	16%	12%	9%	31%	15%	16%	28%	14%	19%	-	6%	24%	10%		7%

D304. Black Background.

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	34	0	0	33	0	8	26	12	22	30	3	2	16	16	20	11	3
D304. BLACK BACKGROUND																	
The Caribbean	4%	-	-	4%	-	15%	-	-	6%	3%	5%	20%	3%	5%	4%	4%	-
Africa	76%	100%	-	76%	-	73%	77%	59%	85%	75%	95%	61%	91%	67%	78%	69%	90%
Central America	5%	-	-	5%	-	4%	5%	6%	4%	4%	-	20%	2%	8%	-	15%	-
South America	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	3%	6%	-	3%	-	-	-	-	-	7%	-
Another region of the world	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Don't know/Not sure	17%	-	-	17%	-	22%	15%	30%	10%	18%	-	19%	6%	25%	22%	8%	10%

D140. Density. D900. Income. D311. Class.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE FAV	
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39	59	
D140. DENSITY																				
City	18%	23%	37%	26%	19%	17%	19%	16%	21%	17%	52%		16%	19%	58%	18%	18%	21%	18%	
Suburban area	63%	57%	49%	55%	66%	55%	64%	63%	70%	65%	23%		66%	64%	1%	68%	63%	57%	66%	
Small town	6%	9%	4%	8%	8%	3%	7%	7%	3%	6%	5%		6%	5%	13%	7%	3%	10%	8%	
Rural area	12%	12%	10%	12%	7%	25%	11%	14%	7%	12%	20%		12%	12%	28%	7%	16%	12%	8%	
D900. INCOME																				
Less than \$30,000	27%	31%	21%	29%	25%	37%	27%	27%	32%	28%	27%	24%	30%	24%	42%	30%	24%	28%	33%	
\$30,000 - \$59,999	33%	30%	10%	26%	35%	18%	32%	37%	23%	34%	34%	3%	32%	34%	-	37%	36%	16%	34%	
\$60,000 - \$99,999	25%	31%	60%	37%	24%	26%	25%	26%	26%	26%	24%	45%	25%	26%	-	22%	27%	26%	23%	
\$100,000 - \$199,999	12%	7%	7%	7%	12%	16%	13%	9%	17%	11%	12%	25%	8%	14%	58%	3%	12%	29%	3%	
\$200,000 - \$399,999	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	1%	1%	1%	3%	3%	6%	1%	-	7%	1%	1%	8%	
\$400,000 and above	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
D311. CLASS																				
Working class	24%	26%	9%	22%	27%	21%	26%	24%	30%	25%	25%	5%	26%	23%	1%	26%	28%	11%	24%	
Lower middle class	26%	32%	17%	29%	23%	24%	23%	27%	23%	26%	26%	7%	27%	25%	13%	23%	29%	21%	26%	
Middle class	43%	38%	62%	43%	45%	40%	44%	44%	37%	43%	43%	37%	40%	46%	28%	47%	34%	59%	47%	
Upper middle class	7%	3%	12%	5%	5%	15%	7%	5%	10%	6%	6%	51%	7%	6%	58%	3%	9%	9%	3%	
Financially better off than that	*	*	-	*	*	-	*	*	-	*	*	-	*	-	-	*	-	-	*	

D140. Density. D900. Income. D311. Class.

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF	
	0-39	40-59	60+														
TOT																	
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
D140. DENSITY																	
City	18%	7%	12%	25%	2%	17%	27%	10%	22%	18%	20%	17%	9%	35%	19%	13%	42%
Suburban area	63%	68%	60%	62%	43%	66%	71%	66%	62%	60%	63%	77%	70%	49%	52%	79%	46%
Small town	6%	3%	21%	4%	12%	10%	-	4%	7%	7%	5%	5%	8%	2%	10%	1%	3%
Rural area	12%	22%	7%	9%	43%	7%	3%	20%	9%	15%	12%	1%	12%	14%	18%	6%	8%
D900. INCOME																	
Less than \$30,000	27%	11%	28%	33%	22%	24%	32%	19%	30%	45%	-	-	16%	41%	43%	9%	22%
\$30,000 - \$59,999	33%	31%	18%	36%	30%	39%	29%	27%	35%	55%	-	-	35%	34%	40%	30%	-
\$60,000 - \$99,999	25%	32%	46%	18%	34%	24%	22%	37%	20%	-	100%	-	27%	22%	15%	38%	19%
\$100,000 - \$199,999	12%	26%	5%	8%	13%	8%	15%	15%	11%	-	-	81%	18%	3%	2%	17%	54%
\$200,000 - \$399,999	3%	*	3%	4%	2%	4%	2%	1%	4%	-	-	19%	4%	-	1%	5%	5%
\$400,000 and above	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Prefer not to say	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D311. CLASS																	
Working class	24%	9%	11%	33%	25%	24%	24%	23%	25%	35%	8%	7%	15%	36%	48%	-	-
Lower middle class	26%	23%	27%	27%	28%	27%	24%	28%	24%	34%	21%	-	23%	30%	52%	-	-
Middle class	43%	59%	62%	32%	43%	41%	45%	48%	41%	28%	65%	64%	51%	31%	-	100%	-
Upper middle class	7%	10%	*	8%	4%	9%	7%	1%	10%	3%	6%	28%	10%	3%	-	-	98%
Financially better off than that	*	-	-	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	-	1%	*	-	-	-	2%

VH20. Vote Recall.

	GENDER		AGE				GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT			
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	55+ >55	NET	MEN	55+	18-54	55+	NON COLL	GRAD	MEN	NON COLL	WOM	NON COLL	CHICAGO	CHICAGO DMA	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100
VH20. VOTE RECALL																									
Voted for Democrat Joe Biden	52%	49%	55%	44%	51%	68%	41%	67%	39%	63%	43%	70%	44%	67%	39%	60%	47%	76%	73%	47%	59%	56%	10%	48%	56%
Voted for Republican Donald Trump	36%	45%	28%	35%	41%	31%	39%	31%	51%	35%	28%	28%	39%	29%	49%	39%	33%	15%	18%	39%	35%	37%	58%	41%	31%
Voted for someone else	1%	-	2%	*	3%	-	1%	*	-	-	3%	1%	1%	*	-	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	4%	2%	-
Did not vote	11%	7%	15%	21%	6%	1%	18%	2%	9%	3%	26%	1%	15%	3%	12%	*	18%	8%	8%	13%	7%	6%	27%	9%	13%

VH20. Vote Recall.

	ETHNICITY						ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE					**WHITE*		**BLACK*		**HISP**		***POC**		TOT	*GENDER*		***AGE**		**EDUC**		***PARTY		ID**		***IDEOLOGY**		
		ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM		MEN	WOM	<55	55+	NON	COLL	DEM	IND	GOP	LIB	MOD	CON	
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28	
VH20. VOTE RECALL																												
Voted for Democrat Joe Biden	52%	49%	68%	50%	49%	59%	56%	43%	62%	71%	17%	85%	33%	80%	49%	56%	43%	42%	58%	37%	68%	84%	32%	2%	83%	39%	10%	
Voted for Republican Donald Trump	36%	39%	21%	50%	-	28%	42%	37%	34%	14%	83%	15%	51%	9%	39%	42%	37%	39%	40%	47%	27%	7%	28%	90%	2%	50%	85%	
Voted for someone else	1%	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	1%	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	-	3%	
Did not vote	11%	10%	10%	*	51%	12%	2%	18%	4%	13%	1%	-	16%	10%	10%	2%	18%	17%	2%	14%	4%	8%	40%	6%	14%	11%	2%	

VH20. Vote Recall.

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
VH20. VOTE RECALL																						
Voted for Democrat Joe Biden	52%	86%	35%	5%	83%	89%	44%	27%	7%	3%	88%	84%	30%	75%	8%	*	84%	42%	12%	100%	-	-
Voted for Republican Donald Trump	36%	5%	26%	84%	10%	1%	42%	12%	89%	78%	1%	12%	29%	-	79%	90%	2%	47%	78%	-	100%	-
Voted for someone else	1%	1%	-	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	3%	1%	1%	-	-	-	3%	1%	*	3%	-	-	8%
Did not vote	11%	8%	39%	10%	7%	8%	14%	61%	5%	16%	10%	3%	41%	25%	13%	7%	13%	11%	7%	-	-	92%

VH20. Vote Recall.

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS	
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39	59
VH20. VOTE RECALL																			
Voted for Democrat Joe Biden	52%	48%	35%	45%	68%	17%	58%	57%	39%	53%	54%	9%	76%	35%	-	88%	39%	26%	88%
Voted for Republican Donald Trump	36%	45%	54%	47%	27%	68%	35%	36%	46%	38%	34%	86%	16%	50%	87%	11%	39%	69%	11%
Voted for someone else	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%
Did not vote	11%	5%	9%	6%	4%	14%	6%	6%	15%	8%	11%	5%	7%	14%	13%	1%	21%	4%	1%

VH20. Vote Recall.

	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS			
	TOT	REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
VH20. VOTE RECALL																	
Voted for Democrat Joe Biden	52%	22%	38%	67%	35%	47%	63%	28%	62%	56%	39%	57%	52%	50%	56%	49%	47%
Voted for Republican Donald Trump	36%	68%	49%	20%	51%	38%	27%	46%	32%	31%	47%	38%	40%	33%	25%	45%	50%
Voted for someone else	1%	2%	-	1%	-	2%	*	-	1%	1%	-	3%	1%	1%	*	2%	-
Did not vote	11%	8%	13%	12%	14%	12%	9%	26%	5%	12%	14%	1%	7%	16%	18%	4%	3%

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS	
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39	59
PARTY ID																			
Democrats (w/leaners)	55%	58%	38%	54%	71%	8%	58%	62%	36%	56%	57%	9%	80%	37%	-	86%	44%	30%	87%
Independent/Other	8%	1%	12%	3%	5%	19%	8%	4%	22%	8%	8%	5%	3%	12%	13%	4%	7%	18%	2%
Republicans (w/leaners)	37%	41%	50%	43%	24%	73%	34%	35%	43%	36%	35%	86%	17%	51%	87%	10%	49%	52%	11%
PARTY ID & GENDER																			
Democratic Men (w/leaners)	24%	29%	35%	30%	34%	1%	27%	31%	12%	27%	25%	6%	26%	23%	-	35%	21%	13%	34%
Democratic Women (w/leaners)	31%	29%	4%	24%	37%	7%	31%	30%	23%	29%	32%	3%	54%	14%	-	51%	23%	18%	53%
Independent Men	4%	*	-	*	2%	12%	4%	3%	11%	4%	4%	-	1%	6%	-	2%	*	13%	*
Independent Women	4%	*	12%	3%	2%	7%	3%	1%	11%	3%	4%	5%	1%	6%	13%	2%	6%	4%	2%
Republican Men (w/leaners)	19%	23%	20%	23%	11%	53%	20%	18%	24%	19%	18%	54%	7%	28%	59%	4%	27%	26%	4%
Republican Women (w/leaners)	18%	17%	30%	20%	13%	20%	14%	16%	19%	17%	17%	31%	10%	23%	28%	6%	22%	26%	7%
PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY																			
Liberal Democrats (w/leaners)	35%	38%	29%	36%	45%	5%	37%	40%	24%	36%	36%	9%	53%	23%	-	58%	29%	14%	56%
Non-Liberal Democrats (w/leaners)	20%	21%	9%	18%	26%	3%	21%	22%	12%	20%	21%	-	27%	14%	-	29%	15%	17%	31%
Non-Conservative Independents	7%	1%	12%	3%	4%	19%	7%	3%	22%	7%	7%	-	3%	11%	-	2%	7%	17%	2%
Conservative Independents	*	-	-	-	*	-	*	*	-	*	*	5%	-	1%	13%	2%	-	1%	-
Non-Conservative Republicans (w/leaners)	22%	25%	31%	26%	14%	32%	18%	20%	32%	23%	22%	13%	12%	29%	28%	7%	31%	24%	7%
Conservative Republicans (w/leaners)	15%	16%	20%	16%	9%	41%	16%	14%	11%	14%	13%	72%	5%	22%	59%	3%	18%	28%	4%
IDEOLOGY																			
Liberal	37%	38%	36%	38%	46%	14%	39%	41%	32%	39%	38%	9%	55%	25%	-	59%	32%	14%	57%
Moderate	45%	44%	44%	44%	42%	45%	43%	43%	54%	45%	46%	13%	39%	50%	28%	35%	47%	56%	39%
Conservative	18%	18%	20%	18%	12%	41%	18%	16%	14%	16%	15%	78%	6%	25%	72%	6%	21%	31%	4%
2020 VOTE																			
Biden	52%	48%	35%	45%	68%	17%	58%	57%	39%	53%	54%	9%	76%	35%	-	88%	39%	26%	88%
Trump	36%	45%	54%	47%	27%	68%	35%	36%	46%	38%	34%	86%	16%	50%	87%	11%	39%	69%	11%
Oth/Did not vote/Refused	12%	6%	11%	7%	5%	15%	7%	6%	15%	8%	12%	5%	8%	15%	13%	1%	22%	5%	1%

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS	\$60K-	MORE	OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
PARTY ID																	
Democrats (w/leaners)	55%	20%	58%	69%	43%	55%	60%	37%	62%	59%	46%	54%	53%	54%	64%	46%	48%
Independent/Other	8%	10%	9%	7%	10%	5%	9%	13%	6%	5%	6%	20%	9%	5%	6%	11%	2%
Republicans (w/leaners)	37%	70%	32%	25%	46%	40%	31%	50%	32%	36%	47%	26%	38%	41%	30%	43%	50%
PARTY ID & GENDER																	
Democratic Men (w/leaners)	24%	10%	54%	24%	24%	30%	19%	23%	24%	28%	16%	21%	24%	26%	28%	21%	14%
Democratic Women (w/leaners)	31%	10%	5%	45%	20%	25%	41%	14%	38%	31%	30%	33%	29%	28%	36%	26%	34%
Independent Men	4%	10%	-	2%	8%	2%	3%	6%	3%	2%	-	17%	5%	2%	*	8%	-
Independent Women	4%	-	9%	5%	3%	3%	6%	8%	3%	4%	6%	3%	4%	3%	5%	3%	2%
Republican Men (w/leaners)	19%	39%	25%	10%	15%	29%	14%	14%	22%	18%	26%	13%	23%	16%	14%	21%	42%
Republican Women (w/leaners)	18%	30%	7%	15%	31%	11%	17%	36%	10%	17%	22%	13%	15%	24%	16%	21%	9%
PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY																	
Liberal Democrats (w/leaners)	35%	7%	21%	50%	20%	30%	46%	28%	38%	36%	38%	26%	33%	41%	41%	30%	30%
Non-Liberal Democrats (w/leaners)	20%	13%	38%	19%	23%	25%	14%	9%	24%	22%	8%	28%	20%	13%	23%	17%	18%
Non-Conservative Independents	7%	10%	9%	5%	9%	3%	9%	13%	4%	4%	6%	20%	8%	5%	6%	10%	2%
Conservative Independents	*	-	-	1%	1%	2%	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	1%	-	*	1%	-
Non-Conservative Republicans (w/leaners)	22%	27%	23%	19%	18%	25%	21%	38%	15%	26%	23%	1%	17%	32%	20%	27%	-
Conservative Republicans (w/leaners)	15%	43%	9%	5%	28%	15%	10%	12%	17%	9%	24%	24%	20%	8%	10%	16%	50%
IDEOLOGY																	
Liberal	37%	8%	24%	52%	22%	33%	48%	31%	40%	39%	40%	27%	33%	45%	44%	30%	32%
Moderate	45%	49%	67%	39%	49%	48%	41%	55%	41%	48%	36%	46%	44%	45%	44%	51%	16%
Conservative	18%	43%	9%	9%	30%	20%	11%	14%	19%	13%	24%	27%	23%	10%	12%	18%	53%
2020 VOTE																	
Biden	52%	22%	38%	67%	35%	47%	63%	28%	62%	56%	39%	57%	52%	50%	56%	49%	47%
Trump	36%	68%	49%	20%	51%	38%	27%	46%	32%	31%	47%	38%	40%	33%	25%	45%	50%
Oth/Did not vote/Refused	12%	10%	13%	13%	14%	14%	10%	26%	6%	13%	14%	5%	8%	17%	19%	6%	3%

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
PARTY SCORE																	
0-39	26%	100%	-	-	66%	16%	15%	24%	26%	18%	32%	44%	37%	9%	16%	35%	33%
40-59	13%	-	100%	-	12%	19%	9%	25%	8%	10%	24%	7%	17%	8%	10%	19%	*
60+	61%	-	-	100%	22%	66%	75%	50%	66%	72%	44%	49%	47%	83%	74%	46%	66%
DENSITY																	
Rural	20%	52%	18%	7%	100%	-	-	28%	17%	17%	27%	20%	27%	10%	21%	20%	12%
Suburban	35%	21%	50%	37%	-	100%	-	33%	35%	37%	33%	29%	37%	31%	35%	33%	42%
Urban	45%	27%	32%	56%	-	-	100%	39%	48%	46%	40%	51%	36%	59%	43%	47%	47%
PARENTS																	
Yes	29%	28%	56%	24%	40%	28%	25%	100%	-	23%	43%	32%	28%	32%	30%	32%	5%
No	71%	72%	44%	76%	60%	72%	75%	-	100%	77%	57%	68%	72%	68%	70%	68%	95%
INCOME (3-WAY)																	
Less than \$60,000	60%	42%	46%	70%	51%	63%	60%	46%	65%	100%	-	-	51%	75%	83%	39%	22%
Between \$60,000 and \$100,000	25%	32%	46%	18%	34%	24%	22%	37%	20%	-	100%	-	27%	22%	15%	38%	19%
More than \$100,000	15%	26%	8%	12%	15%	13%	17%	16%	15%	-	-	100%	22%	3%	2%	23%	59%
HOME OWNER																	
Own	62%	89%	79%	47%	83%	66%	50%	60%	63%	53%	67%	90%	100%	-	48%	74%	87%
Rent	33%	11%	21%	44%	16%	29%	43%	36%	32%	41%	29%	6%	-	100%	44%	24%	13%
CLASS																	
Working/Lower Middle Class	50%	32%	38%	60%	53%	50%	48%	51%	49%	69%	29%	7%	38%	66%	100%	-	-
Middle Class	43%	59%	62%	32%	43%	41%	45%	48%	41%	28%	65%	64%	51%	31%	-	100%	-
Upper Middle Class/Affluent	7%	10%	*	8%	4%	9%	8%	1%	10%	3%	6%	28%	10%	3%	-	-	100%

Priorities for federal government Sorted by One of the top priorities

	GENDER		AGE			GENDER & AGE		EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION				SPLIT							
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-44	45-64	65+ <55	***NET** 55+	***MEN** 18-54	***WOM** 55+	NON COLL	COLL GRAD	***MEN** NON COLL	***WOM** NON COLL	***CHICAGO DMA*** CHICAGO COLLAR REST	***DOWNSTATE*** NORTH/CNTRL SOUTH	***A/B** A	B								
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----								
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION	81%	89%	74%	72%	90%	84%	76%	87%	87%	91%	66%	85%	79%	84%	86%	93%	75%	72%	68%	93%	63%	87%	93%	80%	82%
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME	63%	59%	67%	60%	67%	64%	62%	65%	67%	48%	58%	79%	65%	60%	63%	54%	67%	69%	61%	70%	56%	52%	84%	65%	62%
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS	54%	50%	58%	56%	44%	65%	53%	56%	53%	46%	54%	63%	53%	57%	47%	54%	57%	61%	49%	74%	46%	40%	50%	57%	51%
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	52%	46%	58%	57%	47%	47%	51%	55%	43%	54%	58%	58%	54%	47%	46%	46%	60%	49%	47%	58%	22%	57%	88%	52%	-
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	47%	33%	56%	44%	69%	30%	48%	46%	19%	46%	73%	46%	50%	42%	33%	34%	59%	51%	48%	47%	26%	71%	42%	-	47%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION	43%	39%	47%	49%	49%	26%	49%	36%	48%	25%	49%	45%	50%	32%	42%	35%	55%	27%	54%	51%	19%	47%	40%	49%	37%
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE	43%	42%	43%	43%	30%	60%	39%	49%	36%	52%	42%	45%	37%	53%	39%	47%	37%	61%	29%	48%	44%	51%	41%	45%	41%
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY	35%	30%	40%	49%	21%	30%	44%	24%	38%	17%	49%	29%	37%	32%	40%	17%	35%	54%	42%	27%	41%	29%	46%	41%	29%

Priorities for federal government Sorted by One of the top priorities

	TOT	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE		
		IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
		DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON						
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION	81%	81%	86%	81%	90%	73%	100%	73%	85%	76%	71%	98%	87%	75%	75%	89%	71%	86%	89%	79%	82%	83%
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME	63%	60%	69%	67%	53%	66%	60%	78%	67%	68%	56%	68%	69%	75%	61%	75%	56%	65%	76%	57%	72%	68%
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS	54%	61%	71%	42%	50%	69%	58%	83%	49%	34%	68%	48%	71%	75%	45%	36%	68%	49%	40%	63%	42%	52%
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	52%	59%	54%	41%	46%	73%	28%	88%	50%	32%	53%	70%	48%	75%	53%	18%	54%	57%	31%	56%	46%	54%
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	47%	48%	87%	37%	43%	50%	100%	79%	14%	63%	33%	74%	87%	-	41%	33%	34%	63%	35%	50%	33%	66%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION	43%	40%	72%	42%	35%	44%	72%	72%	37%	48%	35%	49%	72%	75%	31%	58%	38%	42%	58%	42%	43%	52%
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE	43%	60%	54%	15%	57%	63%	58%	50%	21%	7%	60%	61%	51%	75%	19%	8%	60%	40%	17%	62%	15%	43%
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY	35%	45%	24%	23%	36%	52%	31%	19%	21%	25%	57%	24%	18%	75%	32%	10%	57%	25%	15%	40%	21%	56%

Priorities for federal government Sorted by One of the top priorities

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION	81%	76%	69%	74%	83%	80%	82%	83%	69%	80%	82%	62%	83%	79%	87%	88%	80%	73%		87%
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME	63%	63%	69%	64%	60%	71%	63%	63%	53%	61%	64%	61%	65%	62%	87%	74%	59%	59%		73%
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS	54%	46%	49%	47%	59%	25%	52%	56%	45%	53%	56%	2%	62%	50%	-	68%	52%	37%		66%
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	52%	47%	69%	52%	57%	22%	51%	59%	33%	53%	52%	59%	73%	34%	-	73%	44%	37%		79%
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	47%	46%	35%	44%	53%	23%	46%	44%	48%	45%	49%	22%	63%	38%	-	66%	35%	43%		68%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION	43%	46%	68%	51%	45%	40%	44%	39%	55%	43%	43%	47%	51%	39%	-	61%	38%	28%		60%
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE	43%	43%	6%	35%	52%	10%	43%	47%	36%	44%	45%	-	64%	28%	-	70%	35%	20%		72%
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY	35%	43%	27%	39%	40%	14%	35%	40%	21%	36%	37%	-	48%	27%	-	54%	33%	8%		53%

Priorities for federal government Sorted by One of the top priorities

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B2Q8. IMPROVING THE ECONOMY AND REDUCING INFLATION	81%	96%	95%	72%	90%	87%	73%	77%	83%	79%	82%	87%	84%	74%	79%	85%	68%
B2Q11. REDUCING CRIME	63%	69%	67%	61%	66%	72%	55%	75%	59%	57%	77%	67%	64%	58%	58%	71%	54%
B2Q7. BRINGING DOWN THE COST OF HEALTH CARE AND PRESCRIPTION DRUGS	54%	48%	54%	57%	44%	59%	56%	47%	57%	53%	57%	56%	57%	50%	49%	63%	38%
B2Q6A. ENSURING ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	52%	48%	69%	49%	66%	60%	38%	46%	56%	54%	68%	15%	45%	59%	60%	46%	16%
B2Q6B. ENSURING ACCESS TO AFFORDABLE DRINKING WATER FOR ALL AMERICANS	47%	37%	28%	55%	58%	35%	50%	65%	43%	48%	35%	57%	39%	58%	55%	41%	37%
B2Q10. IMPROVING EDUCATION	43%	39%	45%	45%	55%	43%	39%	54%	39%	38%	53%	49%	39%	44%	47%	39%	42%
B2Q12. ADDRESSING CLIMATE CHANGE	43%	25%	64%	46%	46%	41%	43%	37%	45%	45%	43%	33%	45%	41%	46%	42%	29%
B2Q9. ADDRESSING INCOME INEQUALITY	35%	14%	24%	47%	27%	34%	40%	39%	34%	40%	33%	22%	29%	46%	45%	24%	40%

List of provisions Sorted by Strongly support

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	=====			=====						=====						=====			=====			
	TOT	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
-----	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD	76%	81%	65%	70%	73%	87%	57%	73%	70%	71%	81%	80%	64%	75%	79%	58%	80%	78%	61%	86%	63%	66%
B4Q20. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ALL LEAD PIPES CURRENTLY IN USE	76%	83%	75%	64%	78%	87%	57%	92%	65%	63%	83%	84%	75%	75%	68%	59%	83%	74%	63%	88%	57%	77%
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD	74%	82%	70%	64%	70%	91%	57%	82%	64%	64%	83%	79%	70%	75%	72%	53%	83%	74%	57%	84%	58%	78%
B4Q23. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD HELP SCHOOLS AND DAYCARE CENTERS INSTALL WATER FILTERS	72%	83%	51%	61%	74%	89%	29%	72%	59%	64%	85%	79%	49%	75%	67%	54%	84%	69%	57%	83%	56%	75%
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS	72%	83%	70%	56%	75%	90%	57%	82%	49%	65%	85%	80%	70%	75%	59%	53%	84%	68%	57%	88%	49%	71%
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER	72%	83%	66%	57%	77%	88%	57%	74%	46%	69%	85%	80%	65%	75%	63%	49%	84%	71%	49%	85%	55%	66%
B4Q22. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY REPLACE ALL LEAD PIPES	67%	73%	57%	61%	61%	81%	29%	82%	68%	53%	65%	86%	55%	75%	65%	55%	65%	72%	57%	71%	59%	72%
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR	58%	61%	46%	57%	54%	66%	29%	62%	53%	61%	65%	52%	43%	75%	55%	60%	64%	52%	61%	66%	50%	49%
B4Q21. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD FUND THE FULL COST OF REPLACING ALL LEAD PIPES	50%	58%	42%	40%	61%	57%	17%	65%	43%	36%	51%	71%	38%	75%	37%	44%	52%	50%	46%	56%	41%	53%

List of provisions Sorted by Strongly support

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD	76%	67%	81%	70%	82%	52%	76%	82%	67%	78%	76%	58%	95%	62%	28%	93%	76%	46%		94%
B4Q20. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ALL LEAD PIPES CURRENTLY IN USE	76%	67%	68%	68%	83%	50%	77%	81%	66%	78%	78%	23%	96%	62%	-	93%	78%	40%		96%
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD	74%	66%	64%	65%	82%	44%	74%	80%	63%	76%	76%	23%	97%	59%	-	92%	75%	43%		96%
B4Q23. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD HELP SCHOOLS AND DAYCARE CENTERS INSTALL WATER FILTERS	72%	67%	66%	67%	80%	40%	72%	79%	54%	74%	74%	24%	97%	56%	-	90%	76%	34%		95%
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS	72%	69%	59%	67%	83%	43%	75%	78%	61%	74%	74%	23%	99%	54%	-	98%	67%	41%		99%
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER	72%	67%	60%	66%	83%	36%	73%	82%	48%	74%	74%	26%	97%	55%	-	96%	71%	36%		99%
B4Q22. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY REPLACE ALL LEAD PIPES	67%	70%	64%	68%	74%	34%	66%	68%	59%	66%	69%	20%	92%	49%	-	91%	62%	39%		96%
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR	58%	65%	67%	65%	67%	38%	61%	60%	57%	59%	60%	19%	78%	45%	-	82%	57%	23%		81%
B4Q21. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD FUND THE FULL COST OF REPLACING ALL LEAD PIPES	50%	64%	51%	61%	57%	23%	50%	56%	39%	52%	51%	19%	67%	38%	-	69%	43%	37%		68%

List of provisions Sorted by Strongly support

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K-	MORE		OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B4Q27. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO TREAT THEIR WATER TO REDUCE THE AMOUNT OF LEAD	76%	73%	68%	79%	71%	73%	80%	66%	80%	80%	67%	70%	79%	69%	77%	76%	64%
B4Q20. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO IDENTIFY ALL LEAD PIPES CURRENTLY IN USE	76%	71%	71%	78%	71%	77%	77%	72%	77%	81%	67%	71%	76%	72%	82%	71%	60%
B4Q28. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO ENSURE THAT NO ONE'S TAP WATER HAS AN UNSAFE LEVEL OF LEAD	74%	68%	66%	79%	67%	74%	78%	63%	79%	78%	64%	77%	74%	74%	75%	76%	60%
B4Q23. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD HELP SCHOOLS AND DAYCARE CENTERS INSTALL WATER FILTERS	72%	58%	76%	78%	69%	74%	72%	71%	73%	77%	69%	60%	72%	74%	74%	72%	60%
B4Q26. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO RIGOROUSLY MONITOR LEAD LEVELS	72%	61%	75%	77%	68%	64%	80%	68%	74%	76%	67%	68%	69%	76%	78%	69%	55%
B4Q25. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD INSTITUTE WATER SAMPLING PROTOCOLS THAT FULLY CAPTURE LEAD LEVELS IN TAP WATER	72%	63%	79%	75%	74%	71%	72%	67%	74%	72%	71%	75%	72%	70%	74%	74%	51%
B4Q22. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO FULLY REPLACE ALL LEAD PIPES	67%	63%	85%	65%	68%	71%	64%	64%	68%	69%	72%	50%	62%	72%	70%	70%	27%
B4Q24. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD BE REQUIRED TO REPLACE LEAD PIPES AT A RATE OF AT LEAST 10% PER YEAR	58%	50%	54%	62%	56%	57%	61%	54%	60%	59%	55%	62%	60%	62%	57%	59%	62%
B4Q21. WATER UTILITIES SHOULD FUND THE FULL COST OF REPLACING ALL LEAD PIPES	50%	34%	71%	52%	43%	56%	49%	56%	48%	51%	55%	38%	45%	58%	58%	45%	26%

List of statements on water infrastructure Sorted by Strongly agree

	GENDER		AGE			GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT				
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON	COLL	***MEN**		***WOM**		****CHICAGO DMA****			****DOWNSTATE****		***A/B**		
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON	COLL	NON	COLL	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER	73%	72%	74%	73%	71%	76%	70%	78%	73%	71%	66%	83%	76%	68%	79%	64%	73%	75%	76%	83%	64%	76%	52%	70%	76%
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY	67%	61%	74%	69%	71%	52%	68%	65%	61%	63%	76%	68%	72%	56%	66%	54%	78%	62%	70%	81%	54%	53%	81%	67%	-
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES	67%	56%	76%	61%	72%	69%	62%	73%	50%	65%	73%	80%	69%	62%	56%	56%	78%	71%	72%	66%	66%	62%	66%	74%	59%
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES	58%	53%	63%	51%	63%	65%	49%	71%	48%	62%	51%	78%	59%	56%	55%	51%	62%	65%	65%	61%	60%	48%	56%	60%	56%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES	58%	52%	63%	52%	61%	64%	52%	66%	49%	57%	55%	74%	61%	52%	55%	48%	65%	58%	66%	59%	64%	38%	64%	65%	51%
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES	54%	36%	66%	30%	69%	64%	35%	67%	18%	51%	50%	76%	56%	50%	26%	44%	71%	57%	65%	43%	76%	41%	44%	-	54%
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES	52%	43%	59%	46%	51%	62%	44%	63%	41%	47%	47%	75%	51%	53%	45%	41%	56%	68%	70%	49%	52%	47%	36%	51%	53%

List of statements on water infrastructure Sorted by Strongly agree

TOT	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	=====					=====								=====													
	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE** MEN	**WHITE** WOM	**BLACK** MEN	**BLACK** WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	*GENDER* TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	***AGE** <55	***AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	***PARTY** DEM	***PARTY** IND	ID** GOP	***IDEOLOGY** LIB	***IDEOLOGY** MOD	***IDEOLOGY** CON	
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER	73%	71%	63%	86%	100%	76%	76%	67%	29%	79%	72%	100%	64%	86%	71%	76%	67%	68%	75%	74%	67%	84%	55%	57%	88%	64%	56%
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY	67%	66%	53%	99%	100%	71%	61%	72%	32%	75%	100%	99%	61%	83%	66%	61%	72%	67%	64%	73%	54%	77%	30%	58%	78%	63%	49%
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES	67%	65%	71%	70%	72%	69%	64%	67%	29%	91%	41%	100%	39%	94%	65%	64%	67%	63%	69%	69%	60%	79%	33%	54%	80%	60%	49%
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES	58%	57%	56%	67%	72%	61%	60%	54%	24%	71%	41%	94%	38%	81%	57%	60%	54%	51%	65%	61%	51%	71%	34%	43%	69%	54%	42%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES	58%	56%	67%	51%	72%	63%	59%	53%	49%	76%	25%	79%	38%	84%	56%	59%	53%	49%	63%	60%	49%	68%	28%	44%	69%	53%	36%
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES	54%	51%	67%	49%	64%	58%	46%	54%	12%	78%	22%	79%	19%	84%	51%	46%	54%	42%	56%	53%	48%	66%	11%	42%	60%	51%	37%
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES	52%	49%	61%	59%	49%	57%	52%	47%	27%	78%	25%	94%	24%	84%	49%	52%	47%	42%	58%	52%	45%	60%	29%	40%	61%	46%	36%

List of statements on water infrastructure Sorted by Strongly agree

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	=====			=====						=====						=====			=====			
	TOT	IND	DEM	OTH	GOP	***DEM**	***IND**	***GOP**	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH		
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER	73%	84%	60%	60%	81%	85%	57%	64%	64%	56%	85%	81%	59%	75%	68%	49%	85%	71%	54%	86%	54%	73%
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY	67%	75%	34%	63%	64%	87%	28%	41%	64%	63%	73%	77%	23%	75%	68%	55%	73%	67%	57%	71%	62%	72%
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES	67%	79%	42%	54%	69%	86%	29%	54%	45%	63%	78%	81%	38%	75%	62%	42%	78%	65%	47%	79%	50%	65%
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES	58%	71%	37%	44%	65%	76%	29%	45%	44%	45%	68%	76%	33%	75%	49%	38%	69%	57%	39%	70%	41%	57%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES	58%	71%	33%	43%	63%	78%	29%	37%	43%	43%	70%	73%	28%	75%	50%	34%	70%	57%	35%	66%	40%	75%
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES	54%	74%	19%	32%	57%	82%	-	31%	21%	43%	72%	77%	19%	-	34%	29%	69%	52%	30%	75%	20%	42%
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES	52%	66%	30%	35%	54%	75%	29%	30%	33%	38%	66%	66%	24%	75%	41%	26%	65%	49%	29%	68%	34%	35%

List of statements on water infrastructure Sorted by Strongly agree

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS		
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39		59
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER	73%	74%	68%	73%	84%	48%	77%	80%	61%	76%	75%	23%	98%	56%	-	97%	74%	32%		100%
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY	67%	66%	79%	70%	71%	33%	64%	73%	55%	69%	68%	8%	93%	46%	-	90%	61%	48%		91%
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES	67%	62%	66%	63%	75%	43%	68%	71%	57%	68%	68%	20%	91%	49%	-	90%	61%	43%		90%
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES	58%	65%	49%	62%	69%	41%	63%	65%	47%	61%	60%	23%	86%	39%	-	88%	51%	26%		90%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES	58%	60%	69%	62%	69%	32%	61%	61%	51%	59%	59%	22%	88%	36%	-	87%	49%	30%		89%
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES	54%	48%	35%	46%	70%	29%	60%	56%	43%	53%	56%	22%	86%	34%	-	88%	41%	24%		88%
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES	52%	58%	33%	53%	63%	23%	54%	63%	20%	54%	53%	22%	83%	28%	-	87%	42%	15%		88%

List of statements on water infrastructure Sorted by Strongly agree

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP	IND	DEM	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K-	MORE		OWN	RENT	WORK/	MID-	UPPR
		0-39	40-59	60+						\$60K	\$100	\$100K			LOWER	DLE	/AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B5Q31. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO ENSURE ACCESS TO SAFE DRINKING WATER	73%	64%	70%	77%	72%	74%	72%	62%	78%	78%	67%	63%	69%	78%	82%	66%	48%
B5Q32A. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NEED TO REPLACE ALL EXISTING UNDERGROUND LEAD PIPES UNDER PRIVATE OR PUBLIC PROPERTY	67%	58%	90%	66%	75%	64%	67%	70%	66%	66%	69%	71%	64%	71%	72%	58%	81%
B5Q33. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD HELP FUND AND SECURE RESOURCES FOR STATES AND COMMUNITIES	67%	47%	62%	76%	62%	65%	70%	63%	68%	76%	52%	55%	62%	71%	78%	55%	54%
B5Q29. INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO WATER SYSTEMS WITH THE GREATEST NUMBER OF LEAD PIPES	58%	38%	76%	63%	57%	55%	62%	56%	59%	61%	58%	48%	55%	61%	67%	51%	44%
B5Q30. INVESTMENTS IN WATER INFRASTRUCTURE SHOULD BE TARGETED TO UNDERSERVED COMMUNITIES	58%	31%	62%	68%	51%	53%	64%	52%	61%	63%	52%	50%	52%	63%	66%	51%	45%
B5Q32B. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT SHOULD REPLACE ALL EXISTING LEAD PIPES	54%	34%	40%	65%	52%	41%	63%	34%	58%	64%	29%	44%	53%	48%	61%	52%	27%
B5Q34. EVEN IF WATER COSTS CUSTOMERS MORE, IT'S IMPORTANT FOR WATER UTILITIES TO GET RID OF LEAD PIPES	52%	34%	50%	60%	52%	41%	60%	50%	53%	54%	48%	49%	48%	57%	54%	49%	47%

Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US Sorted by Very convincing

	GENDER		AGE			GENDER & AGE				EDUCATION		GENDER & EDUCATION				REGION					SPLIT				
	TOT	MEN	WOM	18-	45-	***NET**		***MEN***		***WOM***		NON COLL		***MEN**		***WOM**		***CHICAGO DMA***			***DOWNSTATE***		***A/B**		
				44	64	65+	<55	55+	18-54	55+	18-54	55+	COLL	GRAD	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	NON COLL	CHICAGO	COLLAR	REST	NORTH/CNTRL	SOUTH	A	B
TOTAL	201	95	106	85	66	50	117	84	57	37	60	47	129	72	53	42	77	30	40	60	39	39	23	101	100
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL	68%	65%	70%	85%	44%	68%	71%	58%	67%	61%	75%	52%	66%	72%	65%	66%	67%	85%	78%	76%	60%	67%	48%	68%	-
B6Q41. CHILDREN	67%	57%	75%	68%	56%	79%	66%	67%	55%	60%	77%	73%	68%	65%	60%	53%	72%	83%	61%	70%	77%	64%	54%	67%	66%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS	65%	58%	71%	66%	60%	70%	63%	68%	55%	62%	70%	73%	70%	57%	71%	43%	69%	78%	56%	77%	68%	58%	57%	64%	66%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT	64%	61%	67%	74%	52%	63%	69%	57%	61%	61%	77%	54%	62%	68%	66%	54%	59%	87%	55%	70%	55%	72%	63%	65%	63%
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO	59%	59%	59%	56%	63%	59%	58%	60%	68%	52%	49%	65%	54%	66%	63%	56%	50%	77%	32%	67%	75%	71%	44%	-	59%
B6Q40. HEALTH	59%	55%	63%	66%	51%	57%	62%	55%	59%	49%	65%	59%	62%	54%	67%	40%	58%	74%	60%	73%	51%	50%	51%	57%	61%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE	56%	50%	61%	51%	58%	60%	51%	63%	44%	60%	58%	65%	58%	51%	57%	42%	60%	64%	59%	60%	52%	44%	70%	57%	55%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS	50%	52%	49%	51%	56%	43%	54%	46%	46%	61%	61%	35%	52%	47%	57%	45%	49%	50%	52%	61%	33%	52%	47%	62%	39%

Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US Sorted by Very convincing

	ETHNICITY					ETHNICITY & GENDER								WHITE ALONE													
	TOT	WHITE ALONE	BLK	HISP	AAPI	POC	**WHITE* MEN	**WHITE* WOM	**BLACK* MEN	**BLACK* WOM	**HISP** MEN	**HISP** WOM	**POC** MEN	**POC** WOM	TOT	*GENDER* MEN	*GENDER* WOM	**AGE** <55	**AGE** 55+	**EDUC** NON	**EDUC** COLL	**PARTY DEM	**PARTY IND	ID** GOP	**IDEOLOGY** LIB	**IDEOLOGY** MOD	**IDEOLOGY** CON
TOTAL	201	136	34	31	8	65	65	71	11	23	16	15	30	36	136	65	71	76	60	82	54	73	13	50	50	58	28
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL	68%	67%	63%	99%	-	70%	66%	68%	60%	65%	100%	99%	64%	76%	67%	66%	68%	71%	58%	66%	69%	77%	30%	61%	93%	54%	42%
B6Q41. CHILDREN	67%	67%	82%	55%	28%	66%	60%	74%	77%	85%	37%	73%	51%	79%	67%	60%	74%	64%	71%	72%	59%	74%	49%	61%	78%	63%	56%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS	65%	61%	81%	68%	28%	73%	55%	67%	70%	87%	68%	68%	65%	80%	61%	55%	67%	52%	73%	70%	48%	68%	53%	53%	68%	59%	54%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT	64%	65%	66%	62%	28%	61%	62%	68%	49%	74%	68%	57%	58%	63%	65%	62%	68%	65%	66%	68%	62%	74%	57%	54%	82%	55%	56%
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO	59%	59%	75%	60%	-	59%	66%	55%	82%	73%	57%	62%	50%	64%	59%	66%	55%	45%	68%	62%	56%	71%	50%	45%	76%	49%	53%
B6Q40. HEALTH	59%	59%	57%	57%	28%	60%	58%	59%	24%	73%	57%	58%	48%	70%	59%	58%	59%	61%	55%	63%	51%	66%	49%	50%	77%	49%	45%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE	56%	52%	66%	65%	49%	63%	50%	55%	49%	73%	68%	63%	50%	74%	52%	50%	55%	44%	63%	57%	45%	60%	41%	45%	60%	49%	48%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS	50%	54%	36%	39%	28%	42%	57%	52%	45%	32%	26%	53%	39%	45%	54%	57%	52%	54%	54%	55%	54%	59%	47%	50%	58%	56%	45%

Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US Sorted by Very convincing

	PARTY ID			PARTY ID & GENDER						PARTY ID & IDEOLOGY						IDEOLOGY			2020 VOTE			
	IND			***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**		***DEM**		***IND**		***GOP**								
	TOT	DEM	OTH	GOP	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	MEN	WOM	LIB	NON	NON	CON	NON	CON	LIB	MOD	CON	BIDEN	TRUMP	OTH
TOTAL	201	111	16	75	48	63	8	8	39	36	71	40	14	2	44	31	75	90	36	105	72	24
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL	68%	80%	34%	57%	80%	79%	28%	41%	51%	63%	94%	54%	23%	75%	69%	33%	91%	58%	40%	85%	54%	45%
B6Q41. CHILDREN	67%	75%	56%	57%	68%	80%	31%	79%	48%	66%	81%	64%	54%	75%	62%	49%	81%	60%	52%	74%	52%	77%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS	65%	72%	49%	58%	64%	79%	31%	66%	57%	60%	76%	66%	46%	75%	67%	46%	75%	63%	50%	67%	60%	72%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT	64%	70%	59%	55%	71%	70%	31%	85%	53%	57%	79%	55%	57%	75%	60%	48%	79%	56%	52%	67%	57%	70%
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO	59%	65%	54%	51%	70%	63%	34%	67%	54%	48%	73%	52%	54%	-	49%	53%	72%	52%	50%	62%	62%	42%
B6Q40. HEALTH	59%	69%	56%	45%	65%	71%	31%	79%	47%	43%	78%	52%	54%	75%	54%	33%	78%	51%	39%	68%	43%	67%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE	56%	65%	39%	46%	47%	79%	31%	47%	57%	33%	66%	64%	35%	75%	48%	43%	65%	53%	45%	61%	52%	47%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS	50%	58%	49%	39%	66%	52%	31%	66%	38%	41%	58%	59%	46%	75%	43%	35%	58%	49%	37%	56%	37%	67%

Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US Sorted by Very convincing

	NRDC			EPA			WATER UTILITIES			INITIAL VOTE		EPA RULE TARGETS			POLICYMAKERS TARGETS			EPA RULE + FAV TARGETS	
	TOT	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	FAV	UNFAV	FAM	SUP	OPP	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE	SWNG	OPPO	BASE FAV
TOTAL	201	71	19	90	135	35	169	132	37	169	193	8	86	111	3	65	98	39	59
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL	68%	74%	66%	72%	78%	21%	69%	77%	46%	69%	68%	10%	85%	53%	-	95%	70%	22%	94%
B6Q41. CHILDREN	67%	73%	78%	74%	76%	46%	70%	68%	62%	67%	67%	46%	94%	48%	-	87%	68%	31%	92%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS	65%	77%	51%	72%	71%	38%	64%	70%	57%	67%	66%	44%	87%	50%	-	86%	68%	23%	85%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT	64%	68%	51%	65%	69%	36%	62%	70%	51%	65%	64%	49%	78%	55%	-	80%	64%	36%	80%
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO	59%	63%	58%	62%	67%	36%	59%	62%	51%	60%	59%	54%	80%	47%	-	86%	56%	19%	87%
B6Q40. HEALTH	59%	67%	58%	65%	69%	24%	59%	65%	43%	60%	60%	22%	88%	38%	-	91%	55%	16%	91%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE	56%	67%	34%	60%	64%	23%	56%	65%	39%	59%	57%	33%	80%	39%	-	77%	52%	32%	74%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS	50%	61%	42%	57%	58%	19%	50%	55%	26%	49%	52%	1%	71%	36%	-	69%	53%	12%	67%

Statements from supporters of replacing lead pipes across US Sorted by Very convincing

	TOT	PARTY SCORE			DENSITY			PARENTS		INCOME (3-Way)			HOME OWNER		CLASS		
		REP 0-39	IND 40-59	DEM 60+	RUR	SUB	URB	YES	NO	LESS \$60K	\$60K- \$100	MORE \$100K	OWN	RENT	WORK/ LOWER	MID- DLE	UPPR /AFF
TOTAL	201	51	26	123	40	70	91	59	142	120	51	31	125	66	100	86	15
B6Q48A. LOCALIZE-IL	68%	51%	58%	77%	64%	68%	69%	63%	71%	65%	85%	44%	64%	70%	69%	63%	81%
B6Q41. CHILDREN	67%	44%	74%	75%	67%	63%	70%	66%	67%	66%	79%	49%	60%	81%	67%	66%	65%
B6Q45. NO SHORTCUTS	65%	53%	75%	68%	66%	68%	62%	65%	65%	69%	66%	48%	66%	66%	69%	60%	68%
B6Q44. HUMAN RIGHT	64%	52%	79%	65%	58%	71%	61%	68%	62%	68%	65%	45%	65%	62%	63%	64%	67%
B6Q48B. LOCALIZE-CHICAGO	59%	54%	64%	61%	64%	64%	54%	51%	61%	57%	68%	57%	65%	54%	47%	73%	43%
B6Q40. HEALTH	59%	45%	60%	64%	64%	59%	57%	59%	59%	57%	73%	44%	57%	64%	58%	63%	43%
B6Q43. EQUALITY/JUSTICE	56%	52%	54%	58%	59%	54%	56%	46%	60%	62%	57%	32%	58%	46%	57%	53%	66%
B6Q42. EPA LIMITS	50%	40%	56%	53%	62%	50%	45%	57%	48%	47%	53%	60%	52%	50%	53%	49%	43%